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Jacking around with Jackhammers (and us)

Following numerous student complaints and a number of petitions calling for improved conditions in the classrooms and auditoriums, a committee of the SBA met with President Butz, John Teitscheid, and Dean Judy last Thursday. While the meeting was somewhat inconclusive, the committee received several firm promises in regard to construction interference with classes. As of Monday, Jan. 29, the administration has declared there will be no work on the new building (excepting the 6th floor) without John Teitscheid's specific approval. Dr. Butz noted that any work which involves noise will not be approved during class hours. Also by Monday, the building should have complete air conditioning, new lighting controls and podium amplifiers in auditoriums A and C. If classes are still being held on the 2nd floor of the old building, the jack-hammer will be banished. Students are requested to report any infractions of this noise ban during class sessions to the Dean's office immediately. The administration also expects to have enough rooms available on the 3rd and 5th floors to avoid scheduling classes in the auditoriums. Teitscheid stated that "we know the lighting in the auditoriums is inadequate," but that it is a jury-rig until new lighting arrives. He added that while both the artects of the new building and left-handed, they apparently overlooked the problem of left-handed writing tablets for the auditorium chairs. Further, the administration can't find any left-handed chairs at this time. Responding to complaints of bad-faith, summarized by Dean Judy as a general feeling on the students' part that they are being pushed around, President Butz responded that the administration priority is to facilitate the academic program, but the bugs have been unavoidable, the "whole thing has been at breakneck speed, and for a few more weeks there will be inconveniences, but we're trying to minimize them...."

The committee then took up the subject of the $8 tuition increase for next year. The attack was led by Sandy Vanbroek, 2nd year rep, who charged the administration with bad faith and possible fraud, noting what he termed a commitment made by the administration last year to minimize any further increases and to establish a fund-raising program. Dr. Butz replied that it is "a fantasy that hiring a fund-raiser will lighten the student burden." He added that the school has hired a fund-raiser but that the school's primarily funded by tuition, that the law school gets a higher priority than the rest of the university, and that the effort to improve the status of the law school costs money. "We don't have any money elsewhere", he added, "our endowment would last for one to two months to finance a deficit, we have no margin, no surplus, and we can't run a 1/2 million dollar deficit." Dean Judy added that the tuition increase at 8% is below the year's inflation. "And less than I think we need; A large part of the increase is going to what the ABA said we have to do (to get accreditation)." While the committee's attack faltered at this point, the committee appeared to reserve some doubt and suspicion over the justification for the tuition increase. The administration, however, saw the problem as one of communication during the budget-making process, though no concrete proposals to bridge the gap were put forth.

A meeting to explain the tuition and construction noise problems to concerned students scheduled for noon on last Thursday drew a total of ten people, half from the committee which met with the administration the hour before. While such a low turn-out is not indicative of student concern, it surely weakens any attempt on the part of the SBA to present a picture of outraged students united to resist over-reaching tuition increases.

Jeff Kerwin

Law Review Writing Competition

The Law Review will conduct its annual writing contest from Wednesday, March 7 until Wednesday, March 14. One-half the staff members for next year are selected on the basis of this contest; the other half are selected on the basis of grades.

Successful candidates will be given the opportunity to choose which issue they wish to write for (Women's, Survey, Notes and Comments), subject to the needs of the Review. Law review membership represents a substantial time commitment - it is not a mere honorarium. Two academic units are available for writers (they are not free), and can be withheld by the Board of Editors for lack of performance. Members who come on the Review through the writing contest are given assignments over the summer (if feasible); thus, they have the advantage over "grades" members, because of early topic selection, issue selection, and earlier discharge of assignments.

First and Second Year Day students, and Second and Third Year Night students are eligible to enter. Quotas are weighted in favor of the selection of "first time eligibles" (First/Day and Second/Night).

Contest rules will be available at the library desk on Wednesday, March 7 at 3pm, and due back in the Law Review office by Wednesday, March 14, 7pm.
ANNOUNCEMENTS

LAW PLACEMENT NEWS: Attention 2nd & 1st year students—we have job listings for summer positions in Washington, D.C. ONLY with the Federal Government. If you are interested in working in Washington this summer, please see Laura.

All Students: The long-awaited Announcement #14 of Federal Government Summer Jobs, nationwide, has finally arrived. Since we only have one copy, you will have to use it in the Placement Office.

TO THE STUDENT BODY: Thank you for your fine cooperation during the two weeks of registration and late registration. Special "thanks" to the Spring Registration Team. And to the construction workers who really wanted to come through the walls of General Library Room 115, but didn't.

Office of the Registrar

STUDENT BODY I.D. CARDS, SPRING 1979: Yellow Law School I.D. Cards will be updated or replace in Room 200 (Dean's Office) through February 12th only! Two day service. Leave your card today...pick it up two days later.

Office of the Registrar

MOSKOVITZ CLASSES: Criminal Law and Procedure B and Modern Landlord-Tenant Law will not meet the week of February 5. Prof. Moskovitz will arrange for make-up classes.

WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION MEETING: Come to the Women's Association Meeting! At the next meeting we will discuss, among other things, whether we want to put on a Talent Show this year and who wants to work on it. Please join us; (if nobody shows, why the Women's Association will disappear from the Face of the Earth!). Time: 12 noon, Monday Feb. 5th Place: Room 205

SCHEDULE FOR THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SEMINARS: Open to all law students. Room numbers will be posted on the Deans' Bulletin Board.

1) Federal Criminal Procedure Saturday, Jan. 13, 1979 10:00-12:00 a.m.
2) Legal Proceedings Tuesday, Jan. 30, 1979 6:00-8:00 p.m.
3) Substantive Criminal Law Thursday, Feb. 8, 1979 6:00-8:00 p.m.
4) Search & Seizure-Discovery Thursday, February 15, 1979 6:00-8:00 p.m.
5) Trial Tactics Saturday, Feb. 24, 1979 10:00-12:00 a.m.
6) Jury Trial Tactics Thursday, March 1, 1979 6:00-8:00 p.m.
7) Trial Tactics (cont'd) Wednesday, March 7, 1979 6:00-8:00 p.m.
8) Trial Tactics & Evidence Tuesday, March 13, 1979 6:00-8:00 p.m.
9) Cross Examination of Def. Thursday, March 22, 1979 6:00-8:00 p.m.
10) Open Seminar re: Jury Trial Thursday, March 29, 1979 6:00-8:00 p.m.
11) Judgment and Sentencing Saturday, April 7, 1979 10:00-12:00 a.m.
12) Guest Lecturer: Sanford Svetcon Thursday, April 12, 1979 6:00-8:00 p.m.

INTERNATIONAL LAW MOOT COURT INTRAMURAL

Golden Gate's Jessup International Law Moot Court Team will hold its intramural competition on Thursday, February 2, at 5:30 pm in Courtroom 452, San Francisco Superior Court, Civic Center Building.

Team members Constance Roseman, Paul Kordick and William Westover will argue a problem concerning international technology transfers before a three judge panel drawn from the Bay Area's international legal community. The panel consists of attorneys Lewis Cleveland O'Rourke, Michael W. Perna, and Polly A. Webber.

The intramural argument is preliminary to the team's participation in the Northwest Regional round of the Jessup International Law Competition, to be held at the University of Santa Clara on March 2-3. Winners of the regional round will participate in the national and international rounds held in Washington, D.C. The Jessup Competition is sponsored by the American Society of International Law, annually involves approximately 130 law schools in over 25 countries.

Members of the Golden Gate community who are interested in attending the intramural competition should contact Joel Marsh at 834-2822.

LAW REVIEW ELECTIONS BEGIN TODAY

Balloting begins today in the Law Review office to elect next year's Editor-in-Chief, with Issue Editors to be elected beginning this Thursday. The new editorial set-up will consist of an Editor-in-Chief to handle administrative responsibilities and an Issue Editor for each of the three issues to be published next year: Ninth Circuit Survey, Women's Law Forum, and Notes and Comments Issue.

All present staff members of the Law Review are eligible to vote, with ballots available and voting taking place in the Law Review's office in the basement of the new building.

The elections will be sequential, with dates and times as follows:

Editor-in-Chief: Mon & Tues, Jan 29 & 30, 9am-7pm
Survey Editor: Thurs & Fri, Feb 1 & 2, 9am-7pm
Women's Forum: Mon & Tues, Feb 5 & 6, 9am-7pm
Notes/Comments: Thurs & Fri, Feb 8 & 9, 9am-7pm

Candidates' statements are posted in the Law Review office, and all members are urged to participate in the selection of next year's Editors.

Joel Blackman and Benita (Mimi) Rosenblatt, the San Francisco couple who were shot by their landlord and seriously injured recently, were members of the GGU law school class of 1976. They have undergone extensive surgery which has required many pints of blood which were supplied by the Irwin Memorial Blood Bank.

The school has made arrangements with the Downtown Donor Center of the Blood Bank for a group donor program to replace the used blood on Thursday, February 2, from 2:00 to 4:00 p.m. The Downtown Center is located on the seventh floor of the Pershing Building at 760 Market Street a short walk from school.

Sign up in the Deans' Office to participate--meet at the school at 12:00 noon, 12:30, 1:00, or 1:30 p.m. Walk to the Donor Center in a group.

This is not only a material contribution to Mimi and Joel but, also, an expression of moral support in their struggle for recovery—an important act of kindness with a minimum of inconvenience.

Friends of Joel Blackman and Mimi Rosenblatt have established the Joel Blackman Medical Fund to receive contributions for expenses which will be faced by the couple as a result of the attack which has hospitalized them for extended periods. Donations, which are tax-deductible, should be mailed to the Fund, c/o Bank of Marin, 1108 Fifth Avenue, San Rafael, CA 94901.

LAWSIDEBY
### BUDGET REQUESTS:

**THIRD WORLD COALITION:**
- Tape Recorder Reimbursement: $38.00
- Office Supplies: $35.00
- BAR Outlines: $40.00
- Speakers: $75.00
- Survival Handbooks: $40.00
- Immigration Workshop: $60.00

Grand Total: $388.00

**LESBIANS IN LAW:**
- Office Supplies: $524.30
- Work study position for co-ordination: $100.00
- Conference sponsorship: $140.00
- Prop. 6 Activity reimbursement: $143.03
- Speakers: $50.00

Grand Total: $957.33

**GRADUATING SENIOR CLASS:**
- Reception following graduation ceremony: $150.00
- Catered with champagne & hors d'oeuvres: $100.00
- Exact cost expenditures cannot be expressed at this time: $830.00

**NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD:**
- Movies (2-3): $200.00
- Slideshows/speakers (4): $100.00
- LSOC dues: $50.00
- Conspiracy Newspaper: $75.00
- Law School in a Nutshell: $150.00
- Law and the People: $50.00
- Counter-Orientation: $25.00
- Alternative Law Practices Forum: $25.00

Grand Total: $875.00

**CAVEAT:**
- Printing Costs:
  - 14 issues (4 pages): $732.02
  - 8 special issues (8 pages): $93.28
  - Supplies: $25.00
- Less special issue allocation from last semester: $32.28

Grand Total: $797.56

**WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION:**
- Handbook: $50.00
- Talent Show: $100.00
- Speakers: $100.00
- Banquet for Graduating Women: $75.00
- Fall 1979 Orientation: $150.00
- Film(s): $75.00
- Photocopying, Postage, Office Supplies: $25.00

Grand Total: $675.00

**ABA/LAW STUDENTS DIVISION:**
- Office Supplies: $32.50
- Social with PAD: $35.00
- Orientation: $15.00
- Annual Meeting: $300.50
- Spring Conference: $216.00

Grand Total: $699.00

(Subscription of all organization budget requests are on file at the SBA office)

**SBA BUDGET MEETING:** A meeting will be held Thursday, Feb. 1, at 5:00PM; room to be announced.

---

**Students say, “Caveat Sucks”**

A group of students, mostly first year, expressed their thoughts on the Caveat recently. Actually, these people have started a small group with the aim toward publishing an alternative to the Caveat which would include literature, cartoons, and humor. Their feelings about the Caveat centered around its lack of contact with the student community. They characterized it as a bland, barely adequate, formal publication. They felt that the Caveat does not recognize the diversity and creative potential of the student body. While the necessary function of a media for the dissemination of timely information was recognized, these students felt that the Caveat had become something detached from the students. They felt that the "powers that be" and the Caveat are indistinguishable.

As an interested observer, I found myself in agreement with much that has been said concerning the shortcomings of the Caveat. The Caveat, perhaps in contrast to past years, has become almost exclusively a community bulletin board merely parroting the declarations of the administration. However, I do, and did, disagree that this is the limit of what the Caveat can be. It is my aim, in the time remaining in this semester, to improve the quality of the Caveat and to extend its present bounds to actually become a value to the student community far above a mere source of trivial information. The Caveat can be whatever we, the students, make it. What it has been is merely what the editors can make it (with some special problems that don't merit discussion at this point). I would like to express an invitation to all members of the law student community to help me achieve this goal. Please, talk with me, submit something, tell me what you think is wrong. Maybe there is still something to be salvaged.

Ed Garson

---

**NLG: Rectifying Its Style**

**MEETINGS THIS THURSDAY**

For two or more years the GGU chapter of the National Lawyers Guild has been by and large a loose-knit group of progressive law students, its main activity being the actions of its individual members. A number of first-year students were dissatisfied with the guild's inactivity and felt they needed the Guild to be a more activist center as well as a forum for the support (and even study) needs of progressive students in this strange and new law school environment.

At a meeting this past Thursday, these first-year students challenged their 2nd, 3rd, and 4th year counterparts to get together with them in rectifying the Guild's style of work.

As a first priority the Guild Chapter will move rapidly to form a coordinating group and will plan activities to help channel involvements and provide support for all Guild participants. First-year Guildists expect that they will not only be able to participate in activist work with the improved structure, but hope that this will improve their school work by putting their studies in a more practical as well as theoretical perspective.

People interested in putting together this work should come to the next Guild meeting Thursday, February 1, noon to 2:00PM, for day students, 6 p.m. for evening people.

David Cooper
By enrolling in BRC's bar review course now and paying a non-refundable $95 deposit you can save a load of money and assure yourself of continuous academic support from BRC and CES, America's finest academic team.

- **FREE BRC OUTLINES THROUGHOUT LAW SCHOOL**
- **FREEZE THE COURSE PRICE**
  Stop inflation. By enrolling now, you assure yourself of a 1980, 1981 or 1982 bar review course at present prices — probably resulting in a savings of between $25 – $100, or more.
- **BIG DISCOUNTS ON CES PROGRAMS**
  First and second year enrollees will be entitled to at least a 10% discount on all CES books and programs, including Sum & Substance books and tapes, and the special LAW (Law Analysis Workshops) courses for first year students.
- **FREE "FORGE AHEAD" LECTURES**
  Attend early presentation of lectures for BRC's complete bar review course on videotape in BRC offices and at various campuses both Fall and Spring.
- **ACCESS TO BRC'S AUDIOTAPE LIBRARY**
  Listen on cassette to any bar lecture of your choice in BRC's offices.
- **FREE TRANSFERABILITY**
  Your BRC enrollment can be transferred to any other BRC course in a different state.

---

**BRC PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY LECTURE**

**VIDEO**

**PROF. DONALD BURRIS,**
Loyola, Los Angeles.

**Berkeley**
UC Berkeley
Boalt Hall, Room 120
Saturday, Feb. 10
1:00 p.m. – 4:45 p.m.

**San Francisco**
BRC/CES Office
129 Hyde Street
Monday, Feb. 5
6:30 p.m. – 10:15 p.m.
Monday-Thursday, Feb. 19 - 22
9:30 a.m. – 1:15 p.m. & 2:00 p.m. – 5:45 p.m.