

4-17-1978

Caveat, April 17, 1978

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caveat>

 Part of the [Legal Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

"Caveat, April 17, 1978" (1978). *Caveat*. Paper 122.
<http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caveat/122>

This Newsletter or Magazine is brought to you for free and open access by the Other Law School Publications at GGU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Caveat by an authorized administrator of GGU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jfischer@ggu.edu.



CAVEAT



Vol. XIII, No. 29

Golden Gate University School of Law

April 17, 1978

FSC Meets on TWC Proposals MEETING CONTINUES THIS TUESDAY

ADMISSIONS RESOLUTIONS ARE PASSED
CONFUSED DISCUSSION OVER FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

After a semester of writing, meetings, and lobbying, the Third World Coalition brought their proposals to the floor of the Faculty Student Council this past Thursday, April 13. The FSC tackled the Coalition's first three proposals which are all in the form of non-binding resolutions to the Dean. The meeting started, more or less promptly, at 3 p.m. FSC members sat at a large table in the center of the auditorium surrounded by a very large group of students who were interested in the proceedings. Officially present at the meeting were Mike Golden, Judy McKelvey, Tony Pagano, Mike DeVito, Lani Bader, Jan Kosel, Tom Goetzl, Ted Rcsenak, Barbara Rhine, Bob Calhoun, Myron Moskowitz, Larry Jones, Bernie Segal, Mort Cohen, Marc Stickgold, Janis Boster, Allan Cadgene, Bill Weiner, Charles Smith, Jim Smith, Roger Bernhardt, Connie Tavel, Ruth Ratzlaff, Joanne Schulman, Chuck Rubinoff, Beth Price, Gail White, Richard Wright.

Mike Golden opened the meeting explaining that the only business on the floor for the day would be the Coalition proposals. He also ruled a suspension of the rules so that certain Coalition members could speak during the discussion.

Mary Chahbazi, Coalition chairperson, opened the discussion by explaining the importance of the proposals to the Coalition members as well as the Golden Gate community at large. Mary remarked that it was interesting how quickly the Bakke decision was acted on even though the Supreme Court has not yet decided the issue, and yet how slowly it has taken for implementing Brown v. Board of Education. She also indicated that Mike Golden had received numerous letters of support for the Coalition proposals from other schools' organizations as well as law firms from the Bay Area and beyond. Sandra Moreno Kirkpatrick, another Coalition member, made a statement which included statistics on the severe underrepresentation of third-world attorneys and especially those of La Raza origin.

Mike Golden explained that the Coalition's proposals would be discussed and voted on separately. Margaret Mills, starting off the Admissions discussion, thanked Janis Boster, Admissions Director, for her quick response in explaining the decreased number of third-world students in this year's entering class, and reiterated the need for a formal affirmative action program. The first proposal discussed was one calling for the hiring of a special admissions staff member of third-world background to work on recruitment, to assist in processing applications and to act as a tie-breaker in the case of a deadlock on particular special admissions applicants. Tony Pagano stated that perhaps an additional Associate Dean should be hired to deal with recruitment of third-world applicants and to work with third-world students and faculty in the school. Judy McKelvey responded that the present budget for next year included a slot for another Associate Dean but that the budget wouldn't be approved until mid-May; that her office was severely understaffed and could not afford duplication of work being done in the Admissions office, and that she always recruited for third-world administrative personnel. She said that she thought the admissions staff member in the proposal more appropriate. Tony insisted that it was terribly important to get a third-world person into the administration. Other FSC members suggest-

(Continued on page 4)

NEW S.B.A. LINE-UP

After heavy voting this is the line-up for next year's SBA (1st year reps will be chosen come the fall):

PRESIDENT: Alice Montgomery
NIGHT V.P.: Anne Hipshman
DAY V.P.: David Cooper
SECRETARY: Sheila Gaughan
TREASURER: Ralph Eliseo
4th YR NIGHT: Rod Guyette
Tom Anders
3rd YR NIGHT: Diana Taylor
Richard Clark
3rd YR DAY: Chuck Rubinoff
Larry Bittner
2nd YR NIGHT: Philip Robertson
Chuck Crane
2nd YR DAY: Walter Riley
Sandy Van Broek

DO NOT REMOVE FROM
LAW LIBRARY

APR 18 1978

GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY

For other SBA news and announcements see page 2

9th WOMEN & LAW CONF.

GGU EMERGING IN THE WOMEN'S LAW MOVEMENT
by Fran Leonard

Almost 2000 delegates attended the Ninth Annual Conference on Women and the Law in Atlanta, Georgia, April 6 - 9. The expected attendance of 3000 was not realized because of the NOW convention boycott on non-ratified states.

Over 100 workshops were scheduled and held, making this a working convention; over eight hours daily in attendance if one participated fully, as this delegate did.

Attendance at the conference was for the purpose of promoting and publicizing the Women's Issue of the Law Review, as well as topic development for future issues. It was very gratifying for me to talk to women who have been associated with various other "women's review" efforts (Rutgers and Harvard), and to learn that GGU is the first and only school officially to associate with, and fund, an ongoing law review issue devoted to legal issues affecting women. The lawyers and law students at the confer-

ence were very excited to learn this, and were supportive in the most encouraging ways. (One group of California attorneys (non-GGU alumna) even extolled GGU as the best law school in the state.)

Panelists at the conference who are associated with GGU in one way or another were the following attorneys: Andra Pearldaughter, alumna; Barbara Rhine and Patti Roberts, lecturers; Fay Stender and Camille LeGrand, authors for the first women's issue to be published in the fall.

Although sexism is far from eliminated at this school, and battles should never be considered won which may have to be won again, it is my definite sense coming away from this conference, that due to the efforts of the women students of the last few classes, and the cooperation of the administration, GGU is steadily emerging in the collective consciousness of the women lawyers in the nation, and looking pretty good.

(ED. NOTE: Fran is the new Editor-in-Chief of the Women's Issue of the Golden Gate Law Review. Mary Gerber was Editor of the first Women's Issue which will be published this Fall. DC)

RED TAPE ANNOUNCEMENTS

FAMILY LAW/EVIDENCE EXAM CONFLICT

People who are taking both the Family Law and Evidence finals and who wish to exercise an option to take family law the next morning (May 4) can pick up a form from Sharon Golub requiring Sharon's and Jan's signatures.

TRANSFER UNITS AND GRADUATION REQUIREMENTS

For purposes of acquiring 84 units for graduation, a total of 6 transfer units in non-required, non-bar courses, not regularly offered at GGU, will be accepted from another ABA-approved law school. Six units is the maximum for the student's law school career. This is exclusive of transfer units accepted upon admission to the law school with advanced standing, and is exclusive of units acquired during the regular school year through the Consortium.

Any student intending to take classes at another law school must see either Sharon Golub or Nancy Messerer prior to their registration.

PRE-REGISTRATION

There isn't any.

SUMMER SESSION UNITS

All students are limited to a total of seven academic units during the Summer session. This includes units taken at other schools. A student will be allowed to take eight units, providing Clinic is part of the program.

Early graduation: Students must attend two summer sessions totaling 15 weeks and take an aggregate of i) at least 10 units, if a full-time student, or ii) at least 8 units, if a part-time student. All students intending to accelerate their graduation must see either Associate Dean Sharon Golub or Registrar Nancy Messerer.

EVENING EXAM TIMES

Remember, evening exams will begin promptly at 6:30 this Spring, NOT 6:50. The exams will be over at 9:30 rather than 9:50.

EXAM NUMBERS

Exam numbers will be mailed to every registered student this week. It is the same number you were assigned for fall exams. Be sure to make a note of this number and bring it with you to all exams. If you do not receive your exam number in the mail, if you are a re-examiner and not formally registered, or if you have any other questions regarding your exam number, be sure to see Nancy Messerer, Law School Registrar, before exams.

NO EXAM BOOK(S) WILL BE ACCEPTED WHICH DO NOT BEAR YOUR CORRECT EXAM NUMBER. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS, DRIVERS'S LICENSE NUMBERS, ETC. MAY NOT BE USED.

SBA Business

SBA MEETING: FIRST AND LAST

The change-over meeting of the SBA will be this Wednesday, April 19 at 5 pm, room 406

Agenda:

- I) Financial Report:
 - a) Reimbursement for Administration advance for Bakke Conference.
 - b) Reimbursement for flowers for Jim Trail.
 - c) Typewriter, file cabinet, and lay-out board expenses.
- II) Other business:
 - a) Election Committee report.
 - b) Constitutional revisions for the summer.
 - c) Case book section in the library (ED.Note: I've seen this before).
 - d) Financial Aid Committee report.
 - e) FSC Committee selection report.
 - f) Caveat editor selection report.
- III) PARTY! All students invited, bring your own.

INFORMATION, PROBLEMS, THANKS FROM NEW SBA CHIEF

In order to have a well-organized, ready to go, SBA next year, some work needs to be done this summer on constitutional revision, election procedures, fall orientation, budget approval procedures, and a lot of other things that haven't popped into my head as I'm writing this. These procedures will be presented to the SBA for final approval the first thing next fall. We need students who will around this summer who are interested in working on any of these projects or others you can think of. If you want to help, please sign the list posted on the SBA bulletin board on the second floor and I'll contact you (after finals!)

The SBA faculty evaluation results will be posted on the SBA bulletin board by June 1 and will be published in the first Caveat next fall. The fall schedule of classes will not be out until sometime this summer,

so the results will be available before you decide which courses you will take. Sorry for any inconvenience-it will be taken care of much earlier next year.

Thank you letters seem a little corny and inadequate to convey my feelings and so I don't know how to write this. I just want you all to know that I'm very touched by your support and I will not take the SBA Presidency lightly. I hope at the end of next year you feel your vote was worth it. I intend to work hard next year for you.

Please feel free to let me know your concerns, questions, etc. this summer. Leave me a note on the student message board or in the SBA box in the Faculty Center on the 2nd floor. THANKS AGAIN!

Alice Montgomery

Graduating Folks, It's Party Time!

All right, you turkeys, I am tired of being harrassed by latent and apathetic partiers who want a graduation party, but think I should organize it. (I am also unclear as to how I achieved such a reputation, but that's another issue.) I am, therefore, officially declaring SATURDAY, APRIL 22 as "Goo-Goo Graduation Party Night." The party will consist of dinner and drinks, dictated by the desire and resources of each individual. It will take place at BRENNAN'S in Berkeley (University Ave. and Interstate 80) and should commence around 5 PM, in order to assure space for our group (not to mention plenty of time to party). I called Brennan's to inform (warn?) them of our intentions. They could not guarantee reserved space, but indicated that if enough of us got there at 5, we would be able to reserve tables.

Thus, the party is on. Be there or be sober. No amendments will be considered, and I don't want to hear any shit...I will, however, accept free drinks, and for those of you who have never partied with me, I will rip to shreds any of our most despised textbooks.

Cheers,
Cindy Duncan
Party Chairperson

announcements

FSC MEETING ON COALITION PROPOSALS CONTINUES - TUESDAY

On Tuesday, April 18, 3:00 the FSC meeting on our Coalition's proposals will continue. The presence of our fellow students at Thursday's meeting was helpful to us as well as educational to those who came. We still need you to help push through our other proposals so please come.

THIRD WORLD COALITION

PAD LAW FRATERNITY

The members of the Golden Gate Chapter of Phi Alpha Delta elected new officers to the fraternity in March. The results of the election are as follows:

Justice	William W. Klein
Vice Justice	Sharon G. Webster
Marshal	Mart S. Susi
Treasurer	Porter Goltz
Clerk	Lynne A. Stephenson
Placement Director	Michael E. Pitts

All are in the first year class. The fraternity wishes to congratulate these new officers and invites all students to contact any of them concerning membership in PAD and the activities of the fraternity.

SPEAKER'S FORUM: BRITISH JUDGE ON CHILD ABUSE

A lawyer's view of child abuse and its prevention will be featured in a talk by visiting British Judge Jean Graham Hall at GGU on Tuesday, April 18. Noon to 1:30

THEFTS

Reports of thefts of food and money on the second floor have filtered up to the Caveat office. Keep valuables near your bod.

THANK YOU FROM JUDY MCKELVEY

Thank you -- everyone who shared in the cards and greetings that came to me while I was convalescing. They were a "lift" which I appreciated. It was very nice of each of you.

SUMMER JOBS FOR CREDIT

Any upper division student interested in working part-time this summer for a Superior Court judge in SF should see Sharon Golub. The work is for unit credit and you must have completed Evidence.

TIMELY READING?

Soon to be on the law library shelves (check the card catalog) is a book discussing preparation of resumes, interviewing, various types of legal work and alternative careers for the law-trained. Saul Miller: After law school? Finding a job in a tight market. Boston: Little, Brown, 1978.

MORE THANKS!

The Women's Association wishes to extend a special 'thank you' to the night custodial staff, Eunice, Bob, and Ali (we're sorry we could not find out your last names) who among other things removed all those tables and set up all those chairs in the auditorium (and then had to put them all back in place for classes) for the Talent Show. We would particularly like to thank Mike Pasada for his hard work and continuing interest in making the Talent Show a success.

We would also like to specially thank Martin Kostner who supplied us with microphones, tape recorders, record players and went way out of his way to locate equipment we needed. Thank you also to Larry and other people from the equipment office downstairs whom we do not know by name.

Last but not least, thank you Molly Stolmack, Jerry Harper and Dean Scott.

If there is anyone on the custodial or other staffs we have overlooked, please accept our appreciation and apologies for not thanking you by name.

WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION BANQUET

The Women's Association is once again having a banquet to honor graduating women students. The dinner will be potluck (the guests of honor need only bring themselves), to be held this Friday night, April 21 from 6:30 to 9:30 in the auditorium.

There's a sign-up sheet and more details in the 2nd floor women's lounge. There promises to be good food, good entertainment, and good company. All women are invited -- spread the word and come!

ABA/LSD ELECTION RESULTS

Judy Middlesworth has been elected ABA/LSD Representative for next year. Congratulations Judy!

JOIN THE MAY 13th BAKKE DEMONSTRATION!

The Anti-Bakke Decision Coalition will be holding a day of mobilization against the Bakke Decision. There will be a march and rally. All are encouraged to attend.

10:00 a.m.: Assemble at Garfield Park, 26th & Harrison, S.F.
11:30 a.m.: March to the Federal Building on Golden Avenue.
1:00 p.m.: Rally at the Federal Building
For further info call ABDC: 648-4280

CLASSIFIED

BRC ENROLLEES (JULY 1978 BAR EXAM)

Representatives from BRC will conduct a bookmobile on Tuesday, April 18 from 3-6 pm at Golden Gate in order to facilitate an efficient exchange of the old BRC outlines for the newly printed outlines which will be used for the BRC summer course starting on May 31.

Watch for a posting for location. If you can't make it that day, you will be responsible for exchanging your outlines. If you have any questions, contact Cindy Duncan or the local BRC office.

(Continued from page 1)

ed the use of recent graduates to fill the special admissions recruiter slot. After quite a bit of discussion Bill Weiner, Admissions Committee Chair, offered a substitute motion on behalf of his committee. That motion involved hiring a third-world person for the Dean's office whose duties would be minority recruitment, developing and administering the scholarship fund and getting more clinic funds. The discussion continued on and off the points of the merits. A statement by Coalition member Walter Riley criticizing the view that GGU was in competition for a limited field of third-world candidates received applause from the audience. An hour into the meeting a vote was taken defeating the Committee's substitute motion. The next vote passed the Coalition's original motion.

The meeting moved on to the second proposal by the Coalition which spoke to a summer program for special admissions applicants. After some discussion as to what exactly the proposal meant, it was clarified that the summer program would be mandatory for special admissions applicants not accepted through regular special admissions procedures who still wanted to be admitted. Such admission would depend on their successful completion of the summer course. The proposal passed with little opposition.

The next motion the FSC dealt with was the Coalition's first Financial Assistance proposal. The proposal was in two parts: the first part recommending the Dean to expand the law school's scholarship fund by finding alternative ways to fund such student positions as SBA president, and Caveat, Law Review, and Alumni Forum Editors; the second part recommending to the Dean how to distribute the scholarship funds. Mike Golden proposed that discussion on the two parts be severed. While the Coalition agreed to sever for purposes of discussion, they did not agree on severance for purposes of voting. Nevertheless the FSC proceeded to sever the parts for voting anyway. Discussion then started on the part of the proposal dealing with alternative funding for student positions.

In the past these positions have been funded through the scholarship fund which also serves as the source of need and merit scholarships. During this year, people in the Dean's Scholarship Committee objected to the scholarship fund being depleted by these student positions. At the FSC meeting Judy McKelvey stated that the Coalition's proposal on the matter was moot in as much as a separate "Students Assistants Fund" (SAF) was from now on to be the source of money for these positions. The first area of confusion about this matter at the meeting (one of many confusions) was whether the SAF was only a new name for part of the scholarship fund, i.e. whether the SAF was severed from the scholarship fund. In response to several inquiries Judy insisted that the SAF did not "steal" money from the scholarship fund. At this point two interpretations of the Dean's "stealing" statement were possible and there was some confused discussion to determine what she meant. (At the same time amendments were made to the original motion with the apparent intent of increasing the funds available for need and merit regardless of what the Dean meant.) The first interpretation was that the fund stayed the same size (indicating an increase in the money available for need and merit scholarships) and that in addition to the scholarship fund the SAF had been established with alternative funds. If this interpretation were true, then the Coalition's proposal was indeed moot. The second interpretation was that Judy meant that the SAF had been severed out of the scholarship fund and that what had not been "stolen" from the fund was any of the funds for need and merit. If this interpretation were true, then the Coalition's proposals were not moot since there had been no subsequent increase in the funds for need and merit.

A motion was made requesting that no scholarship funds be used in the future for funding the student positions. Discussion continued on how to increase the scholarship fund by the amount in the SAF (an unnecessary discussion if the first interpretation was correct). Myron Moskowitz then proposed that no GGU funds be used to fund the student positions at all. Lani Bader agreed. Judy then stated that if that motion passed she would disregard it at least so far as the Alumni Forum and Law Review Editors were affected. Once again confusion reigned. Some people apparent-

ly saw this as a way to increase the scholarship fund. Bob Calhoun inquired whether or not if these positions were de-funded then the money saved from the de-funding would be added to the scholarship fund. At this point, this reporter recalls Mike Golden responding that yes, that was the proposal. However, others present at the meeting were of a different opinion as to what was happening. Some students felt that what was happening was the de-funding of these positions in the name of affirmative action and that in actuality no money would be returned to the scholarship fund in the future because it would not be budgeted for any more.

Marc Stickgold then moved that all motions be tabled in light of the prevailing confusion. This motion was disregarded and the motion was called which recommended to the Dean not to use any scholarship money for these positions. It was passed without opposition. However, the subsequent motion calling on the Dean to see that no GGU funds be used to subsidize these positions also passed (13 to 12). (The motion contained the proviso that if implemented the motion would be in effect a year from now.) This vote ended the meeting in a furor since it was manifestly unclear as to whether the funds for these positions would be returned to the scholarship fund and even if this was to be the effect it was not clear that a ban on GGU funding for student positions was necessary for increasing funds for scholarships. The result appears to contradict the Coalition proposals which expressly request alternative funding for student positions.

Mike Golden adjourned the meeting shortly after 5 p.m. to be continued on Tuesday.

Ruth Edelstein

Letters

FSC MEETING: FACULTY TAKE IT FOR BAD BEHAVIOR

Dear Editors,

The behavior of some of the faculty in the FSC meeting April 13th appalled me. It has been obvious that a number of the faculty are rabidly opposed to student power or voice in the running of the school. These faculty members took advantage of a confusion about how the budget is administered to push through an amendment not contemplated by the Third World Coalition. A motion by a faculty member to table the amendment pending further study of its effects was ignored. The amendment tried to kill two birds with one stone. It took away any possibility of funding certain student positions with any Golden Gate money after the next academic year, and it at the same time made it look like one student "faction" was attempting to take money from other students.

If these faculty members would like to remove power from the students, one would hope they had both the guts and enough support from other faculty members to do it in a straight forward way, not in the devious, underhanded way it was done. My understanding of the FSC meeting was that the FSC would accept or reject affirmative action - not rewrite the essence of the proposal in the name of the Third World Coalition.

Many amendments can be and have been proposed which, while changing the wording, do not change the essence and meet with the approval of the T.W.C. Perhaps, before voting on an amendment, the FSC should ask the T.W.C. whether they approve of the amendment and adopt it in their proposal. If the T.W.C. won't accept an amendment, the FSC should note only to accept or reject the T.W.C. proposal section as written or as amended by approval of the T.W.C. If the T.W.C. rejects an amendment, the FSC is free, in their next meeting, to raise and pass the rejected amendment as their own resolution. In this way, the resolution will be attributed to the group that approves it - not forced on a student group when they disapprove of it. And the students will know whom to address their objections to - as the authorship will be clear.

(Continued on page 5)

(Continued from page 4)

Come one, come all to the Circus - Tuesday 4/18 at 3 PM and watch the rest of the performance. Hopefully the integrity and essence of the T.W.C. proposal will not be destroyed any further than it was April 13.

Gail L. White
FSC member, Budget Committee

(ED. NOTE: For those of you taken aback by the faculty behavior you should know that to some they actually appeared to be on their best behavior. No compliment. D.C.)

ANOTHER LETTER RE: FSC MEETING

The FSC meeting Thursday was the first I had attended. I made an effort to be there for two reasons. First, I strongly support the TWC proposals and I wanted my presence counted among the many other supporters there. Second, as the new evening V.P. I believe that attending these meetings to learn what's going on is important.

After the discussion and final passage of the first part of the proposal (admissions), I was encouraged about the seeming working relationship between the students and the faculty.

Then came the discussion of the scholarship fund. I was amazed at the petty concerns of the faculty, e.g. : "I never got paid for Law Review," and "What do they do that's worth anything?"

There were many positions taken by individual faculty members but there was one overriding theme - fuck the students - "Why should they get paid for work they should gladly do for free because it will look so good on their resumes after graduation?" Notice that all this has nothing to do with increasing scholarships for needy students, supposedly the topic. It is also interesting to note that never was it suggested that the faculty might diminish their pay raises in order to help the scholarship fund - raises that have recently added up to a figure that exceeds the whole scholarship fund.

By my analysis, the actual effect of the faculty's last vote on Thursday is to add nothing more to the scholarship fund past the \$5000 that would have been included by the original TWC proposal, and to prohibit the school from ever funding (from any school source) the disputed positions.

The faculty's stated concern was that the most money possible be placed in the scholarship fund where it will do the most good - this was the same reasoning as was behind the TWC proposals. So there is no argument about that being a fair objective. The problem is the means chosen by the faculty. They felt that they could not depend on the TWC proposal to put the money from the Student Assistants Fund (SAF) into the scholarship fund. (For details see the FSC meeting article.) They then felt it necessary to say that other budget line items (such as the SAF if it really was separate from the scholarship fund) must be used for scholarships. That also is not so bad. But they went plainly too far in stating that no GGU funds can ever be used for those positions. This has no bearing what-so-ever on increasing the scholarship fund. Once the SAF is put into the scholarship fund then there is no reason to prohibit the Dean's office and the SBA from trying to find sources of money for those positions that never have nor will have any connection to scholarships. To put it in Con Law terms, this second amendment is extremely overinclusive!

If the faculty's real concern was to ensure that the \$5000 from the SAF was deposited into the scholarship fund (assuming this was even necessary if the money was in fact . separate entity), they could have adopted much narrower means to accomplish that purpose leaving the option to fund student positions open. All they had to do was propose: 1) That the SAF as it exists now be abolished; 2) That the \$5000 now in that fund be deposited in the scholarship fund; 3) That if the school continues to fund the positions it must look for sources unrelated to the scholarship fund.

All this leaves me with a very uneasy feeling about Thursday's meeting in particular and about developing a decent working relationship with the faculty in general. I get a strong feeling that some who voted with the majority on the Moskowitz amendment did not realize that they were screwing the students. Others were probably not so innocent in casting their vote. Some were aware students would be screwed and possibly hoped that students would come to believe in the myth that affirmative action could not be achieved without hurting other students. In short I expect that they hoped that students would end up fighting each other. This seems especially true in light of the alternative ways by which they could have achieved the stated goal.

Also, the FSC never got to the second part of the TWC scholarship proposal - how the scholarship fund should be spent to aid needy students. So as it stands now, the scholarship fund has been increased to \$50,000 (not much once you whittle it down between students) but there is no assurance that the faculty will mandate distributing the funds according to the TWC proposals.

Come to the next FSC meeting (Tuesday at 3 pm). Watch the faculty perform and see what their stand is on the potential scholarship fund increases. Also see how they handle the rest of the TWC proposals.

Note: Part of the TWC proposals includes a funds developer position which would also help achieve the faculty's goal of increasing the scholarship fund and could make it easier to find the money to fund the student positions.

Anne Hipshman

Dear Fellow Students, faculty Members & Administration Friends:

This is the last issue of the Caveat and for the class of 1975 it is the last few weeks of school. For me it has been a time of excitement, not a thrill-a-minute mind you, but a rewarding experience. One of the basic reasons has been my interactions with all my fellow students. I admire all of you. It was a pleasure meeting you, I love you all.

Of course, my fondest memories belong to the members of "THE PIT", Richard and Gus, Tom and Gary, Lori and Mark, John and Don, Joyce and George, Alfonso and Carmen, Risa and Franza, and others who are not here and one who is not with; Carol who transferred to Columbia and got married in March, Tim and Stu who transferred to Wisconsin, and Jim.

Speaking of memories, who among us can forget Ted's immortal words, "There is not too much smog in LA, there is too little." Rationale: If there were more smog it would be economically feasible to remove it. Lesson: Welcome to law school.

And while I sat there on my own I did not get there on my own, nor did I make it through on my own, Saudra made it all possible. How would most wives of twelve years react if out of a clear blue sky her mate said, "I would like to quit work and go to law school." She said GO. I am not at all sure most would. A special thanks to her.

Now it is time to say goodbye. I say it with ambivalence. I am very proud to be graduating and therefore, very happy to be leaving, but, as most of my past experiences have taught me, notwithstanding the noise level in the library, notwithstanding the lack of student lounge facilities, and notwithstanding the sometimes drudgery of reading cases and cases and cases, I know that I will look back upon my days in law school as some of the best and happiest in my life. I hope that you all will share that same feeling with me.

I also do not want to lose touch with any of you, therefore I extend to you an open and continuing invitation to call me or write me for any purpose and at any time.

Now as our little girl, Stacy, would say... Bon Voyage.
Bill Benjamin
528 Ramsell St
SF, CA 94137

585-6805

SOUTH of MARKET GOURMET

By Diane Beaufait

(Ed. Note: Seeing how exams are fast approaching and hedonistic delights are possibly our only joy now, we thought you'd all be interested in this eatery that Diane tracked down. Most important we thought it appropriate to have the last S of M G column devoted to a real gourmet food place south of Market. D.C.

"Mere parsimony is not economy... Expense, and great expense, may be an essential part of true economy."

Edmund Burke

Should you find a \$20 bill wedged between two drawers or find yourself the object of a charitable donor whose only desire is to feed you well, I suggest you take your well earned meal at Ruby's, a gourmet retreat South of Market. Ruby's is located amidst desolate parking lots and freeways at the corner of 4th and Brannan.

In a relaxed, pleasant but elegant atmosphere with linen and fresh flowers on the tables ruby's serves excellent food for both lunch and dinner. Among the dishes enjoyed by friends and me are such varied entrees as Coquilles Provencales, Tortellini della casa, Crepes Florentine, lamb curry and breast of capon. Each of these was perfectly cooked with excellent sauces and seasonings. All meals include raw vegetables, a salad and garlic bread. The entrees themselves are accompanied by cooked vegetables, some of which are quite unique such as Ratatouille.

The dinners range from \$5.50 to \$8.95 with most in the \$7.50 to \$8.95 range. If you are paying for your own treat, I strongly suggest lunch since many of the entrees served at dinner are also available at lunch for half the price. The lunch prices are from \$3.95 to \$4.95. Ruby's is open from 11 - 3 for lunch and 6-11 for dinner, seven days a week. Sunday brunch is from 11-3 (Price range - \$3.95 to \$4.95). Reservations are recommended. Phone: 494 - 0457.

(Ed. Note: As long as we're talking real gourmet, folks should know about the California Culinary Academy at 215 Fremont St. near Howard. This is a French cooking school, and they sell their students' homework for lunch (\$5-\$6). I haven't tried it but the Chron did and liked it. Telephone: 543 - 2764.)

FROM WALLY'S OFFICE

Federal Government & Large Law Firm Panel: Panel members represent the National Labor Relations Board, Federal Trade Commission and the law firms of Morrison & Foerster and Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison. Tuesday, April 18, 12:15 to 1:15 in Room 205.

Tax Law Practice Panel: Panel participants are all GGU alums, from the Bank of America Tax Department, Internal Revenue Service Regional Counsel, Law Office of William Taggart, and Hurdman & Cranston. Thursday, April 20, 12:15 to 1:15 in Room 205.

Graduating Students: I will be in the office this week until 6:50 pm, thru Thursday, to offer assistance in your post-graduate job search efforts. Do drop in if you have questions, need advice, resource materials or whatever. I wish all of you much success and fulfillment in the years ahead.

(ED.NOTE: Last year Wally received the Most Cooperative Contributor Award from the Caveat editors. Wally, consider yourself getting it for two years running.)

GUIDELINES FOR GRANTING INCOMPLETES

The Committee on Academic Standards has adopted the following guidelines for the granting of incompletes:

1. A student wishing to take an incomplete in a course must receive the written consent of the instructor prior to the last day of class. Incompletes requested after this date must also be approved by the Associate Dean. Forms for this purpose are available in the Dean's Office.
2. The granting of an incomplete is reserved for situations in which there are compelling circumstances. It is not to be used as a means of rearranging an exam schedule, postponing the due date of a paper or negating a poor grade where there is no right to re-examine. A student who is not granted an incomplete still has the right to withdraw from the course up until the day of the exam.
3. An incomplete in an exam class must be made up within one academic year. An incomplete in a paper class must be made up within a maximum of five calendar months, as follows: May 31 for fall courses and October 31 for spring and year-long courses. However, professors may require an earlier due date and/or impose other conditions that they feel are appropriate. Incompletes which are not made up within the allotted time automatically become F's.
4. A student who simply doesn't show up for an exam or fails to turn in a required paper on time without having dropped the class or making special advance arrangements will receive an F on the exam or paper.

STANDARDS FOR CHANGING INDIVIDUAL EXAMINATION SCHEDULES

The Committee on Academic Standards has approved the following standards for rescheduling examinations on an individual basis.

A conflict between exams will be deemed to exist when a student has:

- 1) a morning and afternoon exam on the same day;
- 2) an afternoon and evening exam on the same day;
- 3) an evening exam followed by an exam the next morning.

Students who have such a conflict between exams, and who wish to do so, may have one exam rescheduled. Arrangements for rescheduling an exam must be made prior to the last day of class and require the approval of both the instructor and the Associate Dean. Forms are available in the Dean's office.

The following factors will be taken into account in rescheduling an examination due to a scheduling conflict:

- 1) the revised exam time should be as close to the originally scheduled time as possible;
- 2) if several students are having the same exam rescheduled, they should all take it at the same time;
- 3) exams will not be rescheduled for after the last week of exams.

The instructor may also establish other appropriate conditions upon consenting to reschedule an exam.

The rescheduling of exams due to emergency situations (e.g., serious illness, death in the family) will be handled on an ad hoc basis by the Associate Dean and the instructor. The important thing is to contact the Dean's office as soon as you know you can't make an exam.



Mavis Jukes: Call your mother.