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At Hastings and Boalt Hall has been suffering from cuts in different parts of their programs. At each school students have been active in organizing to fight these cut-backs. At Hastings and USF the Deans have attempted to reemphasize LSAT scores in admissions procedures, and at Boalt the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

While USF and Hastings students have been successful in their struggle to have CPA's and non-quantifiable criteria emphasized in special admissions policy, students at Hastings have run up against an unexpected cut-back. As faculty at Hastings voted to formalize special admissions criteria (which had received student support), an amendment was introduced by one professor which would curtail minority students input in the admissions process. The faculty's approval of the amendment will result in a change in the make-up of teams which consider third-world applicants. Previously, each special admissions applicant was assigned to a team consisting of one teacher and one student. The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. Where the student member supported the candidate, the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

In the past three weeks affirmative action at Hastings, USF, and Boalt Hall has been suffering from cuts in different parts of their programs. At each school students have been active in organizing to fight these cut-backs. The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. While USF and Hastings students have been successful in their struggle to have CPA's and non-quantifiable criteria emphasized in special admissions policy, students at Hastings have run up against an unexpected cut-back. As faculty at Hastings voted to formalize special admissions criteria (which had received student support), an amendment was introduced by one professor which would curtail minority students input in the admissions process. The faculty's approval of the amendment will result in a change in the make-up of teams which consider third-world applicants. Previously, each special admissions applicant was assigned to a team consisting of one teacher and one student. The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. Where the student member supported the candidate, the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. While USF and Hastings students have been active in organizing to fight these cut-backs. At Hastings and USF the Deans have attempted to reemphasize LSAT scores in admissions procedures, and at Boalt the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

While USF and Hastings students have been successful in their struggle to have CPA's and non-quantifiable criteria emphasized in special admissions policy, students at Hastings have run up against an unexpected cut-back. As faculty at Hastings voted to formalize special admissions criteria (which had received student support), an amendment was introduced by one professor which would curtail minority students input in the admissions process. The faculty's approval of the amendment will result in a change in the make-up of teams which consider third-world applicants. Previously, each special admissions applicant was assigned to a team consisting of one teacher and one student. The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. Where the student member supported the candidate, the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

In the past three weeks affirmative action at Hastings, USF, and Boalt Hall has been suffering from cuts in different parts of their programs. At each school students have been active in organizing to fight these cut-backs. At Hastings and USF the Deans have attempted to reemphasize LSAT scores in admissions procedures, and at Boalt the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

While USF and Hastings students have been successful in their struggle to have CPA's and non-quantifiable criteria emphasized in special admissions policy, students at Hastings have run up against an unexpected cut-back. As faculty at Hastings voted to formalize special admissions criteria (which had received student support), an amendment was introduced by one professor which would curtail minority students input in the admissions process. The faculty's approval of the amendment will result in a change in the make-up of teams which consider third-world applicants. Previously, each special admissions applicant was assigned to a team consisting of one teacher and one student. The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. Where the student member supported the candidate, the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. While USF and Hastings students have been active in organizing to fight these cut-backs. At Hastings and USF the Deans have attempted to reemphasize LSAT scores in admissions procedures, and at Boalt the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

While USF and Hastings students have been successful in their struggle to have CPA's and non-quantifiable criteria emphasized in special admissions policy, students at Hastings have run up against an unexpected cut-back. As faculty at Hastings voted to formalize special admissions criteria (which had received student support), an amendment was introduced by one professor which would curtail minority students input in the admissions process. The faculty's approval of the amendment will result in a change in the make-up of teams which consider third-world applicants. Previously, each special admissions applicant was assigned to a team consisting of one teacher and one student. The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. Where the student member supported the candidate, the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

In the past three weeks affirmative action at Hastings, USF, and Boalt Hall has been suffering from cuts in different parts of their programs. At each school students have been active in organizing to fight these cut-backs. At Hastings and USF the Deans have attempted to reemphasize LSAT scores in admissions procedures, and at Boalt the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

While USF and Hastings students have been successful in their struggle to have CPA's and non-quantifiable criteria emphasized in special admissions policy, students at Hastings have run up against an unexpected cut-back. As faculty at Hastings voted to formalize special admissions criteria (which had received student support), an amendment was introduced by one professor which would curtail minority students input in the admissions process. The faculty's approval of the amendment will result in a change in the make-up of teams which consider third-world applicants. Previously, each special admissions applicant was assigned to a team consisting of one teacher and one student. The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. Where the student member supported the candidate, the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

In the past three weeks affirmative action at Hastings, USF, and Boalt Hall has been suffering from cuts in different parts of their programs. At each school students have been active in organizing to fight these cut-backs. At Hastings and USF the Deans have attempted to reemphasize LSAT scores in admissions procedures, and at Boalt the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

While USF and Hastings students have been successful in their struggle to have CPA's and non-quantifiable criteria emphasized in special admissions policy, students at Hastings have run up against an unexpected cut-back. As faculty at Hastings voted to formalize special admissions criteria (which had received student support), an amendment was introduced by one professor which would curtail minority students input in the admissions process. The faculty's approval of the amendment will result in a change in the make-up of teams which consider third-world applicants. Previously, each special admissions applicant was assigned to a team consisting of one teacher and one student. The student member of the team had the power to reject applicants. Where the student member supported the candidate, the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.

In the past three weeks affirmative action at Hastings, USF, and Boalt Hall has been suffering from cuts in different parts of their programs. At each school students have been active in organizing to fight these cut-backs. At Hastings and USF the Deans have attempted to reemphasize LSAT scores in admissions procedures, and at Boalt the administration and faculty are continuing an unspoken policy which has resulted in the gross underrepresentation of minorities and women on their faculty.
Funding the Great Leap Forward

STUDENTS CONFRONT DEAN AND V.P. ON TUITION INCREASES
by Larry Bittner

The past several years in this school have been marked by its history of sudden and unexpected tuition increases. The latest example is the $18 per unit (19%) increase scheduled to take place this coming fall. Although the current increase was, in some measure, predictable due to the aforementioned history, the timing of it (after last year’s 13.4% increase), the amount of it (9-10% greater than the local inflation rate), and the over-all impact of it on students, has come as a real shock to all. This latest increase has an invidious effect on all students in the law school, but is especially onerous for Third-World students who have been backed up against the wall on so many fronts at the school. With the foregoing in mind, the Coalition of Concerned Law Students set out to explore the matter - the Coalition is working to lessen the impact of the increase and also attempting to get the Administration to accept its responsibility for finding other funding sources.

During the past three weeks Coalition members and other concerned students met with Vice President for Finance, John Teitscheid and Dean McKelvey to hear their justifications for the increase and to voice their complaints and concerns as well as suggest alternatives to "tapping the easy source" (the students). These meetings, for a number of reasons, were far from satisfactory, but did serve the function of alerting the attending students to the Administrations approaches. Teitscheid justified his $8 per unit share of the increase by pointing to the added costs of moving into the new building. These costs, among others, include higher maintenance costs, bigger utility bills, and more insurance. Teitscheid stated that since law students will be occupying more space they would naturally have to pay for it. At no time did he mention alternative sources of funding to cover these added costs nor did he propose that the school try to ameliorate the increase by being less wasteful, etc. When confronted with suggestions as to how to trim costs, he admitted that it was a possibility but he gave no indication that he would pursue the matter further.

Dean McKelvey, while admitting to a lack of planning in the past by her predecessors (and the current higher-ups) stated that we were in the process of a "great leap forward" which according to her will confer great benefits on all GCU law grads, past, present, and future. The primary mechanism for the "leap" is to gain AALS accreditation, a move which apparently necessitates: 1) a new building, 2) a larger faculty, and 3) other tangibles and intangibles that will supposedly accrue to the benefit of all students. The Dean, however, failed to mention why one group of students (the current student body) is having to pay the bulk of the cost for the "leap". Dean McKelvey, like V.P. Teitscheid, did not mention alternative funding sources, and when the attending students did bring up the subject, the Dean's attitude seemed to mirror that of Mr. T. The one clear picture painted by Ms. McKelvey was this: Tuition increases will not stop with the laying of the last brick on the new building. Future plans call for further faculty pay increases, hiring of new administrators/faculty at the rate of 1-2 per year for the next five years, and cutting the current enrollment from 807 to a constant 750.

So, that is where the matter now stands. The tuition increase is on the books and the students are footing the entire cost of running the school. As things stand now, poor students will not be able to go here. Third-World admissions, already pathetically out of line with the Bay Area population could decrease and those minority students who do get in, will have a much harder time staying in.

In the course of students' talks with the Administration, both the Dean and Vice President acknowledged that increased aid to students in the form of grants, loans and scholarships was necessary although they would make no commitment to this end. Rather, they placed the burden on students to come up with alternatives (although Dean McKelvey did say she'd be willing to help). Students attending the meetings indicated dissatisfaction with placing the responsibility on them and expressed that if this is a priority the administration should make it its own. Be that as it may, it will require constant student pressure to insure that the administration will fulfill the highly limited commitment to which it has agreed.

SBA Elections

SBA elections are coming up: Deadlines

SBA President Richard Wright announces the elections Richard Wright announces that elections for the next SBA (78-79) which will take office this April. The positions to be filled include: President; Day Vice-President; Night V.P.; Secretary; Treasurer; and class reps.

The elections will take place Mon., Tues., Wed., March 27, 28, & 29. Deadline for signing up to run is Thursday March 16. Campaign statements must be submitted to the Caveat by Wednesday, March 22 at noon.

The SBA will be turned over to the new officers at the SBA meeting on Thursday, March 30. The new SBA will have to decide on a number of matters this year including the selection of next year's Caveat editor(s), and the composition of the 78-79 Curriculum and Hiring Committees.

SOUTH of MARKET GOURMET

by Ricky Rosen

This column can certainly give one indigestion, so it was with great pleasure that I discovered this contribution from Ricky Rosen which gives me a chance to brown-bag lunch this week. :-)

It's nice to know you don't have to travel 3,000 miles for home style New York-Philadelphia deli food.

Having just returned from Fox's Delicatessen (corner of 3rd and Mission) let me say if you've been longing for gantzeh New York Deli food, it's available and in San Francisco yet!

I tasted their matzoh ball soup (perfect on a rainy day like today) and it would have made even my grandmother smile. The brisket sandwich was juicy and large, with cole slaw and russian dressing ($1.60). I must say I was pleased. It was the first San Francisco deli that I have eaten at that 1) did not give me a strange look when I asked for cole slaw on the sandwich and 2) does give weird looks to people who put mayonnaise on pastrami!

Talking about pastrami ($1.60 range), it also is delicious, lean and warm and makes the rye bread nice and soft. (ED. NOTE: talk about schmaltz!) Meals are served until 3:30 pm. Also, they open for breakfast at 7 am and serve everything from bagels and hot lox to eggs nova (nova scotia lox with scrambled eggs) and matzoh brai (yes matzoh brai!).

The atmosphere is typical deli. Mr. Fox, the proprietor, informed me that they will move to 2nd and Howard -- closer to The Gate, for our continuing enjoyment, within the next three months.

So for a hot meal, a good sandwich, breakfast or even just a Dr. Brown's Cel-Ray, go to Fox's Deli, 3rd and Mission, and leave your bromo at home!

(ED. NOTE: For the uninformed -- Matzoh brai (rhyhmes with "watch ya eye") is a fried Matzoh-egg mash which is the Passover version of pancakes when served with honey, jelly or syrup. It's also used as a chicken stuffing and is highly addictive.)
announcements

WORLD SERIES OF POKER

Remember the Eric Safire World Series of Poker will be held on this Saturday, March 11, at 12:30 PM at Mark Derzon's house at 656 5th Ave., San Francisco. More details and sign-up sheet on 2nd floor candy machine.

LAW REVIEW WOMEN'S ISSUE

This year Golden Gate Law Review is publishing its First Women's Issue devoted entirely to the legal rights of women. The staff recently voted to continue the Women's Issue next year. The volume is aimed at practitioners. Next year, writers will either write short summaries of California court decisions and legislation affecting women for our Survey Section, or will write case comments on recent California Supreme Court cases of particular importance to women, or will write articles investigating particular legal problems in which the writer has expertise or on which he or she will collaborate with a local practitioner-expert lined up by the editors. If you thing you would be interested in working on this practitioner-oriented, feminist-oriented issue, we encourage you to enter the Law Review writing competition being held this week.

Law Review Staff

1978 Bologna Summer Program

The Caveat has received an announcement from New York Law School concerning the 1978 Bologna Summer Program. The program is scheduled for July 16 to July 28, 1978 in Bologna, Italy. The curriculum is geared to meet the demands of a contemporary international law practice emphasizing recent changes in international economic accords and the law of the European Common Market. The course is taught at the University of Bologna in English.

To defray the expense of the program, New York Law School has a limited number of scholarships to be awarded to outstanding applicants. Students are urged to apply for these scholarships early in the winter.

For more information, see the Dean's Office.

SUMMER JOB

The Law Students Civil Rights Research Council (LSCRRC) founded in 1963, is again offering the Summer Internship Program which will place first and second year students in positions focusing on civil rights, civil liberties and public interest issues. Students interns are placed preferably in communities where they live or near their schools, or in localities where they intend to practice. The interns use their legal skills in an effort to effect social change for the benefit of minorities, the poor, and the politically oppressed. Interns are paid a subsistence stipend of $100 to $150 a week by LSCRRC, depending on the amount of money available.

All who are interested are invited to come to the Tuesday meeting, March 7 at noon in Room 203. Stephen Magocsta, Western Regional Director, will explain the details, answer questions and distribute application forms.

Those unable to attend the meeting may obtain applications from Wally Allen or the LSCRRC office (431-3980). The deadline for applications is Monday, March 13.

REMINDER: LAW REVIEW WRITING COMPETITION

The writing competition (see details in the Feb. 21 Caveat, page 2) begins Monday, March 6 and ends Thursday, March 16.

To enter the competition, eligible students must submit a short paper discussing two recent Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals decisions. These cases, including detailed instructions for contestants, are now on reserve in the law library.

Each paper will be evaluated on the basis of (1) statement of the case, (2) organization, (3) the writer's analysis, (4) overall impression (including such things as readability, clarity, style and originality).

Bring papers to the Law Review Office (located in the rear of the library by the vending machines) no later than 7 pm on March 16.

There will be two informational meetings on Monday, March 6, at noon in Room 203, and at 6 pm in Room 205.

MLG PRESENTATION

Steven Rosen, International Hotel tenants' attorney and one of the "Bulldozer Defendants", will be at GGU on March 8 at noon (Room 207) to discuss the post-eviction I-Hotel happenings.

FROM WALLY'S OFFICE

Law Careers Panels this week:
- Sole Practitioner/2-Person Law Firm. Tuesday, March 7th, 12:15 to 1:15, Room 205.
- An Ex-Reader's Perspective on the Bar Exam. Thursday, March 9, 12:15 to 1:15, Room 203.

Please check the placement board for further information including a listing of panel participants.

Class of '77 Employment Information: Includes areas of practice engaged in and geographical location of graduates. Available in the placement office for your information.

ABA REINSPECTION VISIT

Our ABA Reinspection visit has been confirmed for the week of March 13. The likelihood is it will take place the first three days of the week. This inspection is being conducted in accord with ABA requirements that approved law schools be reinspected every seven years.

BAR EXAM REQUIREMENTS

The law library has received a copy of the National Bar Examination Digest which is designed to give up-to-date information on the bar exam requirements for all 50 states.
The following are notices of programs (writing competitions, scholarships, etc.)

### ABA Law Student Writing Contest

**Open** to all students enrolled in ABA approved law schools on the topic, "Access to Justice: Prospects for Developments in Criminal Law."

**Deadline for entries is May 1, 1978.** Winners will receive a set of the ABA Standards for Criminal Justice and a free trip to the ABA's annual meeting in NYC next August. The entries will also be published in an ABA publication. For more information contact: Susan Hillenbrand, Coordinator, Law Student Contest, ABA Criminal Justice Section, 2nd Floor, 1800 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036; (202) 331-2260.

### Robert Dauber Memorial Scholarship

Available to any student who fulfills two requirements. First, s/he must be a student attending an accredited law school in California, and second, that the student be a resident of the County of Riverside, CA. Amount is $1,000. Deadline on July 31, 1978. Applications should be mailed to the Riverside County Bar Association, 3765 Tenth Street, Riverside, CA 92501. Applicants should submit a letter stating academic, civic, and employment achievements; also students should furnish a transcript covering their last two years in school.

### Exxon Educational Foundation Scholarship

Available to women over 30 who are interested in becoming lawyers. Exxon Educational Foundation.