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ONE STEP FORWARD, TWO STEPS BACK: A BRIEF HISTORY OF LEGAL DISCRIMINATIONS AGAINST WOMEN IN IRAN AND THE VIOLATIONS OF INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS

DELARAM FARZANEH*

INTRODUCTION

In recent years Iran has primarily been under international scrutiny because of concerns over its development of a nuclear program. However, there have been other developments in Iran that also raise grave concerns among the international community. Since the 1990s, the Rights of Women have been one of the major categories of the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Iran.¹ The current direction of the Iranian government, with regard to improving women’s fundamental rights, seems to be back to the “good old days” rather than towards modernizing and humanizing strict patriarchal law. To best understand these current backward steps, it is useful to briefly examine the historical legal
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background that constitutes the struggle of Iranian women over the past two or more millennia.

Women’s rights in Iran have gone through undeniable evolution throughout the long history of various governmental regimes over the centuries. Since the beginning of the 20th century, Iran has gone through three major changes in government; each of which had direct impact on women’s rights. The earliest part of the twentieth century established the birth of a women’s movement, with women becoming visible in the public eye. They challenged the existing undermining, humiliating and controlling social norms and laws, which stemmed from Islamic patriarchal traditions. Prior to this time, women were considered mindless and incapable of social and political responsibilities.

The fall of the Ghajar dynasty (1796-1925) and establishment of the Pahlavi dynasty (1925-1979) embraced a period of the most progressive, yet controversial, policies concerning all aspects of women’s lives. Then after 50 years of such progress, the progressive legal, social and political steps forward were thwarted when The Islamic Republic was established in 1979. This government forced women two steps back to their status of half a century earlier and imposed a radical Islamic patriarchal system on them. Once more women were discriminated against, yet this time it was the twenty-first century.

A legal analysis of the current status of women in Iran would not be possible without having a historical background about their past lengthy legal battles. A background in the history is also informative in understanding and comparing the most recent progressive transformation women underwent during the Pahlavi as well as the sudden backlash and crackdown on women’s rights after the 1979 Islamic Revolution.

To place the critical events concerning the status of Iranian women today in perspective, this paper will first examine the fundamental legal discriminations against women during some key historical periods of change. This will include a review of critical ancient times through the Arab Conquest. Next, the article will examine more modern history beginning with the Ghajar dynasty. Finally, the article shall return to examine more closely the most progressive legal developments for women during the Pahlavi rule and distortion of women’s legal rights under Islamic Revolution as well as its relations to violations of international human rights.
I. ANCIENT TIMES

About the pre-history times (periods without written documentation), there is little reliable information with regard to the situation of the people, especially women. However, based on historical research, it has been speculated that during pre-history time people came from different groups and tribes in Iran, and lived in tribal kingdoms. The increasing power of leaders and struggles to control the increasing number of cities were always the reason for disputes, leading to wars and battles. It has been speculated that women had a variety of domestic responsibilities such as being in charge of firehouses, making clay dishes, and gathering fruits.

Before the migration of Aryans to the Iranian plateau, native Iranians were living in that area and women had good living conditions. Native Iranians believed in women as the basis for life and birth, and for that reason they respected and worshiped several female gods. However, the native Iranians were not as sophisticated as Aryans, and Aryans considered them inferior.

After the migration of Aryans, worshiping female gods was no longer accepted; rather the prevailing belief was that of male power. The head of the Aryan families were fathers who had total control over their families. Even though women were suppressed and underestimated by their husbands, they were considered the lady of their household. In general, it appears that women’s position in Aryan families were better than in some other groups.

3. Id. at 30.
5. Early in the first millennium B.C., major invasions by Indo-European tribes took place. The reason of the migration of Aryans to the Iranian Plateau is not clear to historians. However, it is speculated that their migration was due to lack of land in their home countries. PIRNIA, supra note 2, at 30, 33.
7. Id.
8. PIRNIA, supra note 2, at 2.
9. TOQRANEGAR, supra note 6.
10. PIRNIA, supra note 2, at 32.
11. Id.
12. Id.
There have been significant differences in the status and treatment of women during different periods in ancient Iran. Some rulers were harder on women, showing less respect; in contrast others were more respectful. An important problem that historians have been dealing with is the lack of factual and written information from ancient times. However, scholars who have done extensive research regarding women in those periods have clearly noted that most of the information concerned women who belonged to royalty. They have not been able to uncover factual information regarding non-royal women, especially impoverished women.

For instance, during the Median Empire, Medes families were based on the absolute control of the father of the family, including multiple wives. There are few documents that enable historians to learn about women during the Parthian Empire which does not permit a detailed discussion of the possible political influence and economic independence of women. However, Parthian kings were also polygamous.

During the Achaemenid dynasty, sometimes known as the largest Persian Empire that existed at that time, the wives of Kings were cruel powerful women filled with desires for revenge. "The actions of royal women seemed to be determined by their desire for power, and the punishments they stimulate are marked by harshness and cruelty." Royal and noble women had an active role in the political life of the

13. TOQRANEGER, supra note 6.
14. Id.
15. Id.
16. Id.
17. Medes were Aryans who established the Median dynasty (728-550 BCE). Historians do not know much about the culture of the Medes nor their dynasty. However, historians predict that Medes had an advanced culture. PIRNIA, supra note 2, at 70.
18. Id. at 62.
19. The Parthian empire (247 BC – 224 AD) was a major Iranian political and cultural power in Ancient Persia.
21. Id.
22. The Achaemenid dynasty (550–330 BCE), founded in the 6th century BCE by Cyrus the Great. PIRNIA, supra note 2, at 123. The most invaluable source for the discussion of women in the Achaemenid period is the Persepolis Fortification Tablets (PFT). They shed light on the titles for royal women, as well as on their economic position. The PFT also offer unique insight into the position of female laborers who were recipients of food rations at Persepolis. While other Near Eastern sources can complement some of the information provided in the PFT, it is predominantly the Greek historical sources and, occasionally, biblical references, which allow a discussion of the position of women at the Achaemenid court. Encyclopaedia Iranica, supra note 20.
23. MARIA BROSIUS, WOMEN IN ANCIENT PERSIA 559-331 BC 105 (Oxford University Press, 1998) (Cardascia published selected texts from the Murasu archive in 1951).
24. Id.
Persian court.25 The key motivation for their involvement was the welfare of their family, such as saving a life or maintaining the continuity of their family.26

During this time, based on historians’ limited information, judicial matters and verdicts were solely controlled and judged by the King. Yet, royal women were able to express their opinion on the King’s verdict to alter the method of punishment, had the power to prevent executions, or even played mediator roles. That said, women did not have a direct role in political or military matters, or in deciding the succession to the throne.27

The economic situation of royal women during the Achaemenid dynasty clearly shows that royal women enjoyed substantial economic independence and freedom; they could travel on their own, as well as accompanying the king.28 Not only could royal women own lands and estates, but they could also employ and administer their own workforce.29 Further, these royal women also had their own seal to authorize transactions and pay their workers.30 Nevertheless, during the Achaemenid dynasty, female relatives of the king were often used to affirm the political marriage alliances or bring peace. According to Greek sources, incestuous marriages were a common practice.31

The last powerful dynasty before the invasion of Arabs to Iran was the Sassanid Empire.32 According to evidence from this time, Sasanian kings were polygamous due to a King’s need to produce numerous male offspring so that he could choose the best heir to the throne amongst them.33 In the latter years of this period, there were some legal reforms such as reducing punishments and supporting women’s rights, especially in family and inheritance laws.34 For instance, royal Sassanid women

25. Id. at 119-120.
26. Id.
27. Id. at 122.
28. Id. at 180. See also Encyclopaedia Iranica, supra note 20.
29. BROSIUS, supra note 23, at 180. For instance, a royal woman of the court of Darius I, had up to 480 laborers.
31. Id.
32. The Sassanid empire was another powerful dynasty established in by Ardashir. In the seventh century, Iran proved unable to resist the Muslim invasion, which in 651 put an end to the Sassanid. It was during this time that Zoroastrian became the official religion of the country. Prior to this Iran did not have an official religion and Iranians had freedom of religion. PIRNIA, supra note 2, at 289-293, 248.
33. Encyclopaedia Iranica, supra note 20.
34. ALAVI, supra note 4, at 9-12.
possessed their own seals authorizing them to conduct transactions and official affairs.  

There is some evidence that during the Sassanid, women from higher classes of society even were familiar with laws and were discussing legal issues among themselves. It is apparent that some women even studied law. By the end of the Sassanid period, women in Persia, at least those of the upper classes, had more rights than in most parts of the world at that time.

II. ISLAMIC CONQUEST

After the Arabs’ successful invasion and conquest of Iran in the mid-seventh century, the expansion of Islam beyond the borders of Arabia brought vast social and political changes into all aspects of the people’s lives including women. Consequently, Islam became the new religion of the ruling class.

Although little is known about women during this period, it is apparent that after the invasion of Arabs in Iran, the status of women in general declined, and there were more negative attitudes toward women. Gradually, Shi’a Islam was adopted as the official religion of state; yet, for a while it peacefully co-existed with other religions. Enormous harems of wives became the accepted practice for noble families. Women had little power over their sexual, psychological and emotional lives. The system of gender segregation created limited employment opportunities for working women, leaving them only to serve harem women in positions such as maids, hair combers, bakers, and reciters of the Quran. A “woman” meant a purchasable sexual object for a man’s sexual desire. Women’s value and social position declined to that of “an

35. Encyclopaedia Iranica, supra note 20.
36. ALAVI, supra note 4, at 32-34.
37. Id.
38. LEILA AHMED, WOMEN AND GENDER IN ISLAM: HISTORICAL ROOTS OF A MODERN DEBATE 79-82 (Yale University Press, 1993).
39. Id.
40. Id. at 17. See also TOQRANEGER, supra note, at 58-59.
42. AHMED, supra note 38, at 83.
43. Id. at 84.
44. Id.
45. Id. at 84-85.
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object," causing them to lose all their relations to the outside world and intellectually putting them lower than men.46

It has been said that women during the Sassanid 47 were moving forward; improving their rights and independence, and that the consequent victory of the Arabs and the fall of the Sassanid caused women to move backwards over the next centuries, losing the benefit of all their achieved rights and accomplishments.48

The Quran became the legislative document; over the years local laws were modified by Quranic rules.49 Absence of authentic Islamic rules on one hand, and promotion and popularity of male control traditions on the other, marginalized women even more. Women could not testify as witnesses; new restrictions were placed on their participation in the legal transactions of their husbands.50 Veiling and the confinement of women became a usual social practice.51

However, women from royalty still had more rights and opportunities in comparison with non-royal women. Despite the existence of possibilities for this group of women to achieve higher positions, governing positions and direct involvement in politics was not attainable. Even so, they had a strong indirect effect on making decisions by having a strong influence on their men. Therefore, matrimonial relationships played a more important role in politics after the arrival of Islam in Iran.52

After the arrival of Turks in Iran, women had more opportunities to appear in the public arena.53 During this time, women were respected by participating in charities such as donating time to mosques and schools, and thus they filled some political roles.54 We must consider that even among higher classes of women, there were only a limited number who could become princesses or become a favored one who played a role in political matters.55 Other women such as Kaniz (slave girls), or Sigheh (temporary wives) lived in a King’s Haremsara (harem). Even though

46. TOQRANEGAR, supra note 6, at 65.
47. See supra note 32 and accompanying text.
48. TOQRANEGAR, supra note 6, at 58-59 (citing Christian Bartholomae, WOMEN IN SASANIAN LAW 39).
49. AHMED, supra note 38, at 88-89.
50. Id. at 17.
51. Id. at 18.
52. TOQRANEGAR, supra note 6, at 60. See also ANN LAMBTON, CONTINUITY AND CHANGE IN MEDIEVAL PERSIA 281 (Yaghob Ajand trans., State University of New York Press 1986).
53. TOQRANEGAR, supra note 6, at 61.
54. Id. at 61-62.
55. Id. at 62.
they were counted as part of the king’s royal household, they were more desperate than women from the common class.\textsuperscript{56}

With regard to women from the common classes, men had no positive perspective concerning women’s participation in public life.\textsuperscript{57} The common expectation for a regular woman was for her to be a good mother and enjoy her role as a housekeeper.\textsuperscript{58} While there were modest modifications in the legal rights of women during the next thousand years, nothing of significance occurred to reverse the pattern initiated after the Arab conquest.

A. GHAJAR DYNASTY (1796-1925)

Ghajar imperial political sovereignty embedded two milestone political uprisings at the turn of the 20\textsuperscript{th} century; first, the Tahrim Tanbaco (Banning Tobacco) revolt in 1891 and; second the Constitutional Revolution (1906-11) which ultimately established a parliamentary government for the first time in Iranian political history. It is critical to examine women’s status and roles during this time for one important reason: for the first time in history women became visible and played a prominent role. Women not only participated in political uprisings, but also publicly demanded legal, social, and political reforms. Females, who were once seen as mere objects, started to fight back against women’s illiteracy, the inability to vote, and male-dominant rules. For the first time they formed women organizations. Women’s unprecedented presence in these two historical events was the beginning of a challenge to hundreds of years of patriarchal Islamic rules and customs that had categorized them the same as criminals and the mindless.

Prior to the Constitutional revolution, men were in complete control of every aspect of social and political affairs; women’s responsibilities were limited to their traditional family duties.\textsuperscript{59} Even women’s official dress (including the veil) identified them as a weaker sex unfit for anything but domestic roles and obedience to men’s will.\textsuperscript{60}

Women were strictly prevented from entering the public domain and their mobility was strictly controlled.\textsuperscript{61} Women could leave their houses

\textsuperscript{56} Id.
\textsuperscript{57} Id. at 63 (citing BERTOLD ESHPOLER, IRANIAN HISTORY IN EARLY ISLAMIC ERA 187).
\textsuperscript{58} TOQRANEGAR, supra note 6, at 63 (citing BERTOLD ESHPOLER, IRANIAN HISTORY IN EARLY ISLAMIC ERA 60).
\textsuperscript{60} SEDGHI, supra note 41, at 26.
\textsuperscript{61} Id.
only during the daytime and during certain hours, and wearing Chador, a loose full body length outer garment. Women were barred from going to public places such as restaurants and cafes. It was common for men coming from royal families to have countless wives; polygamy was a common practice. Women were kept unaware of the social and political transformation that was taking place all over the world. According to a few outspoken thinkers of the time, women were considered as objects who were prohibited from education, training and participation in the social life. They were regarded as mindless, following Islamic custom.

The first important political event that women officially participated was the Tahrim e Tanbaco (banning tobacco) in 1891. Common people, even women who belonged to royal families and had never refused or even questioned the King’s orders, broke their pipes and refused to buy tobacco.

The second unprecedented movement was the Constitutional Revolution of 1906. The Constitutional Revolution began with a protest against monarchial tyranny and a request for justice by religious leaders. The Revolution did not end until a new constitution was granted. It put an end to the imperial governorship in Iran giving the King unlimited legal and political power, almost like God. Despite the severe restrictions women faced, they still directly or indirectly managed to participate in the movement.

62. Navid Ghazanfari, Mostanad Sakhteh Shode Tavasote Shabakeye Manotoi [Documentary Movie Made by Manoto 1], available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iUZ18A-kGHU&list=FLHIAZQ_N2e1DM6_s0pRZ3Q.
63. Id.
64. SEDGHI, supra note 41, at 27.
65. TOQRANEGAR, supra note 6, at 66. See also MORTEZA RAVANDI, TARIKH E EJTEMAI IRAN (IRANIAN SOCIAL HISTORY VOL. 3) 725 (Roozbeh).
66. Bibi Khanom Astarabadi (1852-1920), Saiyed Jamalzadeh, and Mirza Agha Khan Kermani were all outspoken thinkers during the Ghajar Dynasty. SEDGHI, supra note 41, at 25.
67. SEDGHI, supra note 41, at 25 (quoting Bibi Khanom Astarabadi).
68. AHMAD KASRAVI, TARIKH E MASROTEH IRAN [THE IRANIAN CONSTITUTIONAL REVOLUTION] 15-17 (Amir Kabir Publications, 2000) (translated by author). While the details of the Tahrim e Tanbaco is beyond the scope of this paper, it was a Shi’a clerlc-led revolt in Iran against a tobacco concession granted by the Shah to Great Britain. The protest climaxed in a wildly-obeyed fatwa (an authorized legal opinion or religion ruling that becomes binding) against tobacco use issued by Grand Ayatollah Mirza Hassan Shirazi, which ultimately ended in voiding the privilege.
69. TOQRANEGAR, supra note 41, at 66-67.
70. Id. at 67.
72. TOQRANEGAR, supra note 6, at 67.
73. KAR, supra note 59, at 16. At this time it was not even common for a woman to leave house even if she wanted to go to Maktab (old-fashioned primary school). 
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After establishment of the parliamentary system, the primary challenge that women had to deal with during the first Parliament was fighting against the male controlled school of thought which considered women intellectually "mutilated, weak, foolish, and lazy." Reformist women supported the constitutional revolution by publishing articles in the newspapers that supported the revolution and tried to confront all political and social discrimination and mistreatment by men.

Women's restricted private and social status fostered a lack of awareness about the world, and was another hurdle to their growth. Women's advocates believed that the connection between the private and social arena was when there were equal rights between women and men in the family.

In order to fight back against the patriarchal system, women decided to establish schools for girls. There were no educational institutions for Iranian Muslim women in the early 1900's. The first girls' school was established in 1907. It was also in 1907 that the first women's right organization was created. By the fall of the Ghajar, other women's organizations were established which helped in improving women's rights and status. Women also played a key role by investing their wages and/or jewelry after the creation of a National Bank which was created in order to reduce Iran's dependence on foreign loans.

Despite strong involvement of women in establishing the parliamentary government, they were barred from voting and being elected. Three election laws were ratified after the Constitutional revolution, yet none granted women the right to vote or be elected in the parliament.

\[\text{In order to fight back against the patriarchal system, women decided to establish schools for girls. There were no educational institutions for Iranian Muslim women in the early 1900's. The first girls' school was established in 1907. It was also in 1907 that the first women's right organization was created. By the fall of the Ghajar, other women's organizations were established which helped in improving women's rights and status. Women also played a key role by investing their wages and/or jewelry after the creation of a National Bank which was created in order to reduce Iran's dependence on foreign loans.}\]
According to the election laws women were still counted as criminals and retarded individuals.\(^86\)

Opponents of women's rights continued to believe that God did not give women the ability to vote and regarded them as weak and retarded individuals.\(^87\) These men believed a woman's brain lacks the capacity to deal with serious matters.\(^88\) Furthermore, according to Islam, the official religion, women are under the guardianship of men.\(^89\) Therefore, others must protect them and women can never have the right to vote.\(^90\) Objection by a majority of the members of parliament to women's voting rights was a clear sign of hostility against women's political participation.\(^91\) Islamic religious leader’s response to women’s protest was that in Islam women do not have lawmaking rights. The position that women should be banned from lawmaking derives directly from Islamic Law.\(^92\)

The aftermath of WWI created a new perspective for Iranian women. By following the effect of the war on European women, Iranian women became aware of the transformation that was happening around the world. They became aware that European women participated during the war by working in factories, administrations, and other jobs alongside men. European transformation caused Iranian women to analyze their status, and question leaders of the county regarding their potential roles and the animosity that existed against them.\(^93\)

Groups of Iranian women requested to be deployed to the war zones to take care of injured men, cook, sew, and perform other “worldly” jobs. They asked to remove the religious ban on deploying women to the war zone.\(^94\) None of their requests were accepted.\(^95\)

Nevertheless the stirring of women’s freedom had begun. They were beginning to be educated and participate in public affairs, even if they were denied the legal right to vote and be elected. Despite women’s

\(^{86}\) KHOSRO PANAH, supra note 74, at 126.
\(^{87}\) Id. at 128.
\(^{88}\) KHOSRO PANAH, supra note 74, at 128.
\(^{89}\) Id.
\(^{90}\) Id.
\(^{91}\) KHOSRO PANAH, supra note 74, at 129.
\(^{92}\) KAR, supra note 59, at 21.
\(^{93}\) KHOSRO PANAH, supra note 74, at 181-184.
\(^{94}\) Id.
\(^{95}\) Id.
progress in being able to criticize the country’s governorship and analyze existing problems, women still lacked political, social and economic knowledge, and expertise.  

B. PAHLAVI DYNASTY (1925-1979)

Pahlavi is the most significant period in the modern Iranian history. Nationalism and modernization of Iran was born during the reign of Reza Shah Pahlavi, the founder of Pahlavi who was crowned in 1925 when Iran was stuck in the Middle Ages. Reza Shah’s rapid policies transformed Iran dramatically in three major areas: infrastructure, independence from foreign occupation and control, and launching socio-cultural reforms. With regard to women, Reza Shah’s unprecedented and innovative, yet controversial policies, were the start of the rapid removal of social taboos and restrictions that had enslaved women for centuries. Half a century of the Pahlavi era reforms ultimately emancipated entrapped women from hundreds of years of backward patriarchal and Islamic customs. However, achieving these goals was not possible without simultaneously weakening the Islamic clerics who had been in charge of every aspect of women’s lives.

The 1960s marked the beginning of fundamental social and political changes in much of the world. At the core of these changes was the advancement of women’s rights. The 1960s was the peak of constructive women’s rights reforms in Iran under the rule of Mohammad Reza Pahlavi through his reformist plan called the “White Revolution.” In Europe, massive groups of women started to join various fields of work and took on professional leadership roles. Legal and family reforms gave women greater social participation opportunities. This era was the beginning of women asking for political, social, and economic equality with men in the world.
Although women in the United States were granted the right to vote by Constitutional Amendment in 1920, prior to 1960 women held very few elected offices at the state or federal level. Women’s legal status in the United States improved significantly after the 1960s through laws such as The Equal Pay Act of 1963, Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, and prohibition of gender discrimination pursuant to the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

In 1925, at the beginning of Reza Shah’s rule, women still were treated as criminals and bankrupts by the election law; they were banned from voting or being elected to the parliament. The first drastic reform concerning women Reza Shah introduced was called the Women’s Awakening of 1936-41, a state feminism project. The Women’s Awakening created new opportunities in employment and education fields for some Iranian women. Nonetheless, it required that all Iranian women unveil in public. This was Reza Shah’s first innovative, yet bold, policy called Kashf e Hijab (unveiling).

Unveiling was a gradual process since wearing traditional women’s clothes was not abolished overnight. In 1936, Reza Shah officially introduced unveiling at a ceremony held in Danesh Saraye Moghadamati Daneshgahe Tehran (Tehran Training College). Unveiling then became an official state policy, thereafter known as Women’s Emancipation Day. All women teachers and wives of government officials were ordered to appear in European clothes and hats; veiled women were strictly banned from appearing in public arenas. Nevertheless, women’s unveiling policies were not accepted by the clergy; it created much resentment among clergy, with Ayatollah Khomeini being the most important voice against the policy.

In 1931, the state ratified the Marriage Law and procedures to regulate marriages and divorces, which were originally controlled by Islamic

103. U.S. CONST. amend XIX.
106. Reed v. Reed, 404 U.S. 71 (1971) (stating there could be no discrimination based on gender unless there was a rational basis (minimum level of scrutiny) for the discrimination); Craig v. Boren, 429 U.S. 190 (1976) (raising the level of scrutiny to intermediate).
107. AMIN, supra note 71, at 1.
108. Id.
109. KAR, supra note 59, at 25.
110. SEDGHI, supra note 41, at 85-86.
111. Id. at 86.
112. Id. at 86-87.
113. AMIN, supra note 71, at 112-113.
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clerics; it was again revised in 1937.114 According to this law, marriages must be recorded with the government, and the law outlined specific conditions for divorce.115 However, groups of women’s rights advocates criticized the Marriage Law for being a mere codification of the gender inequities of traditions of Islamic laws. For instance, husbands still controlled their wives’ right to work and travel.116 Notably, this attempt at progressive reform of the Marriage Law did not target polygamy, temporary marriage, male guardianship and stronger male’ rights in the event of divorce.117

Reza Shah’s rapid moves toward modernization included establishing a secular educational system, which originally had been dominated by religious clerical authorities.118 The most important obstacle for women was overcoming the high percentage of illiteracy among them.119 Reza Shah invested more resources into reform and expansion of secondary and postsecondary education for women. Finally, in 1935, he officially endorsed women to receive higher education.120 Later, the parliament also approved funding for women to pursue higher education abroad.121

Despite Reza Shah’s enforcement of unveiling, reform of the Marriage Law, and progressive developments in women’s education and employment, women were still discriminated against in their fundamental rights.122 Women were still barred from voting or being elected in the parliament as they were considered on the same level as criminals. According to Article 10 of the Election Law of the National Assembly Council 1304 (Khorshidi)

The following are barred from voting: 1. Women; 2. Those who are not legally able and are under guardianship; 3. Foreigners; 4. Infidels; 5. Under the age of twenty; 6. The bankrupts; 7. Those who earn their living by dishonorable means; 8. Murderers, thieves and other wrong-doers who have violated Islamic laws;

114. Id. at 13.
115. Id. at 127.
116. Id. at 127.
117. Id. at 13.
118. SEDGHI, supra note 41, at 70.
119. TOQRANEGAR, supra note 6, at 120 (citing MAHMOD TALEGHANI, SOCIAL STUDYING IN TEHRAN Vol. 1, 16-17 (1369)).
120. Id. at 215.
121. Id. at 189.
9. Political criminals who raised being elected to the parliament against the foundation and independence of the regime.\textsuperscript{123}

Article 13(2) of this law, under The Qualifications of Candidates, explicitly banned women from being elected in the parliament.\textsuperscript{124} Article 6 of the Qualifications of Candidates for the \textit{Majlis e Sena} Election (Senate of Iran)\textsuperscript{125} also explicitly gave voting rights only to men; the same discrimination against women was applied under the requirements for being elected in the Senate of Iran.\textsuperscript{126}

It was not until 1963 that Mohammad Reza Shah, son of Reza Shah, launched his series of reforms under the “White Revolution,” which was directly aimed at women, demanding equal political rights for them.\textsuperscript{127} Principle 5 of the “White Revolution” finally reformed the electoral laws which had banned women from voting and being elected.\textsuperscript{128}

Major changes were also introduced in family law with the passage of the Family Protection Law (FPL) of 1967, which was later significantly amended in 1975. According to this law, extra-judicial divorce was abolished.\textsuperscript{129} The law required judicial permission for polygamy, which was granted only in limited circumstances.\textsuperscript{130} Further, both women and men could ask for divorce under specific circumstances.\textsuperscript{131} After the meaningful reform of the electoral law and the FPL, the clergy lost much...
of its previous power. They considered these changes absolute violations of Islamic laws and fought back strongly, insisting that the Shah reverse the changes.\(^{132}\)

During the Reza Shah era, women did not hold political positions. However, after the “White Revolution” women were successfully integrated into public roles such as ministers, members of cabinet, ambassadors, and university professors.\(^{133}\) In 1968, Queen Farah\(^{134}\) became the official Empress Regent – the highest political position that was ever given to a woman in the Middle East.\(^{135}\) In 1969, seven women were selected as judges for the first time.\(^{136}\)

Despite women being accorded the right to vote, the passage of the FPL, as well as women holding important political offices and presiding as judges, women generally were not accepted as intellectually equal to men. Even the Shah suggested in a 1977 interview, “you can always have some exceptions, and find fantastic women.”\(^{137}\)

C. AFTER THE ISLAMIC REVOLUTION OF 1979

The Islamic Revolution of 1979 put an end to over half a century of the Pahlavi, and introduced its government as “The Islamic Republic of Iran” (IRI) with the Leadership of Ayatollah Ruohollah Khomeini under the principle of Velayat e Faqih (Guardianship of the Islamic Jurisprudence).\(^{138}\) Velayat e Faqih requires the governance and
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administration of government to conform to Islamic laws, as interpreted by selected group of religious scholars.  

An important characteristic of the Islamic Revolution was the prominent role of women in its victory. Millions of women took part in daily demonstrations, in which most of them wore the black *chador*, as an extreme reaction to Shah’s regime. During the Pahlavi, many young women, from different family backgrounds, had been pressured to imitate western values and styles at work and in the universities while their families continued to insist on the religious values. These women felt lost. Their support for the Islamists was a political act against suppression, reflecting their anger.

The Islamic supporters believed that women’s participation in politics should be used in favor of Islam; that women should actively play a role to bring Islam to power. Ayatollah Ruohollah Khomeini was aware of the potential massive power of women. Therefore, in the beginning, not only did he request women’s political participation, but also emphasized the vital obligation that women had even without the approval of their fathers or husbands which was the longstanding tradition. In return, Ayatollah Ruohollah Khomeini promised equality for women in the future of his new Islamic government. Consequently, one of the important characteristics of the revolution was women’s unprecedented presence to achieve the victory. However, religious standards were re-imposed, as veiled women were seen as powerful revolutionaries and secular women were degraded as westernized and
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monarchial. This was the beginning of a new chapter in women’s history in Iran.147

Islamic scholars like Shariati used Islamic figures like Fatimah148 and Zeynab149 to promote an ideal role model of Muslim womanhood who accomplished all their traditional duties, but were also aware of their social responsibilities.150 They believed women’s participation in politics should be used in favor of Islam by having women play an active role in bringing Islam to power.151

Despite the initial equality promises by Ayatollah Ruohollah Khomeini, women were among the first to sacrifice after the revolution as they experienced their legal status and social positions dramatically decline in the name of religion. Reinstatement of compulsory hijab (the proper Islamic dress), a ban on women singers, and a ban on women as judges were among the early attacks on women’s rights.152

1. Compulsory Hijab and Violations of International Human Rights

After the victory of the Islamic Revolution, Iran became the first and only Islamic country that made wearing the hijab compulsory and punishable by laws.153 Twenty-four days after the victory of the Islamic Revolution right before the approval of a new constitution and one day before International Women’s Day, Ayatollah Rouhollah Khomeini referred to unveiled women as “naked” and demanded that they observe Islamic hijab at offices and places of work.154 It was during his speech at the Refah School that he stated, “[a]t Islamic ministries women should not appear naked. Women can be present so long as they observe Islamic hijab.”155
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The Islamization of state and society established both sex segregation and mandatory *hijab*. In 1979, despite women’s protest for three days while there were no compulsory *hijab* laws in force, first informal pressure and decisions by the government forced some working women, including TV announcers, to comply with Islamic *hijab*; this later applied to the entire female population. In the 1980-81 academic school year, personnel were required to wear compulsory *hijab*. By 1982, having *hijab* was the mandatory dress code for women in all government offices. Further, stores put up signs rejecting services to “*bihijab*” women (improper *hijab*). In 1983, for the first time, the Parliament passed *Ta’zirat*, a law stipulating punishment of up to seventy-four lashes for violation of the *hijab*. In 1996, the *Ta’zirat* (punishment) was abolished, and the issue of *hijab* was addressed by Article 638 of the Islamic Penal Code. According to the Note in this Article, the punishment changed to imprisonment or a fine.

Later, in 2005, the Cultural Council, which is part of the Ministry of Islamic Guidance, approved the “implementation procedure for promotion of a culture of chastity.” This law ordered disciplinary forces with the task of “[d]eclaring the limits and legal definition of modesty and standards of improper *hijab* in society with the aim of recognizing its manifestations” as well as “taking legal steps to confront individuals with improper *hijab*.” The disciplinary forces also have the responsibility of “warning individuals with improper *hijab* and confronting them in public,” such as while driving a vehicle or visiting parks, cinemas, sport facilities, mountains, beaches, islands, airports, and free trade zones. Since 2007, disciplinary forces established “guidance patrols” to monitor the streets and public places functioning as one of the
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organs dealing with the enforcement of this law to determine the criteria of the “improper hijab.”\textsuperscript{168}

In traditional Islamic view, \textit{hijab} is a divinely ordered requirement that associates with man’s unalterable weakness in resisting sexual temptations when facing any women (other than grandmothers, mother, aunts, and sisters).\textsuperscript{169} \textit{Hijab} is a manifestation of chastity, dignity, and modesty.\textsuperscript{170} It is presented as something that always existed in Islam and always guaranteed women’s presence in the public by liberating women to enter the social domain, granting women human identity, and allowing her to engage in social activities free from sexual attractions.\textsuperscript{171}

It is important to mention that there is no proper, clear, or written definition of \textit{hijab} in Iranian law or any clear rules on what is considered a violation of \textit{hijab}.\textsuperscript{172} According to Shi’a Islam, \textit{hijab} is covering hair and the whole body with the exception of below the wrists.\textsuperscript{173} There are no certain rules and measures to implement these restrictions against women. Instead, its implementation has been left to the sole discretion of the disciplinary and other official forces.\textsuperscript{174} These criteria of the concept of “improper \textit{hijab}” differ on a regular basis and in many cases depend on the personal preferences of the patrol officer.\textsuperscript{175} These forces find every opportunity to abuse women who may in any way be thought to be violating the \textit{hijab}; even extending to an objection to the color of a woman’s clothes or how loose or tight her clothes seem.\textsuperscript{176} However, these restrictions are unstable and change during different times and administrations.\textsuperscript{177} The scope of \textit{hijab} is not limited only to Iranian Muslim women and girls; it is now mandatory to all women and girls present in Iran, regardless of their religious beliefs or national origin.\textsuperscript{178} \textit{Hijab} is mandatory for all girls over the age of seven.\textsuperscript{179} On the contrary,
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there is no dress code punishment for men under the Islamic Penal Code.\textsuperscript{180}

According to the findings of the report, \textit{Thirty-Five Years of Compulsory Hijab},\textsuperscript{181} the majority of women who have been detained for violating \textit{hijab} have been subject to insult, molestation, harassment, and beating. Furthermore, this study, after reviewing the behavior of the judicial system, documents unfair trials and unjust verdicts dealing with women who violated \textit{hijab}. According to this report, such official mistreatment by both police and the courts is part of the denial of psychological protection of women in the Iranian society which leads to increases in mental illness and suicide.\textsuperscript{182}

Within the last 35 years, enforcement of compulsory \textit{hijab} on women is one of the most intrusive discriminations against women's fundamental human rights in Iran. Compulsory \textit{hijab} not only discriminates against women, but also explicitly violates women's right to freedom of expression, thoughts, speech, and conscience.\textsuperscript{183} Compulsory \textit{hijab} is nothing but paralyzing the free will of women on how to express their persona by having the right to choose their appearance outside their homes.

This imposition reaffirms gender inequality and suppression. Women are once again deprived of their rights to choose how to dress in public and forced to follow the Islamic dress codes through governmental enforcement.\textsuperscript{184}

Furthermore, compulsory \textit{hijab} refers not only to strict dress codes for women, but to enforced segregation of the sexes. This has resulted, for example, in gender segregation in the educational system.\textsuperscript{185} Gender segregation was first enforced in all primary and secondary schools
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following the revolution and continues to this day. In universities, sex segregation is not a common practice; however, there are long standing unspoken Islamic traditions for female and male students, such as sitting in separate rows of chairs.  

The passage of the Family Protection Law was criticized by Islamic scholars because it put a limitation on men’s rights and was thus considered against Islamic rules. Less than a month after the revolution, the FPL was repealed because it was in conflict with Islamic laws, by Imam Khomeini’s fatwa-authoritative religious order. Less than three months after the revolution, women were barred from becoming judges and those already serving as judges were demoted to administrative positions.  

Compulsory hijab, not only discriminates against women, but also explicitly violates women’s right to freedom of expression, thoughts, and conscience. The enforcement of the compulsory hijab by the government of Iran violates different international treaties such as Articles 3 and 26 of ICCPR. According to Article 3 of ICCPR, a State has the duty “to ensure the equal right of men and women to the enjoyment of all civil and political rights.” In addition, Article 26 of the ICCPR states “the law shall prohibit any discrimination and guarantee[s] to all persons equal and effective protection against discrimination on any ground such as race, colour, sex.” This is a guarantee against discrimination against women. Article 19 of the ICCPR also emphasizes “the right to hold opinions,” and “the right to freedom of expression.”  
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Compulsory *hijab* paralyses women’s free will according to men’s’ wishes about how women should appear in the public arena. Compulsory *hijab* violates a woman’s “right to hold opinion” and her “right of conscience” since the way a woman dresses in public is one way to express her personal thoughts and opinions, her personality, and results in the inevitable violation of a woman’s freedom of expression. Imposing compulsory restrictive measures only on women how to dress in public not only is a violation of Article 3 (equality) and Article 26 (non-discrimination) of ICCPR, but also it is a clear violation of a woman’s right to freedom of expression guaranteed by Article 19. The “right to freedom of opinion and expression” is also guaranteed by Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

2. Constitutional Discrimination Against Women and Violations of International Human Rights

After approval of the new Constitution, out of 177 Articles, the only article that specifically mentions women’s rights is Article 21. Article 20 emphasizes the enjoyment of “equal rights by both women and men” in conformity with Islamic criteria. Article 21 is reaffirmation of the limitation on women’s rights. The most important part of Article 21 is the specific constitutional obligations of the Islamic Republic to Iranian women: “[t]he government must ensure the rights of women in all respects, in conformity with Islamic criteria.” The core aspect of Article 21 is the explicit language of the provision, which strictly limits women’s rights according to the principles of Islam. According to the Constitution, women’s private, social and domestic rights and responsibilities must originate from, and be consistent with, Islamic Laws. Since the first part of Article 21 states that the government must ensure the rights of women in “all respects” and “in conformity with Islamic criteria,” it means that every dialogue about women’s rights must be understood in its religious context. A good example is that women,
as "citizens," can vote and be elected in some offices; yet based on Islamic views are banned from judge'ships and rendering the final decision of the court.\textsuperscript{204}

In 2013, a United Nations Special Rapporteur report stated that the U.N. found discrimination against women and "gender-based disparities" problematic in Iran.\textsuperscript{205} In response, Iran not only denied all of the U.N. findings, but also emphasized, "Iran has carried out promotional measures on the rights of women and is committed to the economic, social, and educational advancement of women."\textsuperscript{206}

Earlier, in response to another U.N. report, Iran pointed out that the Constitution reads, "men and women equally enjoy the protection of the law, and enjoy all human, political, economic, social, and cultural rights, in conformity with Islamic criteria."\textsuperscript{207} However, the government representatives have clarified that while "it is believed that men and women are equal in human dignity and human rights, this is not to be confused with equating men and women's role in family, society, and in the development process."\textsuperscript{208}

Based on the Iranian constitutional mandate that limits women's rights according to the principles of Islam, the United Nations General Assembly (UNGA) Special Rapporteur in his 2013 report\textsuperscript{209} joined the statement transmitted by the UNGA Special Rapporteur's,\textsuperscript{210} 2012 report
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in the field of “Cultural Rights” which asserts that “the tendency to view culture as largely an impediment to women’s human rights is both oversimplistic and problematic.”211 “Many practices and norms that discriminate against women are justified by reference to culture, religion and tradition.”212 “No social group has suffered greater violation of its human rights in the name of culture than women.”213 The Special Rapporteur concluded that emphasis on gender roles places limitations on the Iranian Government’s obligation to protect women’s full enjoyment of their civil, political, social, cultural and economic rights.214 Also based on the aforementioned report, he asserts that “this view arbitrarily qualifies the degree to which women may enjoy these rights as that which the Government perceives to be in conformity with Islamic criteria.”215 He maintained that “this particular argument undermines the notion of universal rights, and compromises the rights protected by the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)216 and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)217 for virtually half of the Iranian population.” Iran has signed and ratified both.218

Also, in the preamble of the Constitution, the section “Women in the Constitution” strictly mentions women in the family and the precious role of motherhood; introducing “family” as one of the fundamental principles of the Islamic government.219 Because the fundamental principle of the Islamic government for women is “motherhood,” as mentioned in the Constitution, women’s rights as citizens are defined in the context of their “worthwhile and responsible task of motherhood.”220 The primacy of women’s domestic role has affected their role in social, economic, and political affairs.221 The Constitution considers “motherhood” the focal point and an undeniable right for women.222

To recognize the extent of women’s rights offered by the Constitution, it is vital to identify Islam’s approach regarding women, and whether it considers women equal to men. Islam certainly does not recognize
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identical rights, responsibilities and punishments for women and men based on their natural similarities and differences.\textsuperscript{223} Islam is not against equality between women and men; however, it is against similar rights.\textsuperscript{224} According to Islam, existence of differences in their legal rights and responsibilities does not mean superiority of one gender to another. However it creates specific legal rights for women and men with regards to their specific role in the family.\textsuperscript{225} The existence of different rights for women and men within the legal system is evident in the application of Islamic principles.

The Constitution talks about both women and men equally enjoying all human rights in conformity with Islamic criteria.\textsuperscript{226} Nonetheless, the language of the constitution, which is the highest legal authority, explicitly limits the enjoyment of rights for women to only when these rights are in accordance to Islamic laws. The definition of woman’s equal rights is restricted only to what Islam’s view is about equal rights.

Another controversial constitutional article discriminating against women is Article 115, which sets qualifications for the presidential candidates. One political and social right for women is the enjoyment of having the same right as men to vote and to be elected in the presidential election. As one of their social-political rights, qualified female presidential candidates must be treated equal to their male counterparts in participating in the presidential election. According to the Constitution, the President is the highest official in the country after the Supreme Leader who is the highest official.\textsuperscript{227} Following Article 113 of the Constitution, the president has the responsibility to execute the constitution and is in charge of the executive branch, except for the direct duties of the Supreme Leader in the executive branch.\textsuperscript{228} According to Article 110(9) of the Constitution, the Guardian Council must confirm the suitability of candidates for the presidency before elections take place. As a result, Article 115 of the Constitution sets the qualifications for the presidential candidates. The president must be elected among religious and political rejal (respected religious and political figures/men), possessing all the required qualifications mentioned in this
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article such as being a citizen of the Islamic Republic and believing in the official religion of the country as well as being a faithful believer in the foundations of the Islamic Republic. However, there is significant controversy about Article 115 and the ambiguous use of the Arabic (not Farsi) word “rejal” (men) in the beginning of this Article. In Arabic, “rejal” is used opposite the word “nesa” meaning “women.” In Dehkhoda (an official Persian dictionary), “rejal” is also defined as “men.” In the absence of an explicit prohibition of women from becoming presidents and the ambiguity of the language that has been used by the lawmaker, the issue is whether men are the only gender to be qualified as presidents. If the word “rejal” is construed as its true meaning which is “men,” and it is what the lawmakers intended, then women are prohibited from holding the presidential post. Even in the case of an otherwise qualified woman, Article 115 discriminates based on a person’s gender as to who can become President. On the other hand, the term “rejal” had also been used through the years in a common public usage to mean influential, respected political, and religious figures, regardless of their gender. If this is what lawmakers aimed for, then women are not discriminated against by Article 115, and can be presidential candidates.

Based on the detailed discussion of the lawmakers after the revolution, an express gender qualification (male) was rejected by the majority of the members of the Guardian Council at the time of reviewing this Article. Some of the members were against using the word “man” in the beginning of this article and did not vote to add the explicit gender qualification.
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After the passage of the final suggested draft of this article,234 because of the existence of disagreement among members of the Guardian Council whether to include the word “man” as a qualification for the president, instead of using the word “man,” the phrase “rejal e mazhabi va siyasi” (respected religious and political figures/men) was used and later was approved. It is important to note that there are no official written records of the Guardian Council’s meetings regarding this matter.235

In the end, the majority opinions of the Guardian Council members were adopted by using “respected religious and political men” in the beginning of this article, to emphasize the qualification of men as presidents; meanwhile they used language that did not explicitly reject qualified women.236

Right before the presidential election of 2013, in an interview with Mehr News, the spokesperson of the Guardian Council, Dr. Kadkhodai in his response to the question “There are ambiguities surrounding the definition of ‘rejal e siyasi,’ what are the decisive factors in choosing ‘rejal’?” he reaffirmed the possibility of vagueness in the language used in Article 115 and that lawmakers have created the Guardian Council to clarify any ambiguity. However, he also stated that “political rejal is someone who is well opinioned in the political sphere, with political participation and reputation who has the ability to appear in the highest political position; the same thing is applicable to the religious rejal . . . Having just a higher education is not a factor.”237 According to the Constitution,238 the Guardian Council is the only body that has the power to construe the constitutional provisions; therefore, earlier the spokesperson of the Guardian Council, Dr. Kadkhodai’ stated that “in the
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DISCRIMINATIONS AGAINST WOMEN IN IRAN

past there have been women candidates who registered to participate in the presidential election, and there is no specific prohibition. 239

To the practical aspect of implementation of Article 115, no woman candidate has been approved since the beginning of the Islamic Republic in Iran. 240 Since the mid-1990s, women have registered as presidential candidates but the Guardian Council rejected them all, finding all of them disqualified. 241 Despite women’s participation as candidates in the presidential elections of 2001, 2005, 2009, and the last one in 2013, no women has ever been found to be qualified by the Guardian Council. In 2009, the spokesperson of the Guardian Council announced that the Council opinion was not based on the gender of the candidates. However all women have been disqualified as lacking the required competence for the job. 242

In 2013, a report of the Special Rapporteur transmitted to the United Nations General Assembly found that “all 30 women who registered their candidature were disqualified for the 2013 presidential election.” 243 In its counter report to the Rapporteur’s report, Iran suggested that “all female candidates were disqualified because of their lack of “executive and political experience” and that gender was not a consideration.” 244

Iran signed and ratified many international treaties that specifically deal with women’s human rights. Iran signed and ratified ICCPR and ICESCR which are binding treaties, indicating its intention to promote and protect women’s enjoyment of all civil, political, social, and economic rights set out in the Covenants. 245
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In 2011, the United Nations Human Rights Committee asked Iran to explain "why no woman may serve as president of the country." In its response, Iran asserted "the Guardian Council has not made any interpretation of Article 115 of the Constitution." Nonetheless, in 2004 the spokesman of the Guardian Council, Gholam Hossein Elham, declared that the "Council has not changed its interpretation of the term 'rejal' in Article 115 and this term is not applicable to women." The delegation played a game with the language as a technique to mislead the Committee and to hide the reality that Iran is discriminating against female presidential candidates in violation of the ICCPR provisions.

Per Article 2(1) of ICCPR, each state party must "undertake to respect and to ensure to all individuals within its territory . . . the rights recognized in the present Covenant, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex." Followed by Article 3, which ensures "equal rights of men and women to the enjoyment of all civil and political rights set forth in the present Covenant." Article 25(b) explicitly emphasizes the "right and the opportunity, without any of the distinctions mentioned in article 2 to vote and to be elected at genuine periodic elections." Therefore, discriminating against women presidential candidates is a clear violation of the ICCPR provisions guaranteeing equal rights of women and men and having the opportunity without being discriminated against to be elected to office.

According to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), adopted by the UN General Assembly, which Iran signed and ratified, there are multiple articles that guarantee the undeniable equal and non-
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discriminatory treatment of individual rights. Even though the UDHR is soft law, meaning that it does not have a binding force of a treaty, it was the first comprehensive catalogue of human rights and is universally accepted as setting the fundamental principles of human rights norms in the world. According to Article 21(1) of the UDHR, “everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives.” This article is followed by Article 1, which emphasizes the equal rights of humans; and Article 2, which explicitly prohibits discrimination based on sex. As a result, discriminating against women presidential candidates is a clear violation of Articles 1, 2, and 21 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

In recent years, despite the language game and the constant denial of the Guardian Council that it discriminates against female candidates or the explicit statement of the spokesperson of the Guardian Council in 2004 finding women unqualified as presidents, after thirty-five years of implementation of Article 115 in every presidential election, the undeniable fact is that the interpretation of word “rejal” as “men” is the one used by the Guardian Council. The ambiguous use of language by lawmakers in Article 115 is a crafty route to discriminate against women; humiliating women by the political correctness used by the language of the Constitution and asserting one definition that pretends women can be qualified, but applying another where they never are.

Imposing indirect discrimination against women repeats itself in Article 163 of the Constitution by setting qualifications for judgeships. Article 163 states, “[t]he conditions and qualifications to be fulfilled by a judge will be determined by law, in accordance with the criteria of fiqh.” To implement Article 163, the Law on the Qualifications for Appointment of Judges was ratified. According to this law, the gender requirement of being a man was approved. Following implementation of the new law, all then serving female judges were either removed from the office or demoted to lower positions such as administrative and consultant roles. The Law on the Qualifications for Appointment of Judges
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explicitly bans women from judgeships.\textsuperscript{257} Even in the case of qualified women, who meet all the requirements, they cannot become judges.\textsuperscript{258}

Lawmakers followed the famous consensus of opinion of the Shi'a foqaha (Islamic jurisprudents), who believe that women cannot be appointed as judges.\textsuperscript{259} Following Article 163, requirements for judgeship must be in accordance with fiqh, which in this case means women are prohibited from judgeships by the Islamic scholars' point of view.\textsuperscript{260} The Law on the Qualifications for Appointment of Judges has been ratified a few times, and additional laws were passed which allows women to work as advisors in other legal institutions\textsuperscript{261} or investigative judges.\textsuperscript{262} Even though an investigative judge has some responsibilities, she is prohibited from rendering any final court decision, which is one of the distinct powers of a true judge.\textsuperscript{263}

There are a great many official international reports, such as the UN Special Rapporteurs' reports discussed above, which have been submitted to the United Nations over the years that indicate gender discrimination in decision-making positions in the judiciary such as judgeships in Iran.\textsuperscript{264} Amongst many other reports of the Special Rapporteur,\textsuperscript{265} the most recent report in 2013, explicitly asserted that "a dearth of female representation in decision-making roles" is problematic for women's participation in their civil and political life, as guaranteed by ICCPR provisions.\textsuperscript{266} Women in Iran are permitted to serve as legal

\textsuperscript{257.} THE LAW ON THE REQUIREMENTS FOR JUDGESHIP, supra note 254.
\textsuperscript{258.} MEHRPOUR, supra note 188, at 313.
\textsuperscript{259.} \textit{Id}.
\textsuperscript{260.} \textit{Id}.
\textsuperscript{259.} \textit{Id}.
\textsuperscript{261.} Ghanone Ekhtesase Tedadi Az Dadghahaye Mojod be Dadghahaye Mozoo e Asle (21) Ghanone Asasi (Dadgahe Khanevadeh) [The Law Assigns a Number of Existing Courts and Tribunals to the Subject of Article 21 of the Constitution (the family court)], MARKAZE PAJOHESHHAYE MAJLIS SHORAYE ESLAMI [THE ISLAMIC CONSULTATIVE ASSEMBLY RESEARCH CENTER], THE LAW ASSIGN A NUMBER OF EXISTING COURTS AND TRIBUNALS TO THE SUBJECT OF ARTICLE 21 OF THE CONSTITUTION], http://rc.majlis.ir/fa/law/show/92925 (translated by author).
\textsuperscript{262.} POVERY & POVERY, supra note 142, at 109.
\textsuperscript{263.} KAR, supra note 59, at 101-102.
\textsuperscript{266.} 2013 HRC Report, supra note 205, at ¶ 52. Violation of Article 3 of ICCPR, supra note 194, which guarantees equal rights to both men and women.
counselors, “but are prohibited from issuing and signing final verdicts.”

In 2013, Iran again denied the report of the Special Rapporteur, which asserted that “women are prohibited from issuing and signing final verdicts” and are being discriminated against. In its response, Iran declared that, “in the arena of decision-making in judicial positions...there are also 560 women judges, which are a major and important development in believing in empowerment of women.”

Despite Iran’s counter report indicating that there are so called “women judges,” they are not decision-making judges who preside as a real judge in the courtroom. These judges are counselors in family courts or administrative authorities. Per the explicit language used in the Law on the Qualifications for Appointment of Judges, judges should be elected among “men.”

Earlier, in 2011, Iran’s response to the direct question asked by the Human Rights Commission Special Rapporteur (list of issues to be taken up in connection with the consideration of the third periodic report of the Islamic Republic of Iran), “explain why women cannot become presiding judges?” was nothing but a rejection of the report and a reaffirmation of its previous responses that there are women judges in Iran.

In addition to restrictions on employment of women, in recent years Iran has increasingly restricted the enjoyment of women’s right to higher education. For instance, for the academic year of 2012-2013, authorities enacted “Islamization” policies that placed restrictions on academic freedom for university students, in particular women. There are now bans on female enrollment in a wide range of specific academic
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fields in many universities. These restrictions include bans on women enrollment in approximately 14 fields of study ranging from petroleum and mechanical engineering (water, gas and installations) to emergency medical technology and religious studies, as well as the placement of quotas that severely limit the percentage of women students in such fields of study as social sciences, mine, material, agricultural and civil engineering, physics and mathematics, and digital arts. In response to criticism from Iranian parliamentarians who called for an explanation, the Science and Higher Education Minister responded that 90% of degrees still remain open to both sexes, that single-sex courses were needed to create "balance," and that "some fields are not very suitable for women’s nature.

Restricting women’s right to higher education because of their ‘nature’ is a clear case of discrimination against women, which is prohibited explicitly by the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights provisions which Iran is bound to recognize and abide by. According to Article 2(2) of the ICESCR, “the state parties undertake to guarantee that the rights enunciated in the present Covenant will be exercised without discrimination of any kind as to race, colour, sex.” Additionally, Article 3 of ICESCR explicitly requests the state party to ensure the “equal rights of men and women to the enjoyment of all economic, social, and cultural rights.” Further, Article 13(c) makes it clear that “higher education shall be made equally accessible to all.” Prohibiting or limiting women from enrolling in certain fields of study is clearly discrimination against them and in violation of Article 2, 3, and 13(c) of the ICESCR. As Iran’s obligation to comply with ICESCR provisions, it is obligated to ensure equal opportunities without discriminating against women especially in areas like education and work.

In 2013, the United Nations General Assembly’s Third Committee vote overwhelmingly supported human rights in Iran and urged the Iranian...
government to comply with UN human rights mechanisms and take concrete steps to address the ongoing violations in the county. Accordingly, United Nations General Assembly passed a resolution which “takes note of the report of the Secretary-General submitted in September 2013... in which he notes that he remains deeply troubled by ongoing human rights violations in the Iran.” It also cited the report of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in Iran submitted in 2013 pursuant to a Human Rights Council resolution in which the Special Rapporteur “continues to catalogue a wide range of systemic and systematic human rights violations.” Pursuant to this resolution the General Assembly “welcomes pledges made by the new President of the Islamic Republic of Iran with regard to some important human rights issues, particularly on eliminating discrimination against women.” Also, the General Assembly expresses “concern over the restrictions placed on candidates, including the exclusion of all women candidates.” This resolution also “expresses deep concern at serious ongoing and recurring human rights violations... including pervasive gender inequality and violence against women and increased discrimination against women and girls in law and in practice, as well as restrictions on access to government decision-making positions.” The resolution specifically calls upon the Government of Iran “to eliminate, in law and in practice, all forms of discriminations and other human rights violations against women and girls, to promote women’s participation in decision-making positions.” However, in March 2014, the United Nations Human Rights Council after presenting official reports documenting continued human rights violations in Iran, renewed the mandate of Special Rapporteur in Iran.
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CONCLUSION

Women’s rights in Iran have gone through an undeniable evolution throughout the long history of various governmental regimes over the centuries. Thousands of years ago, there was a period of civilization and enlightenment concerning women. Although it may not have been full equality for women in the modern sense, by the ancient standard they were incredibly enlightened. However, the freedom and rights that were gained for women were ended by the imposition of the Arab’s Islamic traditions after the Islamic conquest.

The beginning of the 20th century was the birth of the parliamentary system in Iran. Despite women’s unprecedented participation in the Constitutional Revolution, paving the way to change after hundreds of years of restrictive patriarchal Islamic rules, in the end it was devastating for women. Women were denied equal fundamental political and legal rights, such as voting and being elected in the parliament; they were still counted in statutes the same as criminals and retarded individuals.

During the fifty years of Pahlavi rule, women were granted the most social, political, and legal rights-seen in Iran for more than 2,000 years. This was accomplished by again abandoning the strict patriarchal Islamic jurisprudence of the past as the basis for women’s rights and promotion of some western ideas.

After the accomplishments of the Pahlavi, even though Reza Shah’s innovative policies aggressively transformed Iran and its legal system, his progressive yet controversial policies with regards to women did not go far enough to completely secularize the legal system and transform women’s rights. Throughout the Pahlavi era, women’s legal rights were enslaved by a religious-based legal system; they remained far from being treated fairly.

The second period of Pahlavi, ruled by Mohammad Reza Pahlavi, counts as the most significant and progressive period concerning modern Iranian women’s rights. Privileges such as women’s right to vote, women’s participation in holding important political offices, presiding as judges, and receiving higher education all were granted, most for the first time. Women had more rights than in most countries in the Islamic world. Nonetheless, they were still not counted as fully equal to men.

Ironically, the Islamic Revolution of 1979, which was wildly supported by women, put an end to the westernized and progressive path of women’s rights reforms during the Pahlavi. This was the return of the promotion of strict patriarchal Islamic traditions. For the 21st century...
modern Iranian women who had just fought for their rights and been emancipated from much of the long history of patriarchal Islamic traditions just a few decades earlier, found the past had returned with a vengeance.

After the Islamic Revolution, women were among the first minority groups to experience dramatic backward transformation in their social, political, and legal rights. As a result of explicit language used by the Constitution, the rights of women have to be in conformity with Islamic criteria, which confines women’s rights within the religious interpretations of women’s rights. As a result compulsory hijab, demotion of women judges to lower positions, finding women unqualified to become president, new restrictions on education, and repealing of the Family Protection Law are among the widespread discriminations against women’s fundamental legal rights.

Whether it is the language game and the constant denial of the discrimination against women carved into the legal system; using the physical and emotional differences between women and men (Islamic principal) as a valid justification for treating women and men differently by laws; using “cultural relativism” as a counter argument to deny and justify the systematic discrimination against women in front of the world; or using explicit declarations of a male gender requirement for decision-making judiciary positions such as judgeships results in Iranian women’s social, political and legal rights being systematically violated, and they are treated as a second class citizens.

Iran is a party to several major human rights conventions that are binding which set the fundamental principles for the protection and improvement of human rights and which guaranteed gender equality and non-discrimination principles. Not only is Iran obliged to comply with their provisions, but it is obligated to implement internationally accepted human rights norms to improve women’s social, political, and legal rights domestically. According to the detailed official reports provided by the United Nations Special Rapporteurs, Iran has failed to measure up to international expectations by reinforcing the existing cultural prejudices against women within its legal system which is a violation of its international obligations. Gender equality in Iran has taken one step forward; two steps back!
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