## Golden Gate University Law Review

Volume 25
Issue 1 *Ninth Circuit Survey* 

Article 4

January 1995

## Preface

Katrine A. Levin

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/ggulrev

## Recommended Citation

Katrine A. Levin, Preface, 25 Golden Gate U. L. Rev. (1995). http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/ggulrev/vol25/iss1/4

This Introduction is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Journals at GGU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Golden Gate University Law Review by an authorized administrator of GGU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jfischer@ggu.edu.

## **PREFACE**

The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals encompasses Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Washington, Guam, and the No. Mariana Islands. This immense geographical area gives rise to an unsettling number of diverse social and intellectual issues, catapulting Ninth Circuit judges to the forefront of the law on all areas of the law. Not surprisingly, therefore, the decisions of the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit attract the critical eye of many writers, esteemed practitioners and law students alike.

For twenty years, writers on the Ninth Circuit Survey have analyzed decisions of the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, applying their unique perception of law and order to the issues presented in each case. This twentieth edition of the Ninth Circuit Survey contains comments, notes, and summaries of cases decided in 1993 and 1994, involving administrative law, admiralty law, constitutional law, environmental law, prison law, securities law, and tax law.

This issue of the Ninth Circuit Survey would not have been possible without the effort and dedication contributed by the entire Golden Gate University Law Review staff and faculty mentors, especially Professor Roberta Simon, Scott Baker, Jonathan Celniker, Mark Figueiredo, Stacey Kepnes, Maureen McTague, Mark Watson, Derek Westberg, and Stephen Wiss.

Katrine A. Levin Editor, Ninth Circuit Survey