Golden Gate University School of Law GGU Law Digital Commons

California Agencies

California Documents

1982

Homicide in California, 1982

Department of Justice

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caldocs_agencies

Recommended Citation

Department of Justice, "Homicide in California, 1982" (1982). *California Agencies*. Paper 134. http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caldocs_agencies/134

This Cal State Document is brought to you for free and open access by the California Documents at GGU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in California Agencies by an authorized administrator of GGU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jfischer@ggu.edu.



TMENT OF JUSTICE / DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT / CRIMINAL IDENTIFICATION AND INFORMATION BRANCH **BUREAU OF CRIMINAL STATISTICS AND SPECIAL SERVICES**

NON-CIRCULATING

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General

MENT of

KFC 22 .J250 H62 1982

State of California

KFC22. J250 H62 Homicide in California.

¢

Department of Justice

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General NELSON KEMPSKY, Chief Deputy Attorney General



LAW LIBRACY GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY

GLENDON B. CRAIG, Director, Division of Law Enforcement FRED H. WYNBRANDT, Assistant Director, Criminal Identification and Information Branch R. JAMES RASMUSSEN, Chief, Bureau of Criminal Statistics and Special Services

HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1982



Prepared by DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT Criminal Identification and Information Branch Bureau of Criminal Statistics and Special Services


ROBERT F. BEVERLY LINDA A. TRATHEN

RON LAI, Design Consultant

4949 Broadway P. O. Box 13427 Sacramento, CA 95813

83033 7/83 2.5M

HV 6533,22 C34a. 1952



LAW LIBRARY GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY

contents



	Page
Introduction	4
Methodology	5
Willful Homicide, The Crime and Its Victims	6
Arrests for Murder	25
Death Penalty Cases	28
Peace Officers Killed in the Line of Duty	30
Justifiable Homicides	32
Data Section, Tables 7–59	35

1



contents

83-9-394

ion come

CHARTS

Num	iber VIOLENT CRIMES	Page
1	Percent increase in Rate per 100,000 Population from 1973 to 1982	7
	WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982	
2	Distribution of Victims by Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group, and Known Age	8
3	1974–1982, Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group, and Known Age of Homicide Victim	9
4	Sex of Victim by Known Race/Ethnic Group	10
5	Known Age of Victim by Known Race/Ethnic Group	10
6	Rate per 100,000 Population by Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age	11
7	Known Relationship of Victim to Offender	12
8	Known Relationship of Victim to Offender by Sex of Victim	12
9	Known Relationship of Victim to Offender by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	13
10	Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population by Size of County	14
11	Percent by Known Month of Incident	15
12	1974–1982, Seasonality (First Half vs. Second Half)	15
13	Known Day of Incident by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim.	16
14	Known Day of Incident by Sex of Victim	16
15	Known Location of Homicide.	17
16	Known Location of Homicide by Sex of Victim	17
17	Known Location of Homicide by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	18
18	Known Type of Weapon	19
19	Known Type of Weapon by Sex of Victim.	20
20	Known Type of Weapon by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	20
21	Known Contributing Circumstance	21
22	Known Contributing Circumstance by Sex of Victim	22
23	Known Contributing Circumstance by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	22
24	Known Contributing Circumstance by Known Age of Victim	23
	ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1982	
25	Sex, Juvenile-Adult, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Arrestee	26
26	Sex and Age Groups by Race/Ethnic Group	27
	JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS AND PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982	
27	Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group, and Known Age of Deceased	32
28	Known Location of Homicide.	33
29	Known Contributing Circumstance	34

TABLES

1	Willful Homicide Crimes, 1973–1982, Number, Rate per 100,000 Population, and Annual Percent Change	7
2	Defendants Sentenced to Death, 1982, Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age Distributed by Sentencing	
	County	29
3	Homicides Involving Peace Officers, 1973-1982	30
4	Peace Officers Killed in the Line of Duty, 1982, Sex and Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	31
5	Peace Officers Killed in the Line of Duty, 1982, Type of Weapon	31
6	Justifiable Homicides by Peace Officers and Private Citizens, 1982, Percent Distribution of Type of	
	Weapon	33



introduction



This report is the most recent publication by the Bureau of Criminal Statistics (BCS) on the subject of homicide in California. The three primary purposes of this publication are: 1) to provide an annual overview of findings pertaining to the crime of homicide and its victims, as well as information about persons arrested for murder; 2) to monitor homicide data on an annual basis in order to detect significant year-to-year changes; and 3) to provide homicide information on topics of special interest, such as justifiable homicide.

Primary emphasis has been placed on the crime of willful homicide. Variables such as location of the homicide, victim-offender relationship, type of weapon used, and circumstance contributing to the homicide are analyzed in terms of the personal characteristics of the victim (sex, race/ethnic group, and age). In addition to the analysis of willful homicide crimes reported in 1982, other areas of analytical emphasis include: characteristics of persons arrested for murder; defendants sentenced to death; peace officers killed in the line of duty; and justifiable homicides, both by peace officers and private citizens.

Omitted from this year's report is the Adult Felony Arrest Dispositions section. Because of a change in procedures in developing the supporting data base, data were not available in time for inclusion in this publication.

This publication relies principally on charts to convey essential facts about homicide in California. These charts refer to and are based on tables presented in the Data Section at the back of the report.

Note: Your comments concerning this publication are requested. Please use the survey page at the back of this book.



Most data presented in this report were obtained from two independently compiled BCS data bases: the Automated Homicide File for data pertaining to both willful and justifiable homicides, and the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register for data relevant to the characteristics of persons arrested for murder.¹ The reader is cautioned against comparing data from the two independent data bases.

Trend data are shown from 1974 when the Automated Homicide File was established. Prior to this time, data on homicide variables were not available for analysis or comparison.

The analysis of race/ethnic data must be considered in light of the subjectivity of the labeling process and recent classification changes, such as those of the U.S. Bureau of the Census (1980).

The Data Section contains raw and "analyzed" data tables. For any given variable, the raw data table shows the frequency count of each category, including that of "unknown." When, for purposes of analysis, unknown data are removed and categories are combined, the table becomes an analyzed data table. Analyzed data tables are the source tables for all charts in the report.

In its continuing effort to reduce the incidence of unknown homicide crime data, BCS has implemented procedures to deal with incomplete records. The result of this effort, as

¹Tables 3-6, 8-44, and 50-59 were complied from Automated Homicide File data. The data source for Tables 45-49 was the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register. measured from 1980, has been that the amount of unknown data in the 1981 and 1982 Automated Homicide Files has decreased substantially, especially with respect to key variables (victim-offender relationship, location of incident, and day of incident). Also, a number of changes in the frequency distributions of these variables occurred. The most pronounced of these changes and the variables with which each is associated are listed below.

- 1. Relationship between victim and offender An increase in frequency in the "stranger" category.
- 2. Location of incident An increase in frequency in the "victim's residence" category.
- 3. Day of incident A decrease in frequency in the "weekend" category.

Despite these changes in the frequency distributions, essential relationships between the categories of these variables, for the most part, did not change.

> This logo will alert the reader to those tables and charts based on tables that still contain a considerable amount of unknown data. The effects of these unknown data, were such data

known, cannot be predicted with any assurance. It seems likely, however, that changes noted above would be accentuated.



WILLFUL HOMICIDE The Crime and Its Victims

The crime of willful homicide is defined by the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program of the Federal Bureau of Investigation as the "willful (nonnegligent) killing of one human being by another." Attempted murder, justifiable homicide, manslaughter by negligence, and suicide are excluded. The data presented in this section of the report coincide with that definition, and were obtained almost exclusively from the Automated Homicide File. Other data sources are indicated in the footnotes of the appropriate tables.

The number of willful homicides reported to the UCR system in 1973 was 1,862. In 1982, the number had grown to 2,778 — an increase of 49.2 percent. These figures are more meaningful when viewed in the context of rates per 100,000 population and in relation to other violent crimes. In this context, the number of willful homicide victims for each 100,000 population grew from 8.9 in 1973 to 11.2 in 1982 — an increase of 25.8 percent.

Other general findings related to the crime of willful homicide are that more persons were killed on Saturday and Sunday than on other days of the week; more victims were killed at their places of residence than in other locations; and more were killed by firearms than by all other types of weapons combined. Also, relatively more persons in the state's 20 most populated counties were willful homicide victims than were persons in the 38 remaining counties.

The following charts and chart-related statements describe homicide crimes in terms of:

- The number of victims in the context of rate per 100,000 population.
- The relation of homicide crimes to other violent crimes.
- The personal characteristics (sex, race/ethnic group, and age) of the victim and the interrelationship of these characteristics; for example, age of victim as a function of race.
- The relationship between sex, race/ethnic group, and age of the victim and homicide rate, victim-offender relationship, time of incident (month and day), location of incident, type of weapon, and circumstance contributing to the homicide incident.



crimes

Year	Number	Percent change ^a	Rate	Percent change ^a
1982	2,778	-11.5	11.2	-13.8
1981	3,140	-7.8	13.0	-9.1
1980	3,405	15.8	14.3	13.5
1979	2,941	13.1	12.6	10.5
1978	2,601	4.8	11.4	2.7
1977	2,481	12.1	11.1	9.9
1976	2,214	.8	10.1	-1.0
1975	2,196	11.5	10.2	9.7
1974	1,970	5.8	9.3	4.5
1973	1,862	-	8.9	_

TABLE 1 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1973–1982 Number, Rate per 100,000 Population, and Annual Percent Change

^aAnnual percent change in both number and rate is calculated from the number and rate of the previous year.

Source: Criminal Justice Profile — 1982, Statewide, to be published by the Bureau of Criminal Statistics, California Department of Justice, later this year.

From 1981 to 1982:

- The number of willful homicides decreased from 3,140 to 2,778.
- The willful homicide rate per 100,000 population decreased from 13.0 to 11.2.

From 1973 to 1982:

- The number of willful homicides increased from 1,862 to 2,778.
- The willful homicide rate per 100,000 population increased from 8.9 to 11.2, or 25.8 percent.

For purposes of comparison, similar increases in the rates of other violent crimes during this period were as follows:

- Forcible rape 26.8 percent.
- Robbery 56.8 percent.
- Aggravated assault 40.0 percent.

CHART 1 VIOLENT CRIMES Percent Increase in Rate per 100,000 Population from 1973 to 1982








CHART 2 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Distribution of Victims by Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group, and Known Age


SEX




RACE/ETHNIC GROUP









When willful homicide victims were analyzed by sex, it was found that:

- In 1982, 76.8 percent were male and 23.2 percent were female.
- For each year, 1974–1982, the larger proportion of homicide victims were male.

When willful homicide victims were analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:

- In 1982, 36.1 percent were white (not Hispanic), 30.8 percent were Hispanic, 28.5 percent were black, and 4.6 percent were of "all other" race/ethnic groups combined.
- For each year, 1974–1982, the largest proportion of homicide victims were classified white (not Hispanic). During this period, this proportion has tended to decrease while the proportion of those classified as Hispanic has tended to increase.

When willful homicide victims were analyzed by age, it was found that:


- In 1982, 33.1 percent were 0–24 years, 38.9 percent were 25–39 years, and 28.0 percent were 40 years of age or older.
- For each year, 1974–1982, the smallest proportion of homicide victims were 40 years of age and older.


CHART 3 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIME TRENDS, 1974–1982 Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group, and Known Age of Homicide Victim

÷

A. Proportions of homicide victims by sex Male Percent Female


B. Proportions of homicide victims by known race/ethnic group







crimes

CHART 4 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Sex of Victim by Known Race/Ethnic Group



Source: Table 8.





When sex of victim was analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:

- Proportionately more Hispanic homicide victims were male than were either white (not Hispanic) or black homicide victims (87.8 versus 65.0 and 79.8 percent, respectively).
- Conversely, proportionately fewer Hispanic homicide victims were female than were either white (not Hispanic) or black homicide victims (12.2 versus 35.0 and 20.2 percent, respectively).

When known age of victim was analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:

- Proportionately more Hispanic homicide victims were 24 years of age or younger than were either white (not Hispanic) or black homicide victims (41.5 versus 27.9 and 31.5 percent, respectively.)
- Proportionately more white (not Hispanic) homicide victims were 40 years of age or older than were either Hispanic or black homicide victims (38.6 versus 16.4 and 26.5 percent, respectively).




CHART 6 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Rate per 100,000 Population by Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age

Source: Table 22.

The willful homicide rate in 1982 was 11.2 per 100,000 population. Chart 6 shows willful homicide rates per 100,000 population for subgroups of victims classified by sex, race/ethnic group, and age.

In 1982:

- Males were more than three times as likely as females to be homicide victims (17.5 versus 5.1).
- Blacks were more than twice as likely as Hispanics and seven times as likely as whites (not Hispanic) to be homicide victims (42.7 versus 18.0 and 6.1, respectively).
- Persons 25–39 years of age were almost twice as likely to be homicide victims as were either younger or older persons (17.4 versus 9.3 and 9.0, respectively).

crimes_

CHART 7 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Relationship of Victim to Offender







CHART 8 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Relationship of Victim to Offender by Sex of Victim




When 1982 willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known relationship of the victim to the offender, it was found that:


- 45.8 percent of the victims were friends or acquaintances of offenders.
- 25.7 percent of the victims were strangers to offenders.
- 9.3 percent of the victims were spouses of offenders.
- 7.4 percent of the victims were gang members.
- 6.5 percent of the victims were parents or children of offenders.
- 5.3 percent of the relationships of victim to offender were classified as "all other."

When known relationship of the victim to the offender was analyzed by sex, it was found that:

- The female victim was much more likely than the male victim to be the spouse of the offender (26.4 versus 3.8 percent).
- The female victim was much more likely than the male victim to be the parent or child of the offender (11.5 versus 4.9 percent).
- The male victim was much more likely than the female victim to be a gang member (9.6 versus .7 percent).

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.








When known relationship of the victim to the offender was analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:

- For each race/ethnic group, the homicide victim was most likely to be a friend or acquaintance of the offender. This relationship was most pronounced in the case of blacks (52.7 percent).
- The Hispanic victim was much more likely to be a gang member than the white (not Hispanic) or black victim (18.0 versus .7 and 6.2 percent, respectively), and less likely to be the spouse, parent, or child of the offender than either the white (not Hispanic) or black victim (9.0 versus 20.5 and 17.8 percent, respectively).
- The black victim was less likely to be a stranger to the offender than the white (not Hispanic) or Hispanic victim (17.6 versus 28.7 and 26.7 percent, respectively).



CHART 10 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1980–1982 Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population to Size of County



Source: Table 26.

CHART 11


When 1982 willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known month of incident, it was found that:

- More homicides occurred in the last six months than in the first six months (51.3 versus 48.7 percent).
- This relationship was found to exist each year since 1974 (Chart 12).

Based on an average annual increase of 6.66 percent¹, the average monthly number of homicides in the second half of any given year should be 3.28 percent greater than those in the first half of that year. When this semiannual growth increment is subtracted from the average monthly number of homicides in the second half of each year, it is found that the average number of homicides remaining is significantly greater than the average number in the first half of the year. This suggests a definite seasonal pattern in willful homicide crimes in which more persons are killed in the last six months than in the first six months of the year.

¹Calculated by averaging the annual percent changes in number of homicides for the fifteen-year period, 1968–1982.

CHART 11 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Percent by Known Month of Incident








CHART 13 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Day of Incident by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim



Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Table 30.

CHART 14 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Day of Incident by Sex of Victim



Source: Table 30.

When 1982 willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known day of incident and race/ethnic group of victim, it was found that:

- Most willful homicides occurred on Saturday and Sunday (20.3 and 15.6 percent, respectively).
- Proportionately more Hispanic victims than white (not Hispanic) or black victims were killed on Saturday and Sunday combined (46.3 versus 30.4 and 32.3 percent, respectively).

When known day of incident was analyzed by sex of victim, it was found that:

Females were more likely than males to have been killed on weekdays (70.2 versus 62.5 percent), while males were more likely than females to have been killed on weekends (37.5 versus 29.8 percent). When 1982 willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known location of incident and sex of victim, it was found that:

- 34.9 percent of homicide victims were killed at their places of residence.
- Proportionately, many more female than male homicide victims were killed at their places of residence (57.1 versus 28.2 percent).

And,

- 21.3 percent of homicides occurred on streets or sidewalks.
- Proportionately, many more male than female homicide victims were killed on streets or sidewalks (24.9 versus 9.6 percent).




CHART 15

rimes

Source: Table 32.





CHART 16 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982

CHART 17 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Location of Homicide by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim



Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Table 33.

When willful homicide crimes were analyzed by race/ethnic group of victim and known location of incident, it was found that:

- Proportionately more white (not Hispanic) than Hispanic or black victims were killed at their places of residence (45.0 versus 23.0 and 35.3 percent, respectively).
- Proportionately fewer white (not Hispanic) than Hispanic or black victims were killed on streets or sidewalks (10.9 versus 27.5 and 29.6 percent, respectively).

mes

Firearm
56.7%

Knife
23.9%

Blunt
object
6.4%

Personal
weapon
6.3%

Rope
2.2%

All
other
4.4%

CHART 18 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Type of Weapon


Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Table 35.

When 1982 willful homicides were analyzed by known type of weapon used, it was found that:

- 56.7 percent resulted from the use of firearms.
- 23.9 percent resulted from the use of knives.
- 6.4 percent resulted from the use of blunt objects.
- 6.3 percent resulted from the use of personal weapons (hands, fists, feet, etc.).
- 2.2 percent resulted from the use of ropes.
- 4.4 percent resulted from the use of weapons grouped in the "all other" category.

crimes 7


CHART 19 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Type of Weapon by Sex of Victim



Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Source: Table 36.







Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.


Source: Table 37.

When known type of weapon used was analyzed by sex and race/ethnic group of the homicide victim, it was found that:

- Regardless of sex or race/ethnic group, the victim was most likely to have been killed by a firearm.
- The proportion of males killed by firearms was greater than that of females (60.9 versus 42.4 percent).
- The proportion of females killed by personal weapons (hands, fists, feet, etc.) was much greater than that of males (12.5 versus 4.5 percent).
- Proportionately more white (not Hispanic) victims were killed by personal weapons than were Hispanics or blacks (9.4 versus 4.6 and 4.5 percent, respectively).








When 1982 willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known contributing circumstance, it was found that:

- 50.8 percent were associated with arguments.
- 20.7 percent were associated with robbery.
- 2.5 percent were associated with burglary.
- 2.3 percent were sex-related.
- 23.7 percent were associated with "all other" contributing circumstances.

crimes .



When willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known


contributing circumstance and sex of victim, it was found that:

- Males were more likely than females to have been victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was the crime of robbery (22.9 versus 13.5 percent).
- Females were much more likely than males to have been victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was the crime of burglary (5.1 versus 1.7 percent).
- Females were much more likely than males to have been victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was a sex-related crime (9.1 versus .3 percent).

When willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known contributing circumstance and race/ethnic group of the victim, it was found that:

- For each race/ethnic group shown, the circumstance which most contributed to homicides was an argument.
- White (not Hispanic) victims were much more likely than either Hispanics or blacks to have been victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was either a robbery, burglary, or sex-related crime (34.0 versus 18.8 and 20.8 percent, respectively).
- Hispanics were much more likely than either whites (not Hispanic) or blacks to have been victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was gang-related (13.6 versus .7 and 5.3 percent, respectively).

CHART 24 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Contributing Circumstance by Known Age of Victim



Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Source: Table 42.

When willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known contributing circumstance and known age of victim, it was found that:


- Of the 131 victims 0–14 years of age, 44.3 percent of the homicides were the result of child abuse. This finding was even more pronounced for the 0–4 year age group in which 63.6 percent of the deaths resulted from child abuse (Table 43.)
- Of the 201 victims in the 15–19 year age group, 27.4 percent of the homicides resulted from gang-related circumstances.
- Of the 1,831 victims in the 20-69 year age group 56.1 percent of the homicides resulted from arguments.
- Of the 100 victims 70 years of age and older, 71.0 percent of the homicides were the result of rape, robbery, or burglary (7.0, 40.0, and 24.0 percent, respectively).

ARRESTS FOR MURDER


This section of the report is concerned with arrests for murder in 1982. Murder is defined as the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought.

Data pertaining to reported arrests for murder were obtained from the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (Arrest Register). The Arrest Register contains information on all arrests made in 1982, as reported to BCS by California law enforcement agencies.

Data which follow pertain to personal characteristics (sex, race/ethnic group, and age) of those arrested for murder in 1982, and to the manner in which these characteristics relate to one another; for example, the distribution of age of arrestee as a function of race.







Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Source: Tables 45, 46, 47, and 48.

Analysis of the characteristics of 3,552 arrestees for murder in 1982 showed that:

89.2 percent were male.

rests

10.8 percent were female.

And,

- 89.1 percent were adult.
- 10.9 percent were juvenile.

And,

- 26.2 percent were white (not Hispanic).
- 32.3 percent were Hispanic.

- 37.8 percent were black.
- 3.8 percent were of "all other" race/ethnic groups.


And,

- 48.0 percent were 24 years of age or younger.
- 40.2 percent were 25–39 years of age.
- 11.9 percent were 40 years of age or older.


arrests 7

CHART 26 ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1982 Sex and Age Groups by Race/Ethnic Group

SEX OF ARRESTEE BY RACE/ETHNIC GROUP



AGE OF ARRESTEE BY RACE/ETHNIC GROUP



^aA person under 18 years of age. Source: Tables 45, 47, and 48.

When sex of arrestees for murder in 1982 was analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:

The ratio of male to female arrestees was much greater for Hispanic than for either white (not Hispanic) or black (16 to 1 versus 6 to 1 and 7 to 1, respectively).

When age of arrestees for murder was analyzed by race/ ethnic group, it was found that:

- Proportionately fewer white (not Hispanic) arrestees were under 25 years of age than were Hispanic or black arrestees (35.2 versus 59.4 and 47.1 percent, respectively).
- Conversely, proportionately more white (not Hispanic) arrestees were 25 years of age or older than were Hispanic or black arrestees (64.8 versus 40.6 and 52.9 percent, respectively).

When juvenile and adult arrestees for murder were analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:

- The proportion of white (not Hispanic) juvenile arrestees was much less than the proportion of white (not Hispanic) adult arrestees (14.6 versus 27.6 percent).
- Conversely, the proportion of Hispanic and black arrestees was greater for juveniles than for adults (79.6 versus 68.9 percent).

death penalty cases.

HONKIDE

DEATH PENALTY CASES

This section presents information on those persons sentenced to death in California superior courts in 1982. Death penalty cases were extracted from the 1982 Offender-Based Transaction Statistics (OBTS) system.

The death penalty in California was ruled unconstitutional by the California Supreme Court in February 1972. This was followed by a public vote and the reinstatement of the death penalty in January 1974. The death penalty was subsequently declared unconstitutional by the California Supreme Court in December 1976 and by virtue of a veto override in August 1977, new legislation was adopted that reinstated the death penalty in California.

By public vote on November 7, 1978, additional criteria were added to the circumstances by which a person could be sentenced to death. The criteria currently specified by Penal Code Section 190.2 are as follows:

- 1. Intentional murder for financial gain.
- 2. Previously convicted of murder in the first or second degree.
- 3. Multiple convictions for first or second degree murder.
- 4. Murder perpetrated by means of destructive device, bomb, or explosive.

- 5. Murder to prevent arrest or to effect escape from custody.
- 6. Murder of a peace officer or fireman engaged in the performance of his/her duties.
- 7. Murder of a witness to prevent testimony or in retaliation for testimony given.
- Murder of an elected or appointed official, judge, or prosecutor in retaliation for performance of his/her official duties.
- 9. Murder by ambush.
- 10. Murder because of the victim's race, color, religion, nationality, or country of origin.
- 11. Murder involving torture.
- 12. Murder by poison.
- Murder during the commission of: a) robbery,
 b) kidnapping, c) rape, d) lewd or lascivious act upon a child under the age of 14, e) oral copulation or sodomy in violation of Penal Code Sections 286 and 288a, f) burglary, g) arson, and h) train wrecking.

28 HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1982

			Sex	Race/ethnic group			Age						
County	Total	Male	Female	White (not Hispanic)	Hispanic	Black	All other	Under 20	20-24	25–29	30-34	35–39	40 and over
TOTAL	38	38	0	14	7	16	1	0	7	12	14	3	2
Glenn	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kern	3	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
Los Angeles	12	12	0	3	2	7	0	0	3	3	4	1	1
Merced	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Orange	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Placer	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Riverside	3	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Sacramento	2	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1 0
San Bernardino	5	5	0	2	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	2	0
San Joaquin	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Santa Clara	3	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	o	2	0	0
Shasta	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Tulare	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Yuba	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

TABLE 2 DEFENDANTS SENTENCED TO DEATH, 1982 Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age Distributed by Sentencing County

Source: California Department of Corrections and the 1982 Disposition of Adult Felony Arrests (OBTS) file, Bureau of Criminal Statistics, California Department of Justice.

During 1982, 38 persons convicted of first degree murder were sentenced to death. The sex, race/ethnic group, age, and sentencing county of those sentenced to death are presented in Table 2.

Of the 38 defendants sentenced to death in 1982:

- All were male.
- 14 were white (not Hispanic).
- 7 were Hispanic.
- 16 were black.
- 1 was of another race/ethnic group.

The median age was 29.5 years.

And,

The largest number were sentenced in Los Angeles County (12).

peace officers killed.

Year	Peace officers killed in the line of duty	Killed by peace officers in the line of duty
TOTAL	102	846
Total	45	420
1982	6	71
1981	8	68
1980	7	92
1979	12	102
1978	12	87
Total	57	426
1977	13	97
1976	6	94
1975	12	87
1974	10	84
1973	16	64

TABLE 3 HOMICIDES INVOLVING PEACE OFFICERS 1973–1982

PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY

A topic of special interest is that of peace officers killed in the line of duty. Data pertaining to peace officers were obtained from the Automated Homicide File. From 1973–1982, 102 peace officers were killed in the line of duty. During the same period, 846 felons were justifiably killed by peace officers. Analysis of the data in Table 3 showed that:

- The average annual number of peace officers killed was. 10.2. In 1982, the number was 6.
- The average annual number of felons killed by peace officers was 84.6. In 1982, the number was 71.
- The number of peace officers killed in the past five years (1978–1982) was less than that of the previous five years (45 versus 57), and the number of felons killed by peace officers in the line of duty in the past five years was less than that of the previous five years (420 versus 426).

peace officers killed

TABLE 4 PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1982 Sex and Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

TABLE 5 PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1982 Type of Weapon

Bass (athalia		Sex of victim		
Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	Male	Female	
TOTAL	6	6	0	
White (not Hispanic)	6	6	0	
Hispanic	0	0	0	
Black	0	0	0	
All other	0	0	0	

 Type of weapon
 6

 TOTAL.
 6

 Handgun
 5

 Offender's.
 4

 Officer's.
 1

 Rifle
 1


 Offender's.
 0

In 1982, all six of the peace officers killed in the line of duty were male.

With respect to type of weapon used to kill peace officers in 1982:

All six peace officers were killed by firearms – five by handguns, and one by a rifle.

CHART 27 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS AND PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982 Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group, and Known Age of Deceased



Source: Tables 52 and 56.

JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES

justifiable_homicides

A justifiable homicide is defined by the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program as the killing of a felon by a private citizen or a peace officer during the commission of a felony. Justifiable homicides are sometimes referred to as excusable or noncriminal homicides. The California Penal Code defines killing in self-defense as justifiable homicide, whereas the national UCR Program does not. Therefore, for the most part, self-defense cases are treated as willful rather than justifiable homicides.




In 1982, when justifiable homicides committed by peace officers and by private citizens were analyzed by sex, known race/ethnic group, and known age, it was found that:

- Most felons killed by peace officers and private citizens were male (98.6 and 100.0 percent, respectively).
- Proportionately more felons killed by peace officers were white (not Hispanic) than were felons killed by private citizens (32.8 versus 26.5 percent).
- Proportionately fewer felons killed by peace officers were black than were felons killed by private citizens (34.3 versus 43.1 percent).
- Most felons killed by peace officers and private citizens were 20-29 years of age (57.1 and 54.9 percent, respectively).

CHART 28 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS AND PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982 Known Location of Homicide

BY PEACE OFFICER



BY PRIVATE CITIZEN

Liquor or

All other



Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Tables 53 and 57.

In 1982, when justifiable homicides were analyzed by known location, it was found that:

- The location where felons were most frequently killed by peace officers was a street or sidewalk (48.5 percent).
- The location where felons were most frequently killed by private citizens was the citizen's residence (32.3 percent).

In 1982, analysis of type of weapon used in justifiable homicides by peace officers and private citizens showed that:

- Felons were most frequently killed by handguns, both by peace officers and private citizens (83.1 and 71.2 percent, respectively).
- Proportionately, shotguns were used more frequently in the killing of felons by peace officers than by private citizens (14.1 versus 8.7 percent).

TABLE 6 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS AND PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982 Percent Distribution of Type of Weapon

justifiable_bomicides

	Total		т	ype of v	veapon		
		Hand- gun	Rifle	Shot- gun	Firearm- unknown	Knife	Other
Peace officers	100.0	83.1	1.4	14.1	1.4	.0	.0
Private citizens	100.0	71.2	6.7	8.7	6.7	6.7	.0


Source: Tables 54 and 58.

CHART 29 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS AND PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982 Known Contributing Circumstance

BY PEACE OFFICER

Felon attacked peace officer Felon killed in commission of crime Felon resisted arrest All other

justifiable_homicides



BY PRIVATE CITIZEN

citizen



Source: Tables 55 and 59.

For justifiable homicides by peace officers and private citizens in 1982, the most frequent contributing circumstance was that of the commission of a crime by a felon (51.5 and 93.3 percent, respectively).





data section contents

DATA SECTION TABLES

Nun	nber	Page
	VIOLENT CRIMES	
7	1973–1982, Number and Rate per 100,000 Population	38
	WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES	
8	1982, Sex of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	39
9	1974–1982, Distribution of Victims by Sex	40
10	1974–1982, Distribution of Victims by Known Race/Ethnic Group	40
11	1974–1982, Distribution of Victims by Age	41
12	1982, Known Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	41
13	1974, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	42
14	1975, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Etnnic Group	43
15	1976, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	. 44
16	1977, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	. 45
17	1978, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	. 46
18	1979, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	. 47
19	1980, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	. 48
20	1981, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	. 49
21	1982, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	. 50
22	1982, Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Victim	. 51
23	1982, Known Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Sex of Victim	. 51
24	1982, Known Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	. 51
25	1982, Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	. 52
26	1980–1982, Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by Size of County	. 52
27	1980–1982, Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by County	. 53
28	1974-1982, Percent Distribution by Known Month of Incident	. 54
29	1974–1982, Average Monthly Number of Homicides in First and Second Half of Year	. 54
30	1982, Known Day of Incident Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	. 55
31	1982, Day of Incident Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim.	. 56
32	1982, Known Location of Homicide Distributed by Sex of Victim.	
33	1982, Known Location of Homicide Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	. 57
34	1982, Location of Homicide Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	. 58
35	1982, Known Type of Weapon	. 59
36	1982, Known Type of Weapon Distributed by Sex of Victim	. 59
37	1982, Known Type of Weapon Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim.	. 59
38	1982, Type of Weapon Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	. 60
39	1982, Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Sex of Victim	
40	1982, Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	
41	1982, Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	
42	1982, Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Known Age of Victim	
43	1982, Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Age of Victim	. 63
44	1982. Number of Victims and Offenders for Each Homicide Incident	. 64

data section contents

DATA SECTION TABLES

Nun	nber	Page
	ARRESTS FOR MURDER	
45	1982, Sex of Arrestee Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	64
46	1982, Juvenile and Adult	. 64
47	1982, Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee Distributed by Sex	. 64
48	1982, Age of Arrestee Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	. 65
49	1982, Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee Distributed by Sex and Age	65
	PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1982	
50	Contributing Circumstance	. 66
51	Wound Area Distributed by Type of Weapon	. 66
	JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1982	
52	Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Deceased	. 66
53	Location of Homicide	. 66
54	Type of Weapon	. 67
55	Contributing Circumstance	. 67
	JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982	
56	Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Deceased	. 67
57	Location of Homicide	. 67
58	Type of Weapon	. 68
59	Contributing Circumstance	. 68



HONKIDE

TABLE 7
VIOLENT CRIMES, 1973–1982
Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

						Ņ	Violent c	rimes				
		Tota	d ,	Willful he	micide	Forcible	e rape	Robb	ery	Aggravated	d assault	
Year	Population	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	
1982	24,724,000	201,433	814.7	2,778	11.2	12,529	50.7	91,988	372.1	94,138	380.8	
1981	24,196,000	208,165	860.3	3,140	13.0	13,545	56.0	93,638	387.0	97,842	404.4	
1980	23,769,000	209,903	883.1	3,405	14.3	13,661	57.5	90,282	379.8	102,555	431.5	
1979	23,255,000	183,704	790.0	2,941	12.6	12,199	52.5	75,649	325.3	92,915	399.5	
1978	22,839,000	164,751	721.4	2,601	11.4	11,249	49.3	67,920	297.4	82,981	363.3	
1977	22,350,000	152,827	683.8	2,481	11.1	10,715	47.9	62,207	278.3	77,424	346.4	
1976	21,935,000	143,507	654.2	2,214	10.1	9,552	43.5	59,132	269.6	72,609	331.0	
1975	21,537,000	138,400	642.6	2,196	10.2	8,787	40.8	59,747	277.4	67,670	314.2	
1974	21,173,000	127,469	602.0	1,970	9.3	8,480	40.1	52,742	249.1	64,277	303.6	
1973	20,868,000	116,506	558.3	1,862	8.9	8,349	40.0	49,524	237.3	56,771	272.0	
Percent change in rate from:												
1973 to 1982			45.9		25.8		26.8		56.8		40.0	
1978 to 1982			12.9		-1.8		2.8		25.1		4.8	
1981 to 1982			-5.3		-13.8	×	-9.5		-3.8		-5.8	
Percent change in number from:												
1973 to 1982		72.9		49.2		50.1	1	85.7		65.8	1	
1978 to 1982		22.3		6.8	1	11.4		35.4		13.4		
1981 to 1982		-3.2		-11.5		-7.5	l	-1.8		-3.8		

Note: California population estimates are compiled by the Population Research Unit of the California Department of Finance. In order to provide the most current data, the Department of Finance periodically revises the population estimates.

Source: Criminal Justice Profile — 1982, Statewide, to be published by the Bureau of Criminal Statistics, California Department of Justice, later this year.

	3.	Sex of	victim	
Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	Male	Female	
TOTAL				
Number	2,778	2,133	645	
Percent	100.0	76.8	23.2	
White (not Hispanic)	e			
Number	999	649	350	
Percent	100.0	65.0	35.0	
Hispanic				
Number	851	747	104	
Percent	100.0	87.8	12.2	
Błack				
Number	789	630	159	
Percent	100.0	79.8	20.2	
All other				
Number	127	98	29	
Percent	100.0	77.2	22.8	
Unknown				
Number	12	9	3	
Percent	100.0	-	_	

TABLE 8 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Sex of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

Note: Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 21.

TABLE 9 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1982 Distribution of Victims by Sex

		1	974			1975			1976				1977			
			Sex of	victim		Sex of victim			Sex of	Sex of victim		Sex of victim				
	Tot	al l	Vale	Female	Total	Male	Ferr	ale	Total	Male	Female	e Tota	Ma	le F	emale	
TOTAL																
Number	1,97	1 07	,457	513	2,196	1,616	58	30 3	2,214	1,648	566	2,481	1,83	35	646	
Percent	100	.0	74.0	26.0	100.0	73.6	26	.4	100.0	74.4	25.6	100.0	74	.0	26.0	
		1978			1979	1979 1980		80 1981				1982				
		Sex o	f victim		Sex o	f victim	Se		of victin	n	Sex of			Sex of victim		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Fema	e Total	Male	Female	Total	Maie	Female	
TOTAL																
Number	2,601	2,027	574	2,941	2,341	600	3,405	2,709	69	6 3,140	2,472	668	2,778	2,133	645	
Percent	100.0	77.9	22.1	100.0	79.6	20.4	100.0	79.6	20.4	4 100.0	78.7	21.3	100.0	76.8	23.2	

Source: Tables 13 through 21.

	CC	Distributio	on of Vic	tims by Kr	iown Race	/Ethnic G	iroup				
Dess (atheir	1974			197	5		1976		1977		
Race/ethnic group of victim	Numb	er Pe	rcent	Number	Percent	Numb	er Pero	ent N	lumber	Percent	
TOTAL	1,96	7 10	0.00	2,185	100.0 2,185		100.0		2,469	100.0	
White (not Hispanic)	and the second se		47.6	1,053	48.2	1,055	i 48	3.3	1,184	48.0	
Hispanic	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		16.5	405	18.5	18.5 446).4	482	19.5	
Black			32.2	660	30.2	628	2	3.7	723	29.3	
All other	- 73	3	3.7	67	3.1	56		2.6	80	3.2	
	1978		1	979	198	10	198	31	19	82	
Race/ethnic group of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
TOTAL	2,584	100.0	2,920	100.0	3,388	100.0	3,127	100.0	2,766	100.0	
White (not Hispanic)	1,154	44.7	1,139	39.0	1,283	37.9	1,143	36.6	999	36.1	
Hispanic	649	25.1	871	29.8	990	29.2	951	30.4	851	30.8	
Black	695	26.9	797	27.3	972	28.7	899	28.7	789	28.5	
All other	86	3.3	113	3.9	143	4.2	134	4.3	127	4.6	

TABLE 10 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1982 Distribution of Victims by Known Race/Ethnic Group

Note: This table excludes 3 victims in 1974, 11 victims in 1975, 29 victims in 1976, 12 victims in 1977, 17 victims in 1978, 21 victims in 1979, 17 victims in 1980, 13 victims in 1981, and 12 victims in 1982 whose race/ethnic group was unknown. Source: Tables 13 through 21.

TABLE 11 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1982 Distribution of Victims by Age

		1974		197	5		1976		1977		
Age of victim	Numb	er Pe	rcent	Number	Percent	Numb	er Per	cent	Number	Percent	
TOTAL	1,95	9 10	0.00	2,175	100.0	2,160	10	0.0	2,445	100.0	
0—24	66	в ;	34.1	731	33.6	743	3	4.4	872	35.7	
25–39	67	5 :	34.5	738	33.9	732	3	3.9	863	35.3	
40 and over	61	6 :	31.4	706	32.5	685	3	1.7	710	29.0	
	1978		1979		1980		1981		19	82	
Age of victim	Number	Percent	Numb	er Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
TOTAL	2,530	100.0	2,874	100.0	3,328	100.0	3,079	100.0	2,738	100.0	
0–24	885	35.0	1,026	35.7	1,185	35.6	1,065	34.6	906	33.1	
25–39	909	35.9	1,082	37.6	1,290	38.8	1,189	38.6	1,064	38.9	
40 and over	736	29.1	766	26.7	853	25.6	825	26.8	768	28.0	

Note: This table excludes 11 victims in 1974, 21 victims in 1975, 54 victims in 1976, 36 victims in 1977, 71 victims in 1978, 67 victims in 1979, 77 victims in 1980, 61 victims in 1981, and 40 victims in 1982 whose age was unknown. Source: Tables 13 through 21.

TABLE 12 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

			Age of victim	
Race/ethnic group of victi	m Total	0-24	25-39	40 and over
TOTAL				
Number	2,738	906	1,064	768
Percent	100.0	33.1	38.9	28.0 ·
White (not Hispanic)				2.
Number	989	276	331	382
Percent		27.9	33.5	38.6
Hispanic				
Number	841	349	354	138
Percent	100.0	41.5	42.1	16.4
Black				
Number	781	246	328	207
Percent	100.0	31.5	42.0	26.5
All other				
Number	126	34	51	41
Percent		27.0	40.5	32.5
Unknown				
Number	1	1	0	0
Percent	100.0	_	-	-

Notes: This table excludes 40 victims whose age was unknown.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Source: Table 21.

TABLE 13 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

						Race	e/ethnic gr	oup of vict	im			
Coursed and	To	tal	White (not	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All d	other	Unkn	own
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	1,970	100.0	936	100.0	325	100.0	633	100.0	73	100.0	3	100.0
0–14	104	5.3	62	6.6	14	4.3	25	3.9	3	4.1	0	-
15–19	231	11.7	89	9.5	62	19.1	74	11.7	5	6.8	1	_
2024	333	16.9	143	15.3	69	21.2	112	17.7	9	12.3	0	
25—29	299	15.2	137	14.6	54	16.6	95	15.0	13	17.8	0	-
30–34	205	10.4	77	8.2	39	12.0	79	12.5	9	12.3	1	-
35-39	171	8.7	65	6.9	26	8.0	71	11.2	9	12.3	0	-
40-44	123	6.2	53	5.7	22	6.8	43	6.8	5	6.8	0	-
45-49	115	5.8	59	6.3	8	2.5	43	6.8	5	6.8 🦛	0	
50-54	129	6.5	71	7.6	10	3.1	45	7.1	3	4.1	0	
55-99	249	12.6	172	18.4	19	5.8	46	7.3	12	16.4	0	_
Unknown	11	.6	8	.9	2	.6	0	.0	0	.0	1	, -
Male	1,457	100.0	616	100.0	277	100.0	505	100.0	59	100.0	o	100.0
0–14	57	3.9	31	5.0	9	3.2	16	3.2	1	1.7	0	-
15–19	168	11.5	54	8.8	54	19.5	55	10.9	5	8.5	0	-
20-24	258	17.7	100	16.2	63	22.7	88	17.4	7	11.9	0	-
25-29	224	15.4	91	14.8	50	18.0	73	14.5	10	16.9	0	<u> </u>
30-34	157	10.8	53	8.6	34	12.3	63	12.5	7	11.9	0	- 1
35–39	123	8.4	40	6.5	17	6.1	58	11.5	8	13.6	0	- 1
40-44	101	6.9	43	7.0	17	6.1	37	7.3	4	6.8	0	-
45–49	91	6.2	43	7.0	7	2.5	37	7.3	4	6.8	o	-
50-54	100	6.9	54	8.8	8	2.9	35	6.9	3	5.1	0	_
55-99	171	11.7	102	16.6	16	5.8	43	8.5	10	16.9	0	- 1
Unknown	7	.5	5	.8	2	.7	0	.0	0	.0	0	-
Female	513	100.0	320	100.0	48	100.0	128	100.0	14	100.0	3	100.0
0–14	47	9.2	31	9.7	5	10.4	9	7.0	2	-	0	-
15—19	63	12.3	35	10.9	8	16.7	19	14.8	0	-	1	- 1
20-24	75	14.6	43	13.4	6	12.5	24	18.8	2	-	0	-
25–29	75	14.6	46	14.4	4	8.3	22	17.2	3	-	0	-
30-34	48	9.4	24	7.5	5	10.4	16	12.5	2	-	1	-
35—39	48	9.4	25	7.8	9	18.8	13	10.2	1	-	0	-
40-44	22	4.3	10	3.1	5	10.4	6	4.7	1	-	0	_
45—49	24	4.7	16	5.0	1	2.1	6	4.7	1	-	0	-
5054	29	5.7	1.7	5.3	2	4.2	10	7.8	0	-	0	-
55—99	78	15.2	70	21.9	3	6.2	3	2.3	2	- 1	0	-
Unknown	4	.8	3	.9	0	.0	0	.0	0	_	1	10 - C

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

			2			Race	e/ethnic gro	oup of vict	im			
Sex and age	То	tal	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	Allo	other	Unkn	own
of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,196	100.0	1,053	100.0	405	100.0	660	100.0	67	100.0	11	100.0
0–14	135	6.1	58	5.5	25	6.2	47	7.1	4	6.0	1	_
15—19	241	11.0	95	9.0	75	18.5	67	10.2	4	6.0	0	· _
20–24	355	16.2	143	13.6	99	24.4	104	15.8	8	11.9	1	
25-29	324	14.8	141	13.4	67	16.5	103	15.6	13	19.4	0	-
3034	223	10.2	96	9.1	41	10.1	80	12.1	6	9.0	0	-
35-39	191	8.7	87	8.3	28	6.9	65	9.8	10	14.9	1	_
40-44	154	7.0	69	6.6	22	5.4	60	9.1	3	4.5	0	-
45–49	130	5.9	65	6.2	19	4.7	43	6.5	. 3	4.5	0	-
50–54	117	5.3	73	6.9	8	2.0	34	5.2	2	3.0	0	-
55—99	305	13.9	215	20.4	20	4.9	56	8.5	13	19.4	1	—
Unknown	21	1.0	11	1.0	1	.2	1	.2	1	1.5	7	_
Male	1,616	100.0	714	100.0	355	100.0	491	100.0	49	100.0	7	100.0
0–14	80	5.0	30	4.2	18	5.1	28	5.7	3		1	
15–19	191	11.8	67	9.4	69	19.4	53	10.8	2	_	Ó	-
20-24	260	16.1	96	13.4	86	24.2	70	14.3	7	-	1	_
25-29	250	15.5	100	14.0	59	16.6	82	16.7	9	· _	Ó	» <u> </u>
30-34	164	10.1	61	8.5	38	10.7	61	12.4	4	_	0	_
35—39	148	9.2	63	8.8	24	6.8	52	10.6	8	_	1	-
40-44	113	7.0	50	7.0	18	5.1	42	8.6	. 3	_	0	_
45-49	100	6.2	46	6.4	17	4.8	35	7.1	2	-	0	
50-54	89	5.5	52	7.3	7	2.0	28	5.7	2	. –	0	<u> </u>
55—99	206	12.7	141	19.7	18	5.1	39	7.9	8	-	٥	_
Unknown	15	.9	8	1.1	, 1	.3	1	.2		, .	4	—
Female	580	100.0	339	100.0	50	100.0	169	100.0	18	100.0	4	100.0
0-14	55	9.5	28	8.3	7	14.0	19	11.2	1	_	0	-
15—19	50	8.6	28	8.3	6	12.0	14	8.3	2	_	0	-
20-24	95	16.4	47	13.9	13	26.0	34	20.1	1	<u> </u>	0	_
25-29	74	12.8	41	12.1	8	16.0	21	12.4	4	_	0	_
3034	59	10.2	35	10.3	3	6.0	19	11.2	2	_	o	_
35—39	· 43	7.4	24	7.1	4	8.0	13	7.7	2	- 1	0	_
40-44	41	7.1	19	5.6	4	8.0	18	10.6	0	_	0	_
45-49	30	5.2	19	5.6	2	4.0	8	4.7	1	-	0	_
50-54	28	4.8	21	6.2	1	2.0	6	3.6	0	_	0	-
55-99	99	17.1	74	21.8	2	4.0	17	10.1	5	- 1	1	-
Unknown	6	1.0	3	.9	0	.0	0	.0	0	-	3	-

TABLE 14 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1975 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

TABLE 15 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1976 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

						Race	e/ethnic gr	oup of vict	im			
Sex and age	То	tal	White (not	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All o	other	Unkn	own
of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,214	100.0	1,055	100.0	446	100.0	628	100.0	56	100.0	29	100.0
0—14	116	5.2	48	4.5	22	4.9	45	7.2	1	1.8	0	_
5–19	222	10.0	95	9.0	76	17.0	48	7.6	1	1.8	2	_
20–24	405	18.3	159	15.1	107	24.0	122	19.4	14	25.0	3	
25–29	340	15.4	148	14.0	71	15.9	112	17.8	7	12.5	2	-
30-34	236	10.7	85	8.1	60	13.5	76	12.1	12	21.4	3	· -
35-39	156	7.0	76	7.2	27	6.1	47	7.5	5	8.9	1	_
10-44	145	6.5	70	6.6	26	5.8	48	7.6	1	1.8	0	×
5-49	134	6.1	79	7.5	16	3.6	37	5.9	2	3.6	0	-
50-54	99	4.5	56	5.3	10	2.2	28	4.5	5	8.9	0	-
55-99	307	13.9	219	20.8	21	4.7	57	9.1	8	14.3	2	-
Jnknown	54	2.4	20	1.9	10	2.2	8	1.3	O	.0	16	-
Male	1,648	100.0	717	100.0	388	100.0	482	100.0	34	100.0	27	100.0
0-14	65	3.9	30	4.2	13	3.4	22	4.6	0	_	0	_
5-19	158	9.6	51	7.1	69	17.8	35	7.3	1	_	2	_
20-24	306	18.6	107	14.9	96	24.7	87	18.0	13	_	3	_
25-29	272	16.5	107	14.9	65	16.8	95	19.7	3	_	2	· · _ ·
30-34	193	11.7	68	9.5	51	13.1	64	13.3	7	-	3	_
5-39	123	7.5	59	8.2	25	6.4	36	7.5	2		1	· · · _ ·
0-44	113	6.9	52	7.3	21	5.4	40	8.3	ō	_	o	_
5-49	100	6.1	56	7.8	14	3.6	30	6.2	0	×	ō	
i0—54	71	4.3	39	5.4	9	2.3	19	3.9	4	_	o	_
5–99	204	12.4	137	19.1	16	4.1	46	9.5	4	_	1	-
Jnknown	43	2.6	11	1.5	9	2.3	8	1.7	0	-	15	_
emale	566	100.0	338	100.0	58	100.0	146	100.0	22	100.0	2	100.0
0—14	51	9.0	18	5.3	9	15.5	23	15.8	1	_	0	
5—19	64	11.3	44	13.0	7	12.1	13	8.9	o	-	o	_
.0–24	99	17.5	52	15.4	11	19.0	35	24.0	1	_	ō	_
5–29	68	12.0	41	12.1	6	10.3	17	11.6	4	-	o	_
0-34	43	7.6	17	5.0	9	15.5	12	8.2	5	_	0	
5-39	33	5.8	17	5.0	2	3.4	11	7.5	3	-	0	_
0-44	32	5.7	18	5.3	5	8.6	8	5.5	1	_	o	_
5–49	34	6.0	23	6.8	2	3.4	7	4.8	2	_	o	-
0-54	28	4.9	17	5.0	1	1.7	9	6.2	1	_	ŏ	- E
5-99	103	18.2	82	24.3	5	8.6	11	7.5	4	_	1	
Inknown	11	1.9	9	2.7	1	1.7	0	.0	-0	_	1	1 -

TABLE 16 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1977 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

			10 au		n.	Race	e/ethnic gr	oup of vict	im			
Several sec	To	tal	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All	other	Unkn	own
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,481	100.0	1,184	100.0	482	100.0	723	100.0	80	100.0	12	100.0
0–14	138	5.6	61	5.2	23	4.8	49	6.8	3	3.8	2	-
15—19	307	12.4	136	11.5	105	21.8	56	7.7	10	12.5	0	-
20—24	427	17.2	187	15.8	107	22.2	122	16.9	11	13.8	0	_
25–29	391	15.8	154	13.0	92	19.1	133	18.4	11	13.8	1	-
30–34	267	10.8	116	9.8	45	9.3	97	13.4	9	11.2	0	-
35—39	205	8.3	85	7.2	39	8.1	71	9.8	9	11.2	1	. –
40-44	163	6.6	75	6.3	26	5.4	58	8.0	4	5.0	0	-
45—49	103	4.2	47	4.0	16	3.3	37	5.1	3	3.8	0	_
50–54	115	4.6	67	5.7	12	2.5	31	4.3	5	6.2	0	_
55-99	329	13.3	244	20.6	9	1.9	61	8.4	15	18.8	0	-
Unknown	36	1.5	12	1.0	8	1.7	8	1.1	0	.0	8	-
Male	1,835	100.0	818	100.0	408	100.0	539	100.0	62	100.0	8	100.0
0–14	69	3.8	32	3.9	10	2.5	24	4.5	3	4.8	0	
15–19	203	11.1	73	8.9	88	21.6	34	6.3	8	12.9	0	· · · · ·
20—24	315	17.2	130	16.0	91	22.3	85	15.8	9	14.5	0	-
25–29	301	16.4	106	13.0	88	21.6	100	18.6	7	11.3	0	_
30–34	219	11.9	92	11.2	39	9.6	80	14.8	8	12.9	0	_
35-39	164	8.9	65	7.9	35	8.6	58	10.8	6	9.7	0	_
40-44	132	7.2	60	7.3	20	4.9	48	8.9	4	6.5	0	_
45—49	79	4.3	39	4.8	8	2.0	29	5.4	3	4.8	0	_
50—54	92	5.0	50	6.1	12	2.9	26	4.8	4	6.5	0	_
55—99	231	12.6	164	20.0	· 9	2.2	48	8.9	10	16.1	0	-
Unknown	30	1.6	7	.9	8	2.0	7	1.3	0	.0	8	-
Female	646	100.0	366	100.0	74	100.0	184	100.0	18	100.0	4	100.0
0–14	69	10.7	29	7.9	13	17.6	25	13.6	0	_	2	-
15—19	104	16.1	63	17.2	17	23.0	22	12.0	2	_	ō	_
20—24	112	17.3	57	15.6	16	21.6	37	20.1	2	-	0	-
25—29	90	13.9	48	13.1	4	5.4	33	17.9	4	_	1	_
30–34	48	7.4	24	6.6	6	8.1	17	9.2	1	_	o	_
35—39	41	6.3	20	5.5	4	5.4	13	7.1	3	_	1	_
40-44	31	4.8	15	4.1	6	8.1	10	5.4	0	-	0	-
45—49	24	3.7	8	2.2	8	10.8	8	4.3	0	_	ō	_
50-54	23	3.6	17	4.6	0	.0	5	2.7	1	···	o	_
55-99	98	15.2	80	21.9	0	.0	13	7.1	5		õ	· _ ·
Unknown	6	.9	5	1.4	0	.0	1	.5	0	_	õ	

TABLE 17 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1978 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

						Race	e/ethnic gro	oup of vict	im			
Constant on the	То	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	Allo	other	Unkn	own
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,601	100.0	1,154	100.0	649	100.0	695	100.0	86	100.0	17	100.0
0-14	132	5.1	59	5.1	34	5.2	30	4.3	8	9.3	1	
15—19	287	11.0	101	8.8	122	18.8	58	8.3	5	5.8	1	_
20-24	466	17.9	179	15.5	153	23.6	121	17.4	13	15.1	0	
25—29	424	16.3	159	13.8	111 -	17.1	135	19.4	17	19.8	2	-
30-34	296	11.4	114	9.9	66	10.2	103	14.8	12	14.0	- 1	
35—39	189	7.3	77	6.7	40	6.2	64	9.2	8	9.3	0	-
40-44	166	6.4	75	6.5	37	5.7	54	7.8	0	.0	0	-
45-49	146	5.6	74	6.4	31	4.8	36	5.2	4	4.7	1	_
50-54	117	4.5	69	6.0	15	2.3	29	4.2	4	4.7	0	-
55-99	307	11.8	215	18.6	26	4.0	55	7.9	10	11.6	1	-
Unknown	71	2.7	32	2.8	14	2.2	10	1.4	5	5.8	10	-
Male	2,027	100.0	822	100.0	588	100.0	540	100.0	63	100.0	14	100.0
0-14	79	3.9	41	5.0	19	3.2	13	2.4	5	7.9	1	_
15-19	223	11.0	61	7.4	119	20.2	39	7.2	3	4.8	1	_
20-24	378	18.6	126	15.3	146	24.8	97	18.0	9	14.3	o	- 1
25-29	339	16.7	115	14.0	102	17.3	107	19.8	14	22.2	1	_
30-34	238	11.7	85	10.3	60	10.2	84	15.6	8	12.7	1	<u> </u>
35-39	158	7.8	63	7.7	35	6.0	52	9.6	8	12.7	Ó	8
40-44	138	6.8	58	7.1	34	5.8	46	8.5	<u>o</u> .	.0	o	_
45-49	123	6.1	59	7.2	29	4.9	31	5.7	3	4.8	1	_
50-54	89	4.4	51	6.2	12	2.0	22	4.1	4	6.3	o	_
55-99	210	10.4	142	17.3	20	3.4	41	7.6	6	9.5	1	_
Unknown	52	2.6	21	2.6	12	2.0	8	1.5	3	4.8	8	-
Female	574	100.0	332	100.0	61	100.0	155	100.0	23	100.0	3	100.0
0-14	53	9.2	18	5.4	15	24.6	17	11.0	3		0	
15–19	64	11.1	40	12.0	3	4.9	19	12.3	2		o	_
20-24	88	15.3	53	16.0	7	11.5	24	15.5	4		o	1 -
25-29	85	14.8	44	13.3	9	14.8	28	18.1	3		1	_
30-34	58	10.1	29	8.7	6	9.8	19	12.3	4	_	o	_
35-39	31	5.4	25 14	4.2	5	8.2	19	7.7	0	_	0	
40-44	28	4.9	17	5.1	3	4.9	8	5.2	0	_	0	-
45-49	28	4.0	15	4.5	2	3.3	5	3.2	1	_	0	_
50-54	23	4.9	18	4.5 5.4	3	4.9	5	3.2 4.5			0	
55-99	28 97	16.9	73	22.0	6		14	224	-		-	-
Unknown	37 19	3.3	11	3.3	2	9.8 3.3	2	9.0	4	_	0	-
	19	3.3	11	3.3	2	3.3	2	1.3	2	-	2	

						nace	e/ethnic gro	Sup of vice				
Sex and age	To	tal	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All d	other	Unkn	own
of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,941	100.0	1,139	100.0	871	100.0	797	100.0	113	100.0	21	100.0
0—14	132	4.5	69	6.1	24	2.8	34	4.3	5	4.4	0	_
15–19	328	11.2	100	8.8	139	16.0	75	9.4	13	11.5	1	-
20–24	566	19.2	168	14.7	235	27.0	144	18.1	17	15.0	2	—
25–29	508	17.3	174	15.3	154	17.7	162	20.3	17	15.0	1	_
30-34	346	11.8	118	10.4	100	11.5	115	14.4	12	10.6	1	-
35–39	228	7.8	91	8.0	60	6.9	62	7.8	14	12.4	1	-
40-44	189	6.4	79	6.9	47	5.4	58	7.3	5	4.4	0	_
45–49	172	5.8	80	7.0	46	5.3	40	5.0	6	5.3	0	-
5054	114	3.9	59	5.2	19	2.2	31	3.9	5	4.4	0	-
55—99	291	9.9	170	14.9	37	4.2	68	8.5	16	14.2	0	_
Unknown	67	2.3	31	2.7	- 10	1.1	8	1.0	3	2.7	15	—
Male	2,341	100.0	808	100.0	793	100.0	642	100.0	80	100.0	18	100.0
0–14	72	3.1	37	4.6	16	2.0	16	2.5	3	3.8	0	
15—19	265	11.3	69	8.5	127	16.0	63	9.8	6	7.5	0	_
20–24	470	20.1	115	14.2	223	28.1	118	18.4	12	15.0	2	_
25–29	408	17.4	123	15.2	141	17.8	131	20.4	12	15.0	1	_
30–34	287	12.3	86	10.6	95	12.0	95	14.8	10	12.5	1	_
35–39	181	7.7	67	8.3	55	6.9	48	7.5	10	12.5	1	_
40-44	150	6.4	56	6.9	39	4.9	51	7.9	4	5.0	o	
45-49	134	5.7	56	6.9	40	5.0	33	5.1	5	6.2	o	
50-54	98	4.2	51	6.3	18	2.3	24	3.7	5	6.2	o	_
55-99	225	9.6	126	15.6	31	3.9	58	9.0	10	12.5	ŏ	_
Unknown	51	2.2	22	2.7	8	1.0	5	.8	3	3.8	13	_
Female	600	100.0	331	100.0	78	100.0	155	100.0	33	100.0	3	100.0
0–14	60	10.0	32	9.7	8	10.3	18	11.6	2	6.1	0	100.0
15–19	63	10.5	31	9.4	12	15.4	12	7.7	7	21.2	1	
20–24	96	16.0	53	16.0	12	15.4	26	16.8	5	15.2	Ö	-
25–29	100	16.7	51	15.4	13	16.7	31	20.0	5	15.2	0	-
30–34	59	9.8	32	9.7	.5	6.4	20	12.9	2	6.1	0	
35–39	47	7.8	24	7.3	5	6.4	14	9.0	4	12.1	0	-
40-44	39.	6.5	23	6.9	8	10.3	7	4.5	1	3.0	0	_
45–49	38	6.3	24	7.3	6	7.7	7	4.5	1	3.0	0	-
50—54	16	2.7	8	2.4	1	1.3	7	4.5	ò	3.0 .0	0	-
55-99	66	11.0	44	13.3	6	7.7	10	6.5	6	.0 18.2	0	
Unknown	16	2.7	9	2.7	2	2.6	3	1.9	0	.0	2	

TABLE 18 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1979 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

TABLE 19 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1980 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

						Race	e/ethnic gro	oup of vict	im			
Saw and ano	Tot	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All o	other	Unkn	own
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	3,405	100.0	1,283	100.0	990	100.0	972	100.0	143	100.0	17	100.0
0–14	110	3.2	57	4.4	18	1.8	33	3.4	2	1.4	0	-
15–19	425	12.5	115	9.0	187	18.9	109	11.2	13	9.1	1	-
20-24	650	19.1	191	14.9	232	23.4	206	21.2	21	14.7	0	-
25-29	579	17.0	173	13.5	195	19.7	177	18.2	32	22.4	2	_
30–34	437	12.8	162	12.6	115	11.6	145	14.9	14	9.8	1	_
35–39	274	8.0	99	7.7	77	7.8	83	8.5	15	10.5	0	-
40-44	201	5.9	85	6.6	41	4.1	64	6.6	11	7.7	0	_
45–49	151	4.4	67	5.2	41	4.1	35	3.6	8	5.6	0	-
50-54	144	4.2	76	5.9	21	2.1	40	4.1	7	4.9	0	
55-99	357	10.5	232	18.1	36	3.6	72	7.4	17	11.9	0	-
Unknown	77	2.3	26	2.0	27	2.7	8	.8	3	2.1	13	-
Male	2,709	100.0	898	100.0	898	100.0	796	100.0	103	100.0	14	100.0
0–14	59	2.2	30	3.3	9	1.0	19	2.4	1	1.0	0	_
15–19	350	12.9	70	7.8	175	19.5	93	11.7	11	10.7	1	Y
20-24	521	19.2	128	14.3	213	23.7	168	21.1	12	11.7	0	—
25-29	479	17.7	127	14.1	181	20.2	144	18.1	26	25.2	1	_
30-34	357	13.2	122	13.6	105	11.7	119	14.9	10	9.7	1	- 1
35–39	223	8.2	77	8.6	67	7.5	71	8.9	8	7.8	0	-
40-44	160	5.9	63	7.0	36	4.0	55	6.9	6	5.8	0	-
45-49	123	4.5	51	5.7	35	3.9	31	3.9	6	5.8	0	2 <u>- 3</u>
50-54	122	4.5	60	6.7	21	2.3	34	4.3	7	6.8	0	-
55-99	252	9.3	152	16.9	31	3.5	56	7.0	13	12.6	0	-
Unknown	63	2.3	18	2.0	25	2.8	6	.8	3	2.9	11	-
Female	696	100.0	385	100.0	92	100.0	176	100.0	40	100.0	3	100.0
0–14	51	7.3	27	7.0	9	9.8	14	8.0	1	_	0	-
15-19	75	10.8	45	11.7	12	13.0	16	9.1	2	_	0	_
20-24	129	18.5	63	16.4	19	20.7	38	21.6	9	-	0	-
25–29	100	14.4	46	11.9	14	15.2	33	18.8	6	_	1	_
30-34	80	11.5	40	10.4	10	10.9	26	14.8	4	_	0	-
35–39	51	7.3	22	5.7	10	10.9	12	6.8	7	- 1	0	_
40-44	41	5.9	22	5.7	5	5.4	9	5.1	5	- 1	0	-
45–49	28	4.0	16	4.2	6	6.5	4	2.3	2	- 1	0	-
50-54	22	3.2	16	4.2	0	.0	6	3.4	0	-	0	_
55-99	105	15.1	80	20.8	5	5.4	16	9.1	4	-	0	-
Unknown	14	2.0	8	2.1	2	2.2	2	1.1	0	-	2	-

TABLE 20 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

						Race	e/ethnic gr	oup of vict	tim			
Sex and age	То	tal	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All d	other	Unkn	own
of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	3,140	100.0	1,143	100.0	951	100.0	899	100.0	134	100.0	13	100.0
0–14	132	4.2	58	5.1	35	3.7	35	3.9	4	3.0	0	- 1
15—19	347	11.0	75	6.6	161	16.9	99	11.0	12	9.0	0	-
20—24	586	18.7	162	14.2	228	24.0	173	19.2	23	17.2	0	-
25–29	516	16.4	147	12.9	176	18.5	177	19.7	15	11.2	1	-
30–34	412	13.1	161	14.1	125	13.1	106	11.8	20	14.9	0	-
35-39	261	8.3	93	8.1	67	7.0	84	9.3	16	11.9	1	-
40-44	182	5.8	63	5.5	60	6.3	49	5.4	10	7.5	0	_
45—49	145	4.6	62	5.4	28	2.9	49	5.4	6	4.5	0	
50-54	131	4.2	57	5.0	25	2.6	37	4.1	12	9.0	0	
55-99	367	11.7	240	21.0	30	3.2	82	9.1	14	10.4	1	-
Jnknown	61	1.9	25	2.2	16	1.7	8	.9	2	1.5	10	-
Vale	2,472	100.0	788	100.0	848	100.0	726	100.0	99	100.0	11	100.0
0–14	80	3.2	29	3.7	23	2.7	25	3.4	3	3.0	0	_
15-19	292	11.8	48	6.1	148	17.5	85	11.7	11	11.1	0	_
20–24	466	18.9	112	14.2	207	24.4	134	18.5	13	13.1	0	_
25–29	424	17.2	110	14.0	156	18.4	146	20.1	11	11.1	1	_
30–34	344	13.9	127	16.1	117	13.8	84	11.6	16	16.2	0	_
35–39	214	8.7	67	8.5	58	6.8	75	10.3	13	13.1	1	_
40-44	146	5.9	47	6.0	51	6.0	41	5.6	7	7.1	0	_
45-49	115	4.7	42	5.3	26	3.1	41	5.6	6	6.1	0	_
50-54	101	4.1	39	4.9	23	2.7	32	4.4	7	7.1	0	_
55-99	244	9.9	151	19.2	24	2.8	58	8.0	10	10.1	1	_
Jnknown	46	1.9	16	2.0	15	1.8	5	.7	2	2.0	8	
emale	668	100.0	355	100.0	103	100.0	173	100.0	35	100.0	2	100.0
0–14	52	7.8	29	8.2	12	11.6	10	5.8	1	_	ō	_
15-19	55	8.2	27	7.6	13	12.6	14	8.1	1	_	o	_
20–24	120	18.0	50	14.1	21	20.4	39	22.5	10	_	ō	_
25-29	92	13.8	37	10.4	20	19.4	31	17.9	4	_	0	_
30-34	68	10.2	34	9.6	8	7.8	22	12.7	4		o	_
35–39	47	7.0	26	7.3	9	8.7	9	5.2	3	_	o	_
40-44	36	5.4	16	4.5	9	8.7	8	4.6	3	_	ŏ	_
45-49	30	4.5	20	5.6	2	1.9	8	4.6	ō	_	o	_
50-54	30	4.5	18	5.1	2	1.9	5	2.9	5	_	0	_
55-99	123	18.4	89	25.1	6	5.8	24	13.9	4	_	o	
Jnknown	15	2.2	9	2.5	1	1.0	3	1.7	0	_	2	_

TABLE 21 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

	1					Race	e/ethnic gro	oup of vict	im			
0	То	tal	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All	other	Unkn	own
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,778	100.0	999	100.0	851	100.0	789	100.0	127	100.0	12	100.0
0–14	143	5.1	56	5.6	43	5.1	37	4.7	6	4.7	1	_
15–19	245	8.8	64	6.4	94	11.0	79	10.0	8	6.3	0	-
20–24	518	18.6	156	15.6	212	24.9	130	16.5	20	15.7	0	_
25–29	468	16.8	126	12.6	178	20.9	146	18.5	18	14.2	0	_
30–34	335	12.1	105	10.5	106	12.5	103	13.1	21	16.5	0	-
35—39	261	9.4	100	10.0	70	8.2	79	10.0	12	9.4	0	-
40-44	189	6.8	79	7.9	50	5.9	51	6.5	9	7.1	0	_
45–49	119	4.3	41	4.1	32	3.8	37	4.7	9	7.1	0	_
50–54	142	5.1	64	6.4	21	2.5	48	6.1	9	7.1	0	_
55–99	318	11.4	198	19.8	35	4.1	71	9.0	14	11.0	0	_
Unknown	40	1.4	10	1.0	10	1.2	8	1.0	1	.8	11	-
Male	2,133	100.0	649	100.0	747	100.0	630	100.0	98	100.0	9	100.0
0–14	72	3.4	23	3.5	24	3.2	20	3.2	4	4.1	1	-
15–19	197	9.2	43	6.6	85	11.4	62	9.8	7	7.1	0	
20–24	414	19.4	104	16.0	193	25.8	104	16.5	13	13.3	0	-
25-29	374	17.5	75	11.6	167	22.4	118	18.7	14	14.3	0	_
30–34	272	12.8	78	12.0	92	12.3	84	13.3	18	18.4	0	_
35–39	201	9.4	66	10.2	60	8.0	65	10.3	10	10.2	0	_
40–44	153	7.2	54	8.3	44	5.9	46	7.3	9	9.2	0	_
45-49	101	4.7	33	5.1	29	3.9	32	5.1	7	7.1	0	_
50-54	107	5.0	47	7.2	16	2.1	38	6.0	6	6.1	0	-
55-99	212	9.9	119	18.3	28	3.7	56	8.9	9	9.2	0	
Unknown	30	1.4	7	1.1	9	1.2	5	.8	1	1.0	8	-
Female	645	100.0	350	100.0	104	100.0	159	100.0	29	100.0	3	100.0
0–14	71	11.0	33	9.4	19	18.3	17	10.7	2	_	0	-
15–19	48	7.4	21	6.0	9	8.7	17	10.7	1	_	0	1 -
20–24	104	16.1	52	14.9	19	18.3	26	16.4	7	_	0	_
25–29	94	14.6	51	14.6	11	10.6	28	17.6	4	_	0	-
30–34	63	9.8	27	7.7	14	13.5	19	11.9	3		0	_
35–39	60	9.3	34	9.7	10	9.6	14	8.8	2	_	0	_
40–44	36	5.6	25	7.1	6	5.8	5	3.1	0	_	0	_
45–49	18	2.8	8	2.3	3	2.9	5	3.1	2	-	0	-
50-54	35	5.4	17	4.9	5	4.8	10	6.3	3	_	o	_
55–99	106	16.4	79	22.6	7	6.7	15	9.4	5	-	ō	_
Unknown	10	1.6	3	.9	1	1.0	3	1.9	ō	-	3	_

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

TABLE 22	2
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 19	82
Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by Sex, Race/Ethni	c Group, and Age of Victim

Sex, race/ethnic group, and age	Percent ^a	Population	Victims	Rate	
TOTAL	100.0	24,724,000	2,778 ^b	11.2	
Sex	10.0	40 400 000	0.422	17.5	
Male	49.3	12,188,932	2,133	17.5	
Female	50.7	12,535,068	645	5.1	
Race/ethnic group	1				
White (not Hispanic)	66.6	16,466,200	1,003	6.1	
Hispanic	19.2	4,747,000	855	18.0	
Black	7.5	1,854,300	792	42.7	
Other	6.7	1,656,500	128	7.7	
Age					
0-24	40.0	9,879,700	919	9.3	
25-39	25.0	6,190,900	1,080	17.4	
40 and over	35.0	8,653,400	779	9.0	

^aPercent distributions of Californians by sex, race/ethnic group, and age were obtained from Department of Finance 1980 population estimates. These percents were applied to the total population estimate of 24,724,000 used by the Bureau of Criminal Statistics in 1982 to calculate the subpopulation figures shown for sex, race/ethnic group, and age.
 ^bThis figure includes 12 victims whose race/ethnic group was unknown and 40 victims whose age was unknown. These "unknowns" are distributed proportionately among the several age and race/ethnic groups.

Source: Table 21.

TABLE 23 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Sex of Victim

Sex of victim	Total	Spouse	Friend, acquaintance	Gang member	Parent, child	Stranger	All other
TOTAL							
Number	1,837	171	841	136	120	472	97
Percent	100.0	9.3	45.8	7.4	6.5	25.7	5.3
Male							
Number	1,386	52	676	133	68	377	80
Percent	100.0	3.8	48.8	9.6	4.9	27.2	5.8
Female							
Number	451	119	165	3	52	95	17
Percent	100.0	26.4	36.6	.7	11.5	21.1	3.8



lotes: This table excludes 941 victims whose relationship to the offender was unknown.

Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Source: Table 25.

TABLE 24 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	Friend, acquaintance	Spouse, parent, child	Gang member	Stranger	All other
TOTAL	1,837	841	291	136	472	97
Number	100.0	45.8	15.8	7.4	25.7	5.3
White (not Hispanic)	697	313	143	5	200	36
Number	100.0	44.9	20.5	.7	28.7	5.2
Hispanic	521	217	47	94	139	24
Number	100.0	41.6	9.0	18.0	26.7	4.6
Black	533	281	95	33	94	30
Number	100.0	52.7	17.8	6.2	17.6	5.6
All other	85	29	6	4	39	7
Number	100.0	34.1	7.1	4.7	45.9	8.2
Unknown Number	1 100.0	1	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u> —	0 	0

Notes: This table excludes 941 victims whose relationship to the offender was unknown.

Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Source: Table 25.

TABLE 25 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim

						R	ace/ethnić g	roup of vic	tim			Race/ethnic group of victim								
	Tot	tal	White (not	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ick	AI	l other	Unkn	Iown	м	ale	Fer	nale				
Relationship	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent				
TOTAL	2,778	100.0	999	100.0	851	100.0	789	100.0	127	100.0	12	100.0	2,133	100.0	645	100.0				
Friend,												·								
acquaintance ^a	841	30.3	313	31.3	217	25.5	281	35.6	29	22.8	1	_	676	31.7	165	25.6				
Spouse ^b	171	6.2	85	8.5	28	3.3	54	6.8	4	3.1	0	-	52	2.4	119	18.4				
Parent, child ^c	120	4.3	58	5.8	19	2.2	41	5.2	2	1.6	0	_	68	3.2	52	8.1				
Sibling	31	1.1	13	1.3	5	.6	9	1.1	4	3.1	0	_	28	1.3	3	.5				
Other relative	60	2.2	17	1.7	19	2.2	21	2.7	3	2.4	0	-	46	2.2	14	2.2				
Stranger	472	17.0	200	20.0	139	16.3	94	11.9	39	30.7	0	_	377	17.7	95	14.7				
Gang member	136	4.9	5	.5	94	11.0	33	4.2	4	3.1	0	-	133	6.2	3	.5				
Peace officer	6	.2	6	.6	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	_	6	.3	0	.0				
Unknown	941	33.9	302	30.2	330	38.8	256	32.4	42	33.1	11	-	747	35.0	194	30.1				

^aIncludes ex-husband, ex-wife, employer, employee, etc.

^bIncludes common-law marriage partner.

^CIncludes stepmother, stepfather, stepson, and stepdaughter.

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

TABLE 26 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1980–1982 Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by Size of County

Size of county	Population July 1, 1981	Average number of victims 1980–1982	Average rate ^a
TOTAL	24,196,000	3,107.7	12.8
1st – 20th ^b	21,577,600 2,618,400	2,922.7 185.0	13.5 7.1

^aThe average homicide rate for each county group was calculated on the basis of the summation of the estimated populations of the counties in the group as of July 1, 1981 and the average number of willful homicide victims during the three-year period of 1980—1982.

^bCounty groups are based on county population estimates as of July 1, 1981. 1st—20th is composed of the largest counties ranked in order of magnitude of population. These counties are Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, Santa Ciara, Alameda, San Bernardino, Sacramento, Riverside, San Francisco, Contra Costa, San Mateo, Ventura, Fresno, Kern, San Joaquin, Sonoma, Santa Barbara, Monterey, Stanislaus, and Tulare.

Source: Table 27.

TABLE 27
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1980-1982
Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by County

County	Population July 1, 1981	Average rate ^a	Total	1980	1981	1982
TOTAL	24,196,000	12.8	9,323	3,405	3,140	2,778
Alameda	1,118,200	14.8	496	171	188	137
Alpine	1,300	_	1	1	0	0
Amador	20,600	_	Ó	Ó	ō	o o
Butte	149,000	4.7	21	7	4	10
Calaveras	21,900	-	4	0	2	2
Colusa	12,700	_	4	2	1	1
Contra Costa.	658,800	7.8	155	48	57	50
Del Norte	18,900	_	7	3	2	2
El Dorado	90,000	8.9	24	9	6	9
Fresno	527,500	15.5	246	102	73	71
Glenn	21,500		4	2	0	2
Humboldt	109,300	10.7	35	9	7	19
Imperial	95,700	13.2	38	17	11	10
Inyo	17,600	-	7	2	2	3
Kern	419,400	18.0	227	84	81	62
Kings	75,400	9.7	22	4	7	11
Lake	39,700	-	7	3	4	
Lassen	22,300	_	3	1	1	
Los Angeles	7,631,800	20.3	4,646	1,731	1,500	- 1,415
Madera	67,700	14.8	30	12	6	12
Marin	223,100	4.2	28	16	8	4
Mariposa	11,800		2	1	ő	
Mendocino	66,900	_	18	8	8	
Merced	139,800	6.4	27	10	12	5
Modoc	8,900	_	4	2	Ő	2
Mono	8,700	_	2	2	0	0
Monterey.	296,600	9.7	86	24	33	29
Napa	99,200	-	14	6	5	3
Nevada	57,100	_	13	3	6	4
Orange	1,959,300	5.0	292	113	96	83
Placer	121,500		16	9	6	1
Plumas	17,700	_	10	2	4	4
Riverside	691,800	11.9	248	93	92	63
Sacramento	797,000	9.8	234	72	81	81
San Benito	26,100	_	7	3	1	3
San Bernardino	943,500	14.4	408	145	166	97
San Diego	1,912,600	8.0	461	145	157	124
San Francisco	688,700	16.8	348	111	126	111
San Joaquin	361,800	18.8	204	63	70	71
San Luis Obispo	163,400	6.3	31	16	6	9
San Mateo	580,700	5.8	101	33	32	36
Santa Barbara	303,100	5.4	49	14	20	15
Santa Clara	1,306,900	6.5	256	98	97	61
Santa Cruz	195,100	5.5	32	10	16	6
Shasta	120,400	5.5	20	5	10	5
Sierra	3,200	_	1	o	0	1
Siskiyou	41,100	-	9	1	ĭ	7
Solano	245,900	5.8	43	15	10	18
Sonoma	309,100	4.9	45	16	18	11
Stanislaus	277,100	7.8	65	28	20	17
Sutter	53,200	12.5	20	6	7	7
Tehama	40,400	_	11	5	3	3
Trinity	12,300	-	4	ō	1	3
Tulare	254,600	13.0	99	32	33	34
Tuolumne	34,600	-	4	2	0	2
Ventura	539,100	6.3	102	38	32	32
Yolo	115,800		16	10	4	2
Yuba	48,700	_	16	5	7	4

^aThe average homicide rate per 100,000 population for each county was calculated on the basis of the estimated population as of July 1,1981 and the average number of willful homicide victims during the three-year period of 1980—1982. Population estimates were obtained from the California Department of Finance. Note: The average homicide rate was not calculated when the total number of homicides during 1980—1982 was less than 20.

TABLE 28
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1982
Percent Distribution by Known Month of Incident

	19	74	19	75	19	76	19	77	19	78	19	79	19	80	19	81	19	82
Month of incident	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	1,958	100.0	2,157	100.0	2,183	100.0	2,471	100.0	2,593	100.0	2,932	100.0	3,405	100.0	3,140	100.0	2,778	100.0
Total	934	47.7	1,001	46.4	1,010	46.3	1,145	46.3	1,234	47.6	1,361	46.4	1,502	44.1	1,511	48.1	1,354	48.7
January February March April May June	169 142 150 142 168 163	8.6 7.3 7.7 7.3 8.6 8.3	182 151 176 164 162 166	8.4 7.0 8.2 7.6 7.5 7.7	190 162 137 163 178 180	8.7 7.4 6.3 7.5 8.2 8.2	205 169 173 204 202 192	8.3 6.8 7.0 8.3 8.2 7.8	199 194 222 205 203 211	7.7 7.5 8.6 7.9 7.8 8.1	226 207 230 219 243 236	7.7 7.1 7.8 7.5 8.3 8.0	275 220 240 232 236 299	8.1 6.5 7.0 6.8 6.9 8.8	236 262 232 237 270 274	7.5 8.3 7.4 7.5 8.6 8.7	237 189 229 235 253 211	8.5 6.8 8.2 8.5 9.1 7.6
Total July	1,024 168 150 177 175 180 174	52.3 8.6 7.7 9.0 8.9 9.2 8.9	1,156 175 205 195 213 179 189	53.6 8.1 9.5 9.0 9.9 8.3 8.8	1,173 187 198 192 204 171 221	53.7 8.6 9.1 8.8 9.3 7.8 10.1	1,326 206 228 216 206 211 259	53.7 8.3 9.2 8.7 8.3 8.5 10.5	1,359 226 233 224 214 226 236	52.4 8.7 9.0 8.6 8.3 8.7 9.1	1,571 239 246 262 249 279 296	53.6 8.2 8.4 8.9 8.5 9.5 10.1	1,903 329 360 318 280 305 311	55.9 9.7 10.6 9.3 8.2 9.0 9.1	1,629 306 288 261 267 242 265	51.9 9.7 9.2 8.3 8.5 7.7 8.4	1,424 239 238 275 241 202 229	51.3 8.6 9.9 8.7 7.3 8.2

Notes: This table excludes 12 victims in 1974, 39 victims in 1975, 31 victims in 1976, 10 victims in 1977, 8 victims in 1978, and 9 victims in 1979 for whom the month of incident resulting in death was unknown.

Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

TABLE 29 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1982 Average Monthly Number of Homicides in First and Second Half of Year

	Nu	mber		Average monthly number							
Year	First	Second	First	Second	Projected second-half	Adjusted					
	half	half	half	half	growth increment ^a	second half ^b					
TOTAL	11,052	12,565	204.7	232.7	6.7	226.0					
1982	1,354	1,424	225.7	237.3	7.4	229.9					
1981	1,511	1,629	251.8	271.5	8.3	263.2					
1980	1,502	1,903	250.3	317.2	8.2	309.0					
1979	1,361	1,571	226.8	261.8	7.4	254.4					
1978	1,234	1,359	205.7	226.5	6.7	219.8					
1977	1,145	1,326	190.8	221.0	6.3	214.7					
	1,010	1,173	168.3	195.5	5.5	190.0					
	1,001	1,156	166.8	192.7	5.5	187.2					
	934	1,024	155.7	170.7	5.1	165.6					

^aThis second-half growth increment is the average monthly number of homicides in the second half of the year attributed to the long-term increase in homicides. This number is obtained by multiplying the average monthly number of homicides in the first half of the year by the semiannual growth rate of homicides over the 15-year period, 1968 to 1982 (3.28 percent).

^bThe adjusted second-half number is the average monthly number of homicides in the second half of the year from which the projected homicide growth increment has been subtracted.

Race/ethnic group and sex of victim	Total	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Weekend	Weekday
TOTAL										1. It.
Number	2,224	347	296	264	258	293	314	452	799	1,425
Percent	100.0	15.6	13.3	11.9	11.6	13.2	14.1	20.3	35.9	64.1
White (not Hispanic)										
Number	700	99	104	91	85	106	101	114	213	487
Percent	100.0	14.1	14.9	13.0	12.1	15.1	14.4	16.3	30.4	69.6
Hispanic										
Number	731	135	79	61	70	85	98	203	338	393
Percent	100.0	18.5	10.8	8.3	9.6	11.6	13.4	27.8	46.2	53.8
Black						<i>d</i>				
Number	683	95	95	97	85	89	96	126	221	462
Percent	100.0	13.9	13.9	14.2	12.4	13.0	14.1	18.4	32.4	67.6
All other		i.			-					
Number	104	18	18	15	17	12	16	8	26	78
Percent	100.0	17.3	17.3	14.4	16.3	11.5	15.4	7.7	25.0	75.0
Unknown										
Number	6	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	1	5
Percent	100.0	-	-	_	_	-	-	. –	-	-
Male								l		
Number	1,767	290	230	202	200	226	246	373	663	1,104
Percent	100.0	16.4	13.0	11.4	11.3	12.8	13.9	21.1	37.5	62.5
Female								~		
Number	457	57	66	62	58	67	68	79	136	321
Percent	100.0	12.5	14.4	13.6	12.7	14.7	14.9	17.3	29.8	70.2

TABLE 30 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Day of Incident Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim



Notes: This table excludes 554 victims for whom the day of incident resulting in death was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 31.

						Sex of victim										
	To	tal	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ick	All of	ther	Unkr	own	M	ale	Fen	nale
Day of incident	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,778	100.0	999	100.0	851	100.0	789	100.0	127	100.0	12	100.0	2,133	100.0	645	100.0
Sunday	347	12.5	99	9.9	135	15.9	95	12.0	18	14.2	0	-	290	13.6	57	8.8
Monday	296	10.7	104	10.4	79	9.3	95	12.0	18	14.2	0	-	230	10.8	66	10.2
Tuesday	264	9.5	91	9.1	61	7.2	97	12.3	15	11.8	0	14	202	9.5	62	9.6
Wednesday	258	9.3	85	8.5	70	8.2	85	10.8	17	13.4	1		200	9.4	58	9.0
Thursday	293	10.5	106	10.6	85	10.0	89	11.3	12	9.4	1	-	226	10.6	67	10.4
Friday	314	11.3	101	10.1	98	11.5	96	12.2	16	12.6	3	-	246	11.5	68	10.5
Saturday	452	16.3	114	11.4	203	23.9	126	16.0	8	6.3	1	-	373	17.5	79	12.2
Unknown	554	19.9	299	29.9	120	14.1	106	13.4	23	18.1	6	-	366	17.2	188	29.1

TABLE 31 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Day of Incident Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

TABLE 32 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Location of Homicide Distributed by Sex of Victim

Sex of victim	Total	Victim's residence	Street, sidewalk	Ail other
TOTAL			744 - 248	
Number	2,623	915	560	1,148
Percent	100.0	34.9	21.3	43.8
Male				
Number	2,017	569	502	946
Percent	100.0	28.2	24.9	46.9
Female				
Number	606	346	58	202
Percent	100.0	57.1	9.6	33.3

Note: This table excludes 155 victims for whom the jocation of the homicide was unknown. Source: Table 34.

TABLE 33 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Location of Homicide Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	Victim's residence	Street, sidewalk	All other	
TOTAL					
Number	2,623	915	560	1,148	
Percent	100.0	34.9	21.3	43.8	
White (not Hispanic)					
Number	924	416	101	407	
Percent	100.0	45.0	10.9	44.0	
Hispanic					
Number	821	189	226	406	
Percent	100.0	23.0	27.5	49.5	
Black					
Number	750	265	222	263	
Percent	100.0	35.3	29.6	35.1	
All other					
Number	117	43	11	63	
Percent	100.0	36.8	9.4	53.8	
Unknown					
Number	11	2	0	9	
Percent	100.0	_		_	

Notes: This table excludes 155 victims for whom the location of the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Source: Table 34.

TABLE 34 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982

Location of Homicide Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim Race/ethnic group of victim Sex of victim · White (not Hispanic) Hispanic Black All other Male Female Total Unknown Percent Number Percent Percent Number Percent Percent Percent Percent Number Percent Number Number Number Number Number Location 100.0 TOTAL. 2,778 100.0 999 851 100.0 789 100.0 127 100.0 12 100.0 2,133 100.0 645 100.0 2.9 57 2.1 24 2.4 13 1.5 17 2.2 3 2.4 0 38 1.8 19 Hotel, motel.... _ Victim's residence. . 915 32.9 416 41.6 189 22.2 265 33.6 43 33.9 2 569 26.7 346 53.6 _ 270 9.7 79 9.3 98 84 6.3 226 6.8 Other residence . . . 8.4 12.4 8 1 _ 10.6 44 .0 Service station.... 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 0 .0 0 0 .0 0 _ Liquor store 33 1.2 11 1.1 10 1.2 3 9 7.1 0 29 1.4 4 .6 .4 _ 158 5.7 38 3.8 67 7.9 45 6 2 142 6.7 2.5 Parking lot 5.7 4.7 _ 16 Other business. . . . 80 2.9 31 3.1 24 2.8 14 1.8 11 8.7 0 67 3.1 13 2.0 -101 3.6 27 2.7 62 7.3 11 .8 0 92 4.3 9 1.4 1.4 1 Bar _ 62 10 47 Vehicle 179 6.4 6.2 65 7.6 42 5.3 7.9 0 _ 132 6.2 7.3 Street, sidewalk . . . 560 20.2 101 10.1 226 26.6 222 28.1 11 8.7 0 502 23.5 58 9.0 _ Field, park, school . 204 7.3 98 9.8 66 7.8 25 3.2 9 7.1 6 165 6.0 -7.7 39 66 2.4 32 3.2 20 2.4 8 1.0 6 4.7 0 -55 2.6 11 1.7 155 5.6 75 7.5 30 3.5 39 4.9 10 39 Unknown 7.9 1 _ 116 5.4 6.0

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

TABLE 35 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Type of Weapon

Type of weapon	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,745	100.0
Firearm	1,557	56.7
Knife ^a	656	23.9
Blunt object ^b	176	6.4
Personal weapon ^c	174	6.3
Rope ^d	60	2.2
All other	122	4.4

^aAny Instrument used to cut or stab.
 ^bBludgeon, club, etc.
 ^cHands, flsts, feet, etc.
 ^dAny Instrument used to hang or strangle.
 Notes: This table excludes 33 victims for whom the type of weapon used in the homicide was unknown.
 Percents do not add to 100.0 because of rounding.
 Source: Table 38.

	TABLE 36
	WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982
Known	Type of Weapon Distributed by Sex of Victim

Sex of victim	Total	Firearm	Personal weapon ^a	All other	
TOTAL					
Number	2,745	1,557	174	1,014	
Percent	100.0	56.7	6.3	36.9	
Male					
Number	2,120	1,292	96	732	
Percent	100.0	60.9	4.5	34.5	
Female					
Number	625	265	78	282	
Percent	100.0	42.4	12.5	45.1	

^aHands, fists, feet, etc. Notes: This table excludes 33 victims for whom the type of weapon used in the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

TABLE 37 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Type of Weapon Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

Race/ethnic group of victi		Firearm	Knife ^a	Personal weapon ^b	All other
TOTAL					
Number	2,745	1,557	656	174	358
Percent	100.0	56.7	23.9	6.3	13.0
White (not Hispanic)					
Number	974	493	221	92	168
Percent	100.0	50.6	22.7	9.4	17.2
Hispanic			64 - 31	0002030 20	
Number	847	510	216	39	82
Percent		60.2	25.5	4.6	9.7
Black					
Number	786	480	188	35	83
Percent		61.1	23.9	4.5	10.6
All other	~				
Number	127	68	31	7	21
Percent	100.0	53.5	24.4	5.5	16.5
Unknown					
Number	11	6	0	1	4
Percent		-	_	<u> </u>	_

^aAny instrument used to cut or stab. ^bHands, fists, feet, etc. Notes: This table excludes 33 victims for whom the type of weapon used in the homicide was unknown.

Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Source: Table 38.

TABLE 38 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Type of Weapon Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim

·····						R	ace/ethnic g	roup of vic	tim				Sex of victim			
	Tot	tal	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ick	All of	ther	Unkr	own	м	ale	Fen	nale
Weapon	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,778	100.0	999	100.0	851	100.0	789	100.0	127	100.0	12	100.0	2,133	100.0	645	100.0
Firearm - unknown .	149	5.4	56	5.6	45	5.3	43	5.4	3	2.4	2	-	132	6.2	17	2.6
Handgun	1,047	37.7	303	30.3	354	41.6	335	42.5	52	40.9	3	-	873	40.9	174	27.0
Rifle	200	7.2	79	7.9	71	8.3	38	4.8	11	8.7	1		157	7.4	43	6.7
Shotgun	161	5.8	55	5.5	40	4.7	64	8.1	2	1.6	0	-	130	6.1	31	4.8
Knife ^a	656	23.6	221	22.1	216	25.4	188	23.8	31	24.4	0	-	514	24.1	142	22.0
Blunt object ^b	176	6.3	85	8.5	33	3.9	45	5.7	10	7.9	3	—	133	6.2	43	6.7
Personal weapon ^C .	174	6.3	92	9.2	39	4.6	35	4.4	7	5.5	1		96	4.5	78	12.1
Drugs	3	.1	1	.1	1	.1	1	.1	0	.0	0	—	2	.1	1	.2
Rope ^d	60	2.2	35	3.5	6	.7	14	1.8	5	3.9	0		22	1.0	38	5.9
Other	119	4.3	47	4.7	42	4.9	23	2.9	6	4.7	1	-	61	2.9	58	9.0
Unknown	33	1.2	25	2.5	4	.5	3	.4	0	.0	1	-	13	.6	20	3.1

^aAny Instrument used to cut or stab.

^bBludgeon, club, etc. ^cHands, fists, feet, etc.

^dAny implement used to hang or strangle.

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

TABLE 39 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Sex of Victim

Sex of victim	Total	Sex- related	Robbery	Argument	Burglary	All other
TOTAL						
Number	2,282	53	473	1,159	56	541
Percent	100.0	2.3	20.7	50.8	2.5	23.7
Male						
Number	1,755	5	402	930	29	389
Percent	100.0	5 .3	22.9	53.0	1.7	22.2
Female						
Number	527	48	71	229	27	152
Percent	100.0	9.1	13.5	43.5	5.1	28.8

Notes: This table excludes 496 victims for whom the circumstance contributing to the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Source: Table 41.

TABLE 40 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	Sex-related, robbery, burglary	Argument	Gang- related	Ail other
TOTAL				×.	
Number	2,282	582	1,159	140	401
Percent	100.0	25.5	50.8	6.1	17.6
White (not Hispanic)					
Number	803	273	352	6	172
Percent	100.0	34.0	43.8	.7	21.4
Hispanic					
Number	710	134	381	96	99
Percent	100.0	18.9	53.7	13.5	13.9
Black					
Number	658	137	376	35	110
Percent	100.0	20.8	57.1	5.3	16.7
All other					
Number	107	38	48	3	18
Percent	100.0	35.5	44.9	2.8	16.8
Unknown					
Number	4	0	2	0	2
Percent	100.0	-	_	-	_

Notes: This table excludes 496 victims for whom the circumstance contributing to the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Source: Table 41.

TABLE 41

WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982

Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim

						R	ace/ethnic g	roup of vic	tim					Sex of	victim	
Contributing	Tor	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ick	All of	ther	Unkn	own	M	ale	Fer	male
circumstance	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,778	100.0	999	100.0	851	100.0	789	100.0	127	100.0	12	100.0	2,133	100.0	645	100.0
Argument	1,159 53 473	41.7 1.9 17.0	352 35 200	35.2 3.5 20.0	381 5 121	44.8 .6 14.2	376 10 118	47.7 1.3 15.0	48 3 34	37.8 2.4 26.8	2 0 0		930 5 402	43.6 .2 18.8	229 48 71	35.5 7.4 11.0
Burglary Drugs	56 77 11	2.0 2.8 .4	38 32 4	3.8 3.2 .4	8 14 2	.9 1.6 .2	9 28 2	1.1 3.5 .3	1 3 3	.8 2.4 2.4	0 0 0	-	29 67 9	1.4 3.1 .4	27 10 2	4.2 1.6 .3
Gang-related Other Unknown	140 313 496	5.0 11.3 17.9	6 136 196	.6 13.6 19.6	96 83 141	11.3 9.8 16.6	35 80 131	4.4 10.1 16.6	3 12 20	2.4 9.4 15.7	0 2 8	-	133 180 378	6.2 8.4 17.7	7 133 118	1.1 20.6 18.3

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

TABLE 42

WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982

Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Known Age of Victim

						Age of v	victim			
	Тс	otal	0-	-14	15-	-19	20-	-69	70 an	d over
Contributing circumstance	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,263	100.0	131	100.0	201	100.0	1,831	100.0	100	100.0
Rape, robbery, and burglary	568 1,152	25.1 50.9	5 12	3.8 9.2	26 100	12.9 49.8	466 1.028	25.5 56.1	71 12	71.0
Argument	140	6.2	4	3.1	55	27.4	81	4.4	0	.0
Child abuse	58 345	2.6 15.2	58 52	44.3 39.7	0 20	.0 10.0	256	.0 14.0	0 17	.0 17.0

• Notes: This table excludes 496 victims for whom the contributing circumstance to the homicide was unknown.

Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Source: Table 43.

TABLE 43 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Contributing Circumstance Distirbuted by Age of Victim

						Age of	victim			
6.	Та	tal	0-	_4	5-	-14	15-	-19	20-	-29
Contributing circumstance	Number	Percent								
TOTAL	2,778	100.0	88	100.0	56	100.0	245	100.0	986	100.0
Rape	44	1.6	0	.0	3	5.4	1	.4	14	1.4
Robbery	473	17.0	0	.0	0	.0	24	9.8	113	11.5
Burglary	56	2.0	0	.0	2	3.6	1	.4	5	.5
Argument	1,159	41.7	2	2.3	10	17.9	100	40.8	501	50.8
Child abuse	58	2.1	56	63.6	2	3.6	0	0.	0	.0
Gang-related	140	5.0	0	.0	4	7.1	55	22.4	68	6.9
Arson	43	1.5	5	5.7	8	14.3	3	1.2	9	.9
Narcotic drug laws	77	2.8	1	1.1	1 1	1.8	3	1.2	36	3.7
Other	232	8.4	21	23.9	16	28.6	14	5.7	66	6.7
Unknown	496	17.9	3	3.4	10	17.9	44	18.0	174	17.6

						Age of v	victim					
	30–	-39	40-	-49	50-	-59	60-	-69	70 and	d over	Unkn	own
Contributing circumstance	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	596	100.0	308	100.0	226	100.0	124	100.0	109	100.0	40	100.0
Rape	3	.5	3	1.0	8	3.5	4	3.2	7	6.4	1	_
Robbery	100	16.8	70	22.7	74	32.7	48	38.7	40	36.7	4	_
Burglary	6	1.0	6	1.9	5	2.2	7	5.6	24	22.0	0	-
Argument	280	47.0	133	43.2	79	35.0	35	28.2	12	11.0	7	-
Child abuse	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	_
Gang-related	8	1.3	4	1.3	1	.4	0	.0	0	.0	0	- 1
Arson	3	.5	4	1.3	3	1.3	1	.8	1	.9	6	_
Narcotic drug laws	23	3.9	8	2.6	3	1.3	1	.8	0	.0	1	-
Other	49	8.2	25	8.1	17	7.5	8	6.5	16	14.7	0	-
Unknown	124	20.8	55	17.9	36	15.9	20	16.1	9	8.3	21	

TABLE 44 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1982 Number of Victims and Offenders for Each Homicide Incident

		Vic	tims	
Offenders for each homicide incident	Total	Single victim	Multiple victims	
TOTAL	2,778	2,522	256	
Single offender	1,653 335 790	1,483 302 737	170 33 53	

TABLE 45 ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1982 Sex of Arrestee Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

					R	ace/ethnic g	roup of arres	stee		
	Tot	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ack	All o	ther
Sex of arrestee	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	3,552	100.0	929	100.0	1,146	100.0	1,342	100.0	135	100.0
Male	3,168	89.2	801	86.2	1,078	94.1	1,164	86.7	125	92.6
Female	384	10.8	128	13.8	68	5.9	178	13.3	10	7.4
Juvenile	387	100.0	56	100.0	144	100.0	164	100.0	23	100.0
Male	358	92.5	45	80.4	140	97.2	152	92.7	21	
Female	29	7.5	11	19.6	4	2.8	12	7.3	2	
Adult	3,165	100.0	873	100.0	1,002	100.0	1,178	100.0	112	100.0
Maie	2,810	88.8	756	86.6	938	93.6	1,012	85.9	104	92.9
Female	355	11.2	117	13.4	64	6.4	166	14.1	8	7.1

Note: Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 49.

TABLE 46 **ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1982** Juvenile and Adult

	Total	Juvenile	Adult	
Number	3,552 100.0	387 10.9	3,165 89.1	

Source: Table 49.

TABLE 47 **ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1982** Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee Distributed by Sex

				Race/ethnic group of arrestee										
	Tot	tai	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	banic	Bla	ick	All o	ther				
Sex of arrestee	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent				
TOTAL Male Female	3,552 3,168 384	100.0 100.0 100.0	929 801 128	26.2 25.3 33.3	1,146 1,078 68	32.3 34.0 17.7	1,342 1,164 178	37.8 36.7 46.4	135 125 10	3.8 3.9 2.6				
Juvenlie Male Female	387 358 29	100.0 100.0 100.0	56 45 11	14.5 12.6	144 140 4	37.2 39.1	164 152 12	42.4 42.5	23 21 2	5.9 5.9				
Adult	3,165 2,810 355	100.0 100.0 100.0	873 756 117	27.6 26.9 33.0	1,002 938 64	31.7 33.4 18.0	1,178 1,012 166	37.2 36.0 46.8	112 104 8	3.5 3.7 2.3				

TABLE 48 ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1982 Age of Arrestee Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

Ex. 1.4					Ra	ace/ethnic g	roup of arres	stee		
	Tot	tal	White (not	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ack	All o	ther
Age of arrestee	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	3,552	100.0	929	100.0	1,146	100.0	1,342	100.0	135	100.0
0–24	1,704	48.0	327	35.2	681	59.4	632	47.1	64	47.4
25-39	1,427	40.2	446	48.0	377	32.9	545	40.6	59	43.7
40 and over	421	11.9	156	16.8	88	7.7	165	12.3	12	8.9

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Table 49.

TABLE 49
ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1982
Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee Distributed by Sex and Age

			Race/ethnic grou	p of arrestee		
Sex and age of arrestee	Total	White (not Hispanic)	Hispanic	Black	All other	
TOTAL	3,552	929	1,146	1,342	135	
Sex						
Male	3,168	801	1,078	1,164	125	
Female	384	128	68	178	10	
Juvenile ^a	387	56	144	164	23	
Male	358	45	140	152	21	
Female	29	11	4	12	2	
Adult	3,165	873	1,002	1,178	112	
Male	2.810	756	938	1,012	104	
Female	355	117	64	166	8	
Age						
0–14	37	6	11	15	5	
15–19	800	139	325	305	31	
20–24	867	182	345	312	28	
25-29	693	218	190	257	28	
30–34	454	130	107	197	20	
35–39	280	98	80	91	11	
40-44	169	52	42	69	6	
45–49	93	38	22	31	2	
50-54	66	26	13	25	2	
55-59	47	18	8	20	1	
60-64	20	8	2	9	1 1	
65-69	17	9	1	7	0	
70 and over	9	5	0	4	0	

^aA person under 18 years of age.

TABLE 50 PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1982 Contributing Circumstance

Contributing circumstance	
TOTAL	e
Criminal acts	
Drugs	1
Other	4
Noncriminal acts	
Argument	1

TABLE 51 PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1982 Wound Area Distributed by Type of Weapon

Type of weapon	Total	Head	Upper torso	Multiple	Other, unknown
TOTAL	6	0	2	2	2
Handgun Rifle	5 1	0 0	2 0	1 1	2 0

TABLE 52 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1982 Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Deceased

Sex, race/ethnic group, and age	Number	Percent
TOTAL	71	100.0
Sex		е.
Male	70	98.6
Female	1	1.4
Race/ethnic group		
White (not Hispanic)	22	31.0
	19	26.8
Black	23	32.4
All other	3	4.2
Unknown	4	5.6
Age		
Under 15	0	.0
15–19	6	8.4
20–24	18	25.4
25–29	22	31.0
30–34	11	15.5
35–39	2	2.8
40–44	4	5.6
45–49	2	2.8
50–54	3	4.2
55–59	0	.0
60–64	1 -	1.4
65–69	1	1.4
70 and over	0	.0
Unknown	1 *	1.4
Race/ethnic group	67	100.0
White (not Hispanic)	22	32.8
Hispanic	19	28.4
Black	23	34.3
All other	3	4.5
Age	70	100.0
Under 20	6	8.6
20–29	40	57.1
30–39	13	18.6
40 and over	11	15.7

Notes: The age group distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes unknown data.

Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

TABLE 53 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1982 Location of Homicide

Location of homicide	Number	Percent
TOTAL	71	100.0
Victim's residence	14	19.7
Other residence	4	5.6
Hotel, motel	0	.0
Liquor business ^a	2	2.8
Other business	3	4.2
Parking lot	4	5.6
Street, sidewalk	33	46.5
Park, school, field	0	.0
In vehicle	4	5.6
Other	4	5.6
Unknown	3	4.2
Victim's residence	14	20.6
Other residence	4	5.9
Street, sidewalk	33	48.5
Liquor or other business	5	7.4
All other	12	17.6

^aLiquor stores, bars, and cocktail iounges.

Notes: The distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes 3 victims for whom the location of the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

TABLE 54 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1982 Type of Weapon

Type of weapon Number Percent 71 100.0 59 83.1 1 1.4 10 14.1 Firearm – unknown 1.4 1

TABLE 56 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982

Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Deceased

Sex, race/ethnic group, and age	Number	Percent
TOTAL	104	100.0
Sex Male	104 0	100.0 .0
Race/ethnic group White (not Hispanic) Hispanic Black All other Unknown	27 28 44 3 2	26.0 26.9 42.3 2.9 1.9
Age Under 15 15–19. 20–24. 25–29. 30–34. 35–39. 40–44. 45–49. 50–54. 55–59. 60–64. 65–69. 70 and over Unknown	0 10 26 30 21 4 4 3 0 2 1 1 1 0 2	.0 9.6 25.0 28.8 20.2 3.8 3.8 2.9 .0 1.9 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.9
Race/ethnic group	102 27 28 44 3	100.0 26.5 27.5 43.1 2.9
Age	102 10 56 25 11	100.0 9.8 54.9 24.5 10.8

Notes: The age group distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes unknown data.

Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

TABLE 55 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1982 Contributing Circumstance

Contributing circumstance	Number	Percent
ΤΟΤΑL	71	100.0
Felon attacked peace officer	16	22.5
Felon attacked citizen	3	4.2
Felon attempted flight from crime	2	2.8
Felon killed in commission of crime	34	47.9
Felon resisted arrest	11	15.5
Unknown	5	7.0
Felon attacked peace officer	16	24.2
Felon killed in commission of crime	34	51.5
Felon resisted arrest	11	16.7
All other	5	7.6

Notes: The distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes 5 victims for whom the contributing circumstance of the homicide was unknown.

Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

TABLE 57 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982 Location of Homicide

Location of homicide	Number	Percent
TOTAL	104	100.0
Victim's residence	14	13.5
Citizen's residence	32	30.8
Other residence	3	2.9
Hotel, motel	2	1.9
Liquor business ^a	8	7.7
Other business	15	14.4
Parking lot	7	6.7
Street, sidewalk	14	13.5
Park, school, field	0	.0
In vehicle	2	1.9
Other	2	1.9
Unknown	5	4.8
Citizen's residence	32	32.3
Street, sidewalk	14	14.1
Liquor or other business	23	23.2
All other	30	30.3

^aLiquor stores, bars, and cocktall lounges.


Notes: The distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes 5 victims for whom the location of the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

TABLE 58 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982 Type of Weapon

Type of weapon	Number	Percent
TOTAL	104	100.0
Handgun	74	71.2
Rifle	7	6.7
Shotgun	9	8.7
Firearm – unknown	7	6.7
Knife	7	6.7
Other	0	.0

TABLE 59 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1982 Contributing Circumstance

Number	Percent
104	100.0
7	6.7
0	.0
97	93.3
0	.0
	104 7 0 97



survey HOIKIDE Linda Trathen, Research Analyst Address to: **Bureau of Criminal Statistics** P. O. Box 13427 Sacramento, CA 95813 Phone: (916) 739-5576 This publication is prepared for the use of individuals and agencies with an interest in the crime of homicide. It is hoped that the content of this publication will serve the needs of those persons concerned with this area of crime. In an effort to better meet those needs, your remarks are invited. Should you have any suggestions or comments, whether they be on the manner of presentation or they be to request additional sets of data, please identify on the space provided below. NAME AGENCY ADDRESS Cut on Dotted Line UNION LABO 77574-552 8-83 21 OBP