

4-18-1977

## Caveat, April 18, 1977

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caveat>

 Part of the [Legal Education Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

"Caveat, April 18, 1977" (1977). *Caveat*. Paper 104.  
<http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caveat/104>

This Newsletter or Magazine is brought to you for free and open access by the Other Law School Publications at GGU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Caveat by an authorized administrator of GGU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [jfischer@ggu.edu](mailto:jfischer@ggu.edu).



# CAVEAT

APR 19 1977

GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY

Vol XII, No. 26

Golden Gate University School of Law

April 18, 1977

## GGU GETS RED CARPET TREATMENTS

By Action Reporter, Ace Editor  
& General Sh\_\_ Raiser

A more endearing affair has not graced our beloved Mission Street in many a day. Only bouquets of red roses could have added to the splendor of Golden Gate University's official Groundbreaking Ceremony, which took place on Thursday, April 14, 1977, at 10 A.M. Even the weather was cooperative: the spring sun peered around the Financial District's contribution to the Frank Lloyd Wright Hall of Fame, warming the gathering crowd. The security guard was re-

lieved of duty, the red carpet leading to the foundation of our dreams was veiled to the public, and the ceremony began.

One by one the dignified trustee men of GGU, tailored in their Sunday best, paraded down the red carpet, plodded through the dirt, and seated themselves on the stage. They were immediately presented with shiny chrome hard hats, the wearing of which, would have brought tears to the eyes of any construction worker. As printed programs were distributed, John Teitscheid, looking as happy as

a little kid in a toy store, lured the crowd down the red carpet and guided them into the neatly arranged folding chairs. Paul Speegle, the spry (I had to use that word one more time this year) and candid vice chairperson of the Board of Trustees was the Master of Ceremonies.

As the crowd stood during the benediction, I was positive that I felt the ground shake, but it was probably an imagined sensation due to the amount of time I spent in the "old" building during the demolition days.

(SEE PAGE SIX)

### IMPORTANT INFO. FROM THE REGISTRAR.

1. Students intending to graduate in May should indicate "Graduating Senior" in big, bold letters on the covers of any Spring exams or papers. Instructors will be asked to turn these grades in early so that all senior grades will be compiled prior to graduation, May 29.

2. To all students: If you have forgotten your examination number, check with the staff in the Dean's office. This number should be used as identification on all Spring exams and papers.

#### POSTING OF EXAM GRADES:

Exam grades will be posted to the bulletin board immediately after they have been approved by the Committee on Academic Standards. Grades will not be given out to students over the telephone. If you are unable to come to school to look at the bulletin boards, you may leave post-cards with the Registrar. The post-cards should be preaddressed and include the course title and your exam number. The cards will be sent out on the same day that the grades are posted to the bulletin board. NO INFORMATION CONCERNING SPECIFIC GRADES WILL BE GIVEN OUT OVER THE TELEPHONE.

#### NEW GRADING OPTION:

The faculty will assign a letter grade to all papers and exams except for those courses designated as Credit/No Credit prior to registration (Writing and Research, Professional Responsibility).

Students will have one week from the date the last set of Spring grades are posted to the bulletin board in which to elect to receive Credit/No credit rather than the grade submitted by the instructor. The option does not apply to either required courses or Bar courses, all of which must be taken for a letter grade. "Credit" is equivalent to a grade of C or above. Election of this option is irrevocable.

See the registrar if you wish to use this option or if you have any questions concerning its use. Watch the Dean's bulletin board for notification of the date by which you must act on this option.

#### APPLICATIONS FOR THE JULY BAR EXAMINATION

The applications have not arrived at the Law School yet. Watch the Bar Bulletin Board for notification of their arrival.

*J. Carlos Kuslow*  
X Editor *Caveat*  
1:45 A.M. 4-15-77

#### ATTENTION STUDENTS INTERESTED IN SUMMER CLINICS

Students seeking to enroll in the summer General Clinic must obtain their own placements which must be of a legal nature, i.e., working on duties normally performed by a lawyer, (Ed - this could be "normally performed by a lawyer"), such as research, investigation, and legal writing. Each student seeking to register must obtain from the Faculty Center a questionnaire which is to be filled out by the supervising attorney and returned to Professor Cohen before registration and an application form which must be submitted NO LATER THAN MAY 15. There will be NO exceptions under any circumstances to the deadline.

In order to assist students in finding their own placements, evaluation forms regarding previous student placements are available for students to choose attorneys to contact.

7½ hours of work per week for 10 wks are required for 1 clinic credit.

Mort Cohen will hold a meeting for persons who will take Summer Clinic. Meet at either 3 PM or 6 PM, Wednesday, April 20, in Room 205. THIS IS A CRUCIAL MEETING FOR STUDENTS WHO WILL PARTICIPATE IN THE SUMMER CLINIC.

# GUEST EDITORIAL

## LETTERS TO THE EDITORS:

Dear Editors: (Especially Cindy and Diana)

It was very refreshing to see you respond openly and candidly to criticism. Your point was well taken that suggestions and/or assistance in remedying an inadequate situation is much more beneficial than aimless griping. Rest assured that your efforts this past year have been appreciated by many.

Joey Logsdon

(Eds - Thanks, Joey. We'll pay ya later.)

To the Editors:

In the three years that I've been using the library I have never witnessed such amazing displays of discourtesy as I have witnessed this past semester. I have two specific complaints:

1) The number of books which people leave on tables with 'please save' notes is outrageous. At one spot alone I saw 27 books, all of which had been untouched for two days. Not only does this make research difficult, but it consumes needed space. While it is sometimes necessary to retain books overnight, it shows poor research techniques to find it necessary to keep books out for days. If it is necessary to retain books for that long, perhaps some system of reserving books can be worked out with the library.

2) It is incomprehensible to me that people can carry on prolonged conversations with impunity while others are trying to do research or study at the same table. I don't object to the brief "Hi, How are you" kinds of conversation. But when the conversation extends for 5 or 10 minutes it would be thoughtful of the talkers to go into the stacks or outside where people won't be bothered. While it is true that the person bothered can also move, the burden of moving should be on the people disrupting the normal functioning of the library (ED. Rita is certainly a prime candidate for the Supreme Court).

With the impending gloom of exams approaching, it behooves those guilty of the aforementioned inconsiderate behavior to behave as befitting the future practitioners of that genteel profession, law. If they choose not to behave with propriety, do not be surprised if students

(SEE PAGE THREE)

By now, many students have registered their displeasure over Golden Gate University's decision not to rehire Ken Hausman. Though I am only one of many to complain about this decision, I personally found it more distasteful as a Third World student. This decision which is being taken in the name of Affirmative Action at Golden Gate University is one of the most blatant examples of bad faith Affirmative Action I have seen. Having worked in the area of employment discrimination for many years, I have learned that it is a common practice among companies charged with employment discrimination to implement an Affirmation Action plan in such a manner as to create more dissention among the majority and minority groups, and then say "We did it for Affirmative Action".

The long standing problem of not hiring Third World persons for Golden Gate's Law School faculty, though a common problem among law schools, reminds me of a theory of employment discrimination entitled "Present Effects of Past Discrimination". Basically, this theory says that discrimination exists where the present policies, while neutral on their face, have the effect of perpetuating past discrimination. The past and the continuing discrimination here is the total exclusion of minority persons from faculty positions at Golden Gate. The neutral policy seems to be Golden Gate's tenurial system (note the medieval ring to the word tenurial). The tenurial policy though neutral on its face has the adverse effect of perpetuating the past discrimination by protecting the existing white faculty --- especially those faculty members who in my opinion represent tenured deadwood. Accordingly, good faith Affirmative Action at Golden Gate is the elimination or limitation of the tenurial policy and not the exclusion of Ken Hausman. When one considers the high caliber of intelligence and teaching as well as the minority sensitivity that Ken Hausman brings to Golden Gate, the superficiality of Golden Gate's gesture at Affirmative Action becomes apparent.

When I first started at Golden Gate, I was impressed by its open atmosphere and the cooperation between students and faculty. I was also impressed by the fact that many, though not all, of the professors and instructors encouraged and assisted students, regardless of the students' racial/ethnic background. However, I find that Golden Gate's response to Affirmative Action threatens to destroy this open atmosphere which has been severely compromised already by other factors, some of which include growing pains. I do not support GGU's decision not to rehire Ken Hausman because of his race and sex, nor do I believe that the University is fostering Affirmative Action in the long run by taking this stand. This decision is a product of "white" faculty.

Elizabeth Ilivarez

## A REAL HUMAN INTEREST STORY

Can a woman raised and schooled in Indiana, the heart of the midwest, survive in the Bay Area? Ask Marge Holmes...and she will probably crack up laughing!

Beyond the laughter, however, is a serious (well, maybe just sincere) story. Marge Holmes came to GGU four years ago as a night student and will graduate in May of this year. People come and go, but only once in a lifetime does someone like Marge come along. Although I have almost no standing from which to make this comment, I still feel safe in stating that she has been the hardest-working and most dedicated SBA President to ever represent GGU law students. While her accomplishments are too long to list (besides she is not the type of person who needs to see them in print...she knows what they are), perhaps some gratitude should be sent her way. On behalf of all, Marge, I thank you for your consistent efforts and a damn fine

job.

When asked what she plans to do after law school, Marge responded, "Pass the Bar." As a lawyer, she would like to do Title VII work and "get the damn E.R.A. passed." She feels Golden Gate is an excellent law school and highly underrated in the Bay Area and California. Her favorite class at GGU was Evidence, with Bob Calhoun, she adds emphatically.

When asked her feelings about the administration, she said, "They're basically good, although there is always room for improvement. I was especially impressed with the eagerness of Judy and Mary for student input. I think it is the faculty that needs to improve their willingness to accept student input.

As all human interest tales must end, so must this. You are a real superstar, Marge!

Cindy Duncan

(LIBRARY LETTER - FROM PAGE TWO)

start throwing some of these books cluttering up the tables at the gabbers.

Sincerely,  
Rita Whalen

P.S. I don't know too much about editing newspapers but Cindy K. Duncan is a truly outstanding basketball player and beer drinker. (I'm not sure about Diana's and Carlos' skills in those areas). Happy Birthday, C.K.D. (ED. Diana & Carlos excel in other equally impressive areas.)

Dear Idiots:

We come to you with lofty ideals and exhortations for journalistic excellence, and you respond with hopping typewriters and window-seat neuralgia. As a person who has never met Mavis Juke's mother, I qualify as a member of the "outgroup" and wish to respond to your recent editorial. In spite of your stated purpose to provide a forum for student spleen-venting, as soon as the spleen vents too close to home, a veritable flood of editorial diarrhea is unleashed. What precept of journalism led you to believe that editors should perform any function outside of editing? Obviously you people do not have much practice in editing because you print everything you receive (eg. the incomprehensible bar statistics table, a high point of obscurity). Editing of chickens, deer and cupids does not count! Valuable criticism from students should be heeded: if students want a reprinting of the catalogue (perhaps in simpler language), then you must do the Reader's Digest version! Even though a series regarding the background and "etc.", of professors has been printed already in the women's lounge in the library, the Caveat owes a duty to summarize such relevant information, regardless of its lack of taste. The same goes for employment options, an article should be presented immediately on dentistry and chiropractic.

We don't want to hear that shit about people who can't get along with each other, like SBA'ers, law reviewer's, budget people and clinic programmers. When they clean up their acts and present good programs, THEN I will step in to bitch, when it's relevant! Perhaps the Caveat should also consider publishing a TV guide, a map of San Francisco bars, and discount Giant tickets for some real relevance -- otherwise I want my money bak too! (I want it back anyway.)

Sincerely,  
Margaret Multibitch

Dear Caveat-

I notice that your April 11 listings of summer course offerings did not include Clinical Legal Studies.

Many students who plan to do law related summer work (either paid or volunteer) do not know that they can get academic credit for this by filling in the clinic form and paying tuition.

For more information see the Clinic bulletin board or go to the Clinic Office at 55 New Montgomery St.

Georgia Schwaar

To Whom It May Concern:

We are pleased by the support given us by the law school, the Third World Coalition, and the SBA. We are surprised, though, at the acrimony generated because of our request upon the SBA. As can be seen by the attached letter (ED. See below), we were responding to a directive from the Dean.

Upon reflection, we view the commotion as nothing more than a manifestation of a Bakke backlash or, more traditionally, people outraged because a few black people received some money. Whatever the reason, it's nothing new and nothing about which we intend to expend much energy.

If our legitimate request and the SBA's considerate response has caused that organization to adopt procedures to ensure fiscal responsibility, we then definitely consider the money well spent.

For those who have supported us, thanks again.

Ira Brackens Bill Taylor

(ED. The excerpt from the Dean's letter referred to above states as following: "We will have to get together to talk about the school's contribution to the expenses of the competition and conference. As with all other student organizations, I would expect the Student Bar Association to contribute, as well, and I will discuss this with the new SBA President, Richard Wright.")

(ED. Of the \$200 allotted Ira and Bill, \$119.58 was returned with a complete accounting of all expenditures. See SBA bulletin Board.)

GRADUATING STUDENTS

Those of you who would like to have a list made up of all of your names, addresses, and phone numbers (& A possible permanent contact person), contact Julie Ross (527-0173) or submit the information to Molly in the Dean's office. Please indicate if you do not wish to be on the list.

ATTENTION CURRENT CLINIC STUDENTS

In order to get credit for Spring semester, all three forms -- application, questionnaire and certification evaluation form -- must be in by April 29th. Forms are available and can be handed in at both Faculty Center East (put in box) and Faculty Center West (New Montgomery).

WALY NOTED THAT WE HADN'T DONE ANYTHING SIDEWAYS. SO: SPECIAL AUTOGRAPH ISSUE OF CAVEAT.

Editors of Caveat v. The People

1 Kangaroo Ct.,  
the Ct. of Goo-Goo, S.F.

The complaint of plaintiff editors states that the people did knowingly and willfully fail to appreciate the Editors. That the content of the criticism they did receive was shallow and poor. That they suffered long hours and worked very hard and were never appreciated for their selfless and tireless efforts.

The uncontested facts are:

- 1) the office was small & cramped,
- 2) their IBM typewriter is a menace,
- 3) Diana had to change tops on a hot day,
- 4) Cindy stayed up past her bed time working on the paper,
- 5) They had to work at least 7 hours once a week,
- 6) They were only paid \$890.00 for their efforts.

We find that the editors accepted the job knowing the hours, working conditions, and salary, that they exercised their editorial prerogative to a substantially captive audience, and enjoyed and used the other editorial privileges inherent in printing a publication.

We further find that they probably received their due appreciation and consideration and the rule of law is:

Those who toss shit must eat some shit.

Tom Pegnim

- (Ed - CD: I like some shit, but I like roses too.
- DB: What editorial privileges? Have Cindy and Carlos been keeping something secret?
- CK: Fuck the people!)

Diana C. Baker, X  
SHE, ITS BEEN REAL  
NO MORE EDITORIAL PREROGATIVE

HOWARD MOSKOWITZ LIVES!

The following article is reprinted from The New York Times (Fri., Apr. 18, 1977):

CANDIDATE WINS RIGHT TO CAMPAIGN  
IN STATION

A candidate for the City Council in Jersey City won the right yesterday to distribute campaign literature at the PATH station in Journal Square despite contentions by the Port that he would disrupt commuter traffic.

Judge Herbert J. Stern, who had convened a session of United States District Court at the station during the evening rush hour, said the Port Authority had violated Howard Moskowitz's freedom of speech. Mr. Moskowitz, 28 years old, an independent candidate in the May 10 election, had sued the Port Authority after it restricted his pamphleteering.

"No more sacred form of communication exists," said Judge Stern, who issued a preliminary injunction blocking the Port Authority's action.

(ED. Only Howard could conjure up the convening of a federal court session during rush hour in a port and bus terminal. I found the following two paragraphs from the New Jersey Record to be quite amusing.

"I went directly to the Port Authority to get a permit last Thursday. I was astounded when I was told I could not get the one I wanted," the candidate said.

He grinned and tried to discourage a suggestion that publicity from the judge's appearance was better than handing out the leaflets."

All you budding politicians, the FSC is the place to start!

NEW CAVEAT EDITORS CHOSEN

On Thursday, April 14, the SBA chose the Caveat editors for the 1977-78 school year after reading applications and personally interviewing the six candidates. Mark Derzon, David Cooper, and Ruth Edelstein were chosen.

Participating SBA members were: Richard Wright, Barbara Silverstein, Don Rosel, Fran Leonard, Mary Gerber, Cindy Duncan, Rick Clark, Alice Montgomery, Pat Coughlin, Larry Johnson. Caveat editors, Diana Baker and Carlos Kaslow, also participated, but did not vote.

## ANOTHER GUEST EDITORIAL

In The Affluent Society, John Kenneth Galbraith says of criticism: "The (person) who makes his (or her) entry by leaning against an infirm door gets an unjustified reputation for violence. Something is to be attributed to the poor state of the door."

The recent controversy concerning the election of next year's Editor of the Law Review illuminates the severe handicaps under which members of the student body operate. The dean of this school neither deals with students in good faith nor understands the meaning of basic fairness. Some thirty (30) concerned members of the staff of fifty (50), concerned with the mismanagement that had been prevalent this year, appealed to Judy McKelvey that some concern be given to the humanistic aspects of the job. Twenty-one (21) of these members were so concerned that they refused to continue unless the editor/s were elected by a majority vote of the staff. Eight (8) additional members, although not able to take that step, voiced their support for the election. This was a perfectly legitimate exercise of these members' free will and was their legitimate political prerogative. The dean realized that such an exodus would eviscerate next year's Law Review and seemingly acceded to an election process. These concerned members had confidence in the capability of all the members of the staff and believed that any member elected would be qualified. However, the dean and the two senior editors insisted that any winning candidate be "highly qualified". Acting in good faith, the concerned members acceded to a pre-screening of all candidates. This screening was to be done by a committee of eight (8), including the two senior editors and six members of the staff elected by their peers. The screening was to be based on a paper submitted by each candidate. Just before the screening process was to begin and without consulting the concerned members, the dean unilaterally decided that a candidate must receive six (6) out of the eight (8) votes to be passed by the screening committee. The clear agreement between the dean and the concerned members was that only a majority vote would be required. It should not take a mathematical genius to realize that this essentially gave the two senior editors a veto over any candidate. In fact, out of three applicants, one was eliminated because she had only five (5) votes. Coincidentally, this woman had had the audacity during the year to ask for explanations of the judgments made by the two senior editors. When confronted with this act of bad faith and duplicity by the dean, the concerned members chose not to participate in the popular election which, by the dean's own procedure, required a total vote of forty (40) members to be valid. Even though only fifteen (15) members voted in the popular election, the dean abrogated her own rules (heads I win, tails you lose) and declared the winner of the election to be next year's editor. The controversy continues with the dean continuing her arbitrary moves. The concerned members were given an election in name only. To their credit, they have gone along with this unfair system in hopes that they can effect change. I salute them and offer them my best wishes. But I am not optimistic that their hopes will be rewarded.

Consider this incident with the following past incidents:

- (1) Last year's Bernie Segal affair. No matter how one feels about Bernie -- personally, I find him a fine, dedicated, conscientious professor, sincerely dedicated to the education of his students -- it cannot be denied that he was a victim of an outrageously unfair process. It is no wonder that an arbitrator found in favor of Bernie. A capable dean would never have let that controversy out of the university. How can a person teach Constitutional Law and not recognize violations of basic due process in her own action? It is no defense that a committee voted on that tenurial question -- that power emanates from the dean. How much did it cost each student to hire the firm of Charles Garry to defend the dean in this matter?
- (2) Last year's staggered tuition controversy. Denial of equal treatment accepted by a former Constitutional Law professor? Committee power again emanated from the dean.
- (3) Student with 1.99 grade point average for four years denied graduation and sued the University. Dean loses, student gets degree and judge is so disgusted with the dean's conduct that he commented on it in open court. How does that affect the precious prestige of the school that the dean invokes when she defends one of her arbitrary actions?

(SEE PAGE FIVE)

(GUEST EDITORIAL - FROM PAGE FOUR)

(4) There are no rules or procedures or requirements for the granting of tenure. This means that a professor's tenure depends on his or her personality at the water cooler. How can we hope to attract good professors with such an unfair procedure? If the dean really wants to increase the stature of the school, why doesn't she insist on visible guidelines for granting tenure? How can the fine untenured professors on the staff be effective with such an arbitrary process hanging over their head?

(5) The abominable way in which students are considered for financial aid and the way that the Law School administration treats the Financial Aid office at arms length.

These are just the observations of one average student. My conclusions are that this dean is satisfied so long as their is some procedure, whether or not that procedure is fair or effective. Student ideas and opinions will not be dealt with in an open and evenhanded way -- they will be skirted and avoided and you will waste your time in thinking that you will get a fair hearing from the dean. She only deals with crises and only responds to the exercise of power. Your strategy should be: present your problems because she is your "administrative remedy", when you realize that she is ignoring or delaying you, use your political power if you have any, if not -- sue her and if you have no cause of action, take your losses. Political power can be expressed in many ways. Some student bodies have successfully withheld their tuition until their legitimate desires are met. This is a sorry state of affairs to have to discuss such drastic tactics. I find it particularly distasteful when I feel that we have an exceptionally fine group of professors who are sincerely dedicated to our education. However, this school will continue to be in trouble until we have a dean who plans, anticipates future problems, and deals with problems in a fair and evenhanded manner.

R. Wayne Patterson

(Ed - Unfortunately, this rather "heavy" letter arrived for the last issue of Caveat. It was the feeling of all the editors that it would be totally unfair not to allow Dean Judy (and whomever else feels strongly about some of the above comments) to respond. As a result of this decision, we will print an issue next week if the response warrants it. In the meantime, as one of the "concerned members" referred to throughout the letter, I feel the need to clarify a couple of points. I agree totally with Wayne in the matter of our understanding that people would be screened in good faith. However, I was unaware that any system was developed for a "majority vote" or any set number prior to the "six out of eight" decision. The "forty members to be valid" jazz was suggested by the current Editor and Assistant Editor and not by Dean Judy. These corrections were submitted for the sake of accuracy. Personally, I agree that the ultimate decision was a rip-off. Diana Baker.)

CAVEAT EDITORS TRIBUTES

The best contributing tribute goes unanimously to Wally Allen, not only for his neat and informative news, but also for the tremendous effort he expends as Placement Director. He also is the recipient of the best all-around good person tribute.

Best supportive eavesdropper and most reliable quasi-advisor tribute goes to Nancy Carol Carter without a doubt.

Most anal proofreader tribute goes Roberta Klein.

Longest continuing column (the only one) tribute goes to Cruising the City.

Most dedicated staff tribute goes to Mark Derzon.

Correction for Laura Nader article - In 1st Para. word "disparity" should have been "dispute". "Fact to faceless" should have been "Face to faceless."

HIRING AND CURRICULUM COMMITTEES CHOSEN FOR THE 1977-78 YEAR

The SBA interviewed applicants for the Hiring and Curriculum committees on Tuesday, April 12 and Wednesday, April 13. Those chosen were:

HIRING: Joanne Schulman (FSC rep)  
Cynthia Ford  
Karen Hawkins

CURRICULUM: Elizabeth Price (FSC)  
Bob Norton  
Judy Massong  
Jennifer Loucks (alternate)

SBA members who participated in the interviewing were: Richard Wright, Pres.; Barbara Silverstein, V.P. - Day; Bob Marsden, V.P. - Night; Mary Gerber & Cindy Duncan, 3d Yr. Day Reps; Fran Leonard & Tom Perley, 3d Yr. N. Reps; Pat Coughlin, 2d Yr. Day Rep; Alice Montgomery & Rick Clark, 2d Yr. N. Reps.

EARLY REGISTRATION FOR FALL 1977

Registration for the Fall semester will take place during the week of April 18th.

The purpose of the registration is to gather information now in order to prepare for a smooth beginning to the Fall semester. Classes will be assigned to rooms according to the enrollment data gathered from your participation. Early book orders will be placed; thus the bookstore can be ready for your return in August.

Look over the schedule of courses and the accompanying material. Talk to the faculty or to Associate Dean Mary Minkus if you have any questions concerning the content of courses or your academic requirements. Plan your schedule and then come in to register on the day assigned to your class.

Classes will be closed for additional registrations only if additional sections cannot be added. On the other hand, courses for which there is not sufficient enrollment will be dropped from the schedule. Thus, you are required to register for the Fall during the week of April 18th. The primary purpose of the event; however, is to gather information and not to traumatize students one week before final exams. Therefore, come in to register but leave your sleeping bags at home.

Materials will be available on Monday, April 18th.

Registration calendar: Fall 1977

Time to register on all dates is 10 AM to Noon or 1:30 PM to 6:45 PM.

Date	You may register if in the Fall you will be:
April 19 (Tuesday)	3rd and 4th year Evening
April 20 (Wednesday)	2nd, 3rd, 4th year Evening.
April 21 (Thursday)	3rd year day and 2nd year Evening
April 22 (Friday)	2nd year day

F.S.C. MEETING

The next meeting of the FSC will be in Room 408 at 3 PM on Tuesday, April 19. The agenda will be: (1) The issue of whether the Director of Library Services should be placed on the faculty tenure track and (2) The election of an FSC chairperson for next year.

**RED CARPET - FROM PAGE 1**

GGU president, Dr. Otto Butz, referred to by Mr. Speegle as the "driving force" behind GGU, spoke movingly to the crowd for several minutes about the historical dynamics of continuity and change and the wisdom and skills embodied by GGU. He addressed specifically several points which he claimed most represented the spirit of GGU: 1) self-help ("We started as a YMCA...with a volunteer staff..."); 2) faith in education ("...represents the progress of our entire civilization."); 3) importance of applying education; 4) service to the community; 5) effective business management ("From the very beginning, this school has been run in a hard-headed, practical business-kind of way."); 6) useful curriculum; 7) satisfaction and meaning in life that come through dedication and hard work.

Otto also paid tribute to those "no longer with us" and expressed his hope that they "are looking down upon us now." Bob Calhoun, clever as ever, spotted the spectators looking down from the fifth floor John. Michael Golden, looking somewhat like a cross between a misplaced jack-in-the-box and a dazed guru, poked his head out from his second floor office window about this same time. Otto's most profound philosophical statement came as he was giving special thanks to the GGU students "who are spending their money here or at least their employer's." (I am sure B of A and Wells Fargo will forgive Otto's omission of their contribution.)

Midway through Otto's speech there was a minor disturbance in the crowd, but fortunately it was quickly dispelled. For some mysterious reason Roger Bernhardt's chair had sunk rather deeply into the soft dirt. However, Bob Calhoun rapidly remedied the situation by propping up Roger's chair with pieces of cement. (I found myself wondering where all the picket signs and student demonstrators were, but I could not come up with any coherent reason for such thoughts. I suppose it just seemed like the "perfect occasion," as we use to say during the good-ole undergraduate days.)

As Otto sat down, upon finishing his speech, Mr. Speegle amused the crowd by thanking Otto for "not only giving me something to think about, but making my sun tan a bit darker." George Moscone was regretfully unable to attend, but Deputy Mayor, Rudi Nothenberg, gave the main address, referring to GGU as an "integral part of the fabric of San Francisco." The trustees were quickly introduced (president, Transamerica Corporation; General Marketing Manager, Pacific Telephone; Executive vice-president, PG & E, etc.) and then the "heart stabbing moment" arrived. Otto, picked up the gold shovel, walked proudly from the platform, and broke the ground with a vigorous dig and impressive toss that brought the crowd to their feet.

The workers have returned, the danger sign has been reposted, the red carpet once again leads into the Gates of Eden, and the New Building lives.

**WHAT'S UP WITH WALLY OR NEWS FROM THE PLACEMENT SCENE**

The office remains open during the summer with the exception of June 14-17 (placement conference) and July 1-15 (vacation). We have previously received job listings in June and July for immediate employment and expect to this year, so stop by or call if interested.

Programs planned for next year include in part - 5 alum luncheons commencing with the state bar in San Diego, followed by the East Bay, San Francisco, Peninsula/South Bay and Marin/North Bay luncheons at which part-time and associate employment is pursued - a student/alum program whereby students seeking information about specific areas of law will be matched individually with an alum who can convey what his/her practice is all about; - a Law Careers Colloquia of 20 or so programs covering such areas as small firm practice, public interest law, federal and state government agencies, alternative opportunities, etc. at which the panelists will discuss what their practice entails, impart ideas concerning preparation for practice while in school, and outline employment strategies and opportunities after graduation.

I have appreciated your cooperation and support throughout the year, wish the graduates the very best in their future employment and look forward to working with you next year.

Wally

**THE ROYAL RUN-AROUND - By D.C. BAKER**

The financial aid deadline rolled around and I was late, as usual. I dutifully filled out my GGU Financial Aid Application (to be notarized), Student's Affidavit of Financial Non-Support (to be notarized) and copied my federal income tax return (signed under penalty of perjury). Then I turned to F.A.F. (To the best of my recollection, this stands for "Financial Aid Form" or something like that.)

This is the third year I've gone through this routine so I did not expect F.A.F. to pose any major problems. I was wrong.

I noticed that the form had been "redesigned". No big deal. They change the form every year. I assume this is to keep the form-making people happy, justify charging people money for applying for money, and generally keep us on our toes. (Just because you have essentially the same income and expenses from year to year, why should you avoid the trauma of filling out new forms? You have to start from scratch balancing all those figures so that your expenses did not exceed your income for last year but definitely will exceed your income for the coming year. This is no mean trick. It takes a great deal of figuring and creative thinking.)

Now, last year's form was a gem. It requested income and expenses in segments of calendar year 1975, Summer 1976 (3 months) and Estimated Academic Year 1976-77 (9 months). I typed on the application "This section on expenses is ridiculous. ... What about Jan thru May 1976?" Not only did you have to balance all the figures for a calendar year and an academic year, you had to eliminate three months! This was a real challenge. I know I met the test because I received aid for this year. So what could they do that would make the form any more unintelligible than last year?

(see page eight)

(from page eight)

government doesn't require it, why does GGU? I've got better things to do with \$4.00 (like buy a couple of six-packs).

If the reason for the requirement or "suggestion" to use these firms is consistency, why are the schools then allowed to add or subtract from the standardized needs analysis? And how can you get a standardized analysis with such discretionary words as "extraordinary"? Presumably, people bright enough to list every extraordinary item they can think of will have a better chance for the bread.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND THIS!

(P.S. - I sent in the \$4.00. I need the aid.)

"Good Bye Cruel World,  
5'm off to join the Circus."  
Wally, CR DUNCAN, X-Cover Editor

# PARTING IS SUGA SWEET SORROW

In 1968, Golden Gate was blessed with the appearance of Pat Ostini, current Director of Admissions. At that time she worked for the Dean of Admissions. She left for awhile to have a baby, but came back in 1971 and was eventually hired as the Dean of Admissions.

Those of you who met Pat during the tortuous days of applying to law schools most likely found her to be unique - friendly, approachable, realistic but encouraging, and down-right down to earth. Those of us who have gotten to know Pat during our law school days have found her to be as equally impressive. She is indeed a beautiful person. No one at this school deserves more credit for the diverse student body at GGU, for the number of women; for the number of older persons; and for the efforts made to admit Third World persons. Pat is articulate. She is completely upfront about her political views, yet, she is one of those rare people who accepts people at face value and judges them on their own individual merits.

Pat is leaving her job in August of this year to go to law school. She says she has mixed feelings about leaving; "I've been here so long, it feels like I am leaving the nest.

But I also feel like it is time to move on to other things. I feel like I am graduating, but after six years instead of three. I feel a real sense of personal loss each year at this time when students leave. Yet, at the same time they are students I admitted and they are leaving to become lawyers while I remain. I'm excited about going to law school."

Pat has strong feelings about the admissions process at Golden Gate. She likes the way it works and she claims it is quite unusual. "At Boalt, they only send 10% of their applications to committee for consideration. Here 26% are automatically accepted and we read the other 84%. I mean we really read them too." Pat says her job is actually fun, especially given the diversity and constant change. She enjoys meeting a lot of very diverse and interesting people. One regret she has is the location of the Admissions Office. "I don't really get to know as many of the students I admit as I would like to."

When asked how she felt about the students who serve on the Admissions Committee, Pat responded: "Students are fantastic. There has never been even one who did not function wholeheartedly in the job. In fact, I

think they are probably more careful because the process is so much more close to their own experiences." She stated proudly that the Committee has never broken down along student-faculty lines, and that the students were usually pleasantly surprised to find the Committee so willing to look at nonnumerical factors.

In response to a question regarding her feelings about Bakke, Pat stated: "I hope it is reversed. There really are not any other ways to get minorities into law school without changing our economic structure and educational system. If we are going to make changes now, we must give less weight to numerical factors."

When asked the question every interviewee gets asked - what do you like best about Golden Gate, Pat responded, "The People in the Law School. Law students at Golden Gate are very special in comparison with other law schools. They are very supportive of one another."

Pat, your absence will not go unnoticed. I am sure there will be many days when someone will mutter, "I wish Pat was here." Love and best wishes to you always.

Cindy Duncan

## SUMMER SESSION - CREDIT INFORMATION

In the past, the maximum number of units a student might enroll in for the summer session was 6 or 7 units, depending upon scheduling. This has been modified as a result of our offering a day Evidence class. A part-time student, e.g., one who works full time days and goes to night school, is still limited to 6 units for summer school unless the work s/he does during the week qualifies for Clinic credit, in which case the maximum is 8 (including at least 2 clinic credits).

A full-time day student who wishes to take more than 6 class course units (or 8, including clinic) may take up to 10 units with permission of the Associate Dean. Permission will be based upon the feasibility of the student's planned schedule and a letter from the student stating that s/he is not working more than 15 hours per week. Any student, so opting, will be counseled re residency requirements and will still need two summer sessions in order to accelerate graduation.

## ASPIRING WRITING AND RESEARCH TUTORS

Application for the position of Writing and Research Tutors will be available in the Law School Faculty Center after Thursday, April 19th. Applicants should be available for an interview in May. Early interviews will be held for applicants planning to be out of the San Francisco area during the summer. Contact Nancy Carter at the Law Library to schedule an interview time on the afternoon of Wednesday, April 27th. Final selections will be made as soon as possible after Spring grades are available. Teaching duties begin during Orientation Week, August 8-12.

Tutors instruct one section (20-25 first-year students) of Writing and Research throughout the year, meeting classes regularly and grading writing assignments. A salary of \$650 per

semester is paid. In addition, tutors may earn a maximum of two units of academic credit against the total required for graduation.

Criteria for tutor selection includes:

- (1) teaching experience and/or potential,
- (2) writing and research experience, and
- (3) academic performance in law school.

## COMING EVENT .....

The Women's Association will show the film "Like a Rose" on Tuesday, April 19 at 12:15 PM in Room 205 and 5:30 PM in Room 207. Everyone is welcome for an end-of-semester celebration!!

## GRADUATING SENIORS

The \$17.50 graduation fee should be given to Molly in the Dean's office and it should be paid on or before May 1st. Please do not forget!

## RESIDENTS OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY

See Robert E. Dauber Memorial Scholarship information on the bulletin board.

EXTENDED LAW LIBRARY HOURS FOR FINALS

Beginning Saturday, April 23, the Law Library will extend weekend hours to give students more library study hours before and during exams. The library will be open on four weekends from 10 AM until 10 PM. Regular 8 AM to 11 PM hours will be maintained on week days until exams are over. Complete schedules of library hours through May 30th are posted both in the Law Library and in the Law School classroom area.

During the past year many students have asked that weekend hours be permanently expanded. A petition was recently submitted asking that weekend hours be the same as weekday

hours. There are two reasons that this has not been done. One is that use studies have shown that few students actually use the library during the early and late times when hours are extended on the weekend. The second reason is that the library has not been able to afford the cost of student employee wages for extended weekend hours. Next year there will be more money available for longer hours and weekend hours will be experimentally expanded. If library use justifies the cost, there will be a permanent lengthening of weekend hours.

Nancy Carter

(from page six)

Fear not, the form-makers must have thought long and hard about how to outdo themselves. And they succeeded!

This year they have a section entitled "Student (and Spouse's) Income and Expenses". Seems fairly straight-forward. Sucker! Under this section you will find a series of questions regarding income. But nowhere -- I mean nowhere -- do you find any questions regarding expenses. (To be technically correct, there were a series of questions about loss on farm property, etc. and a nifty question about casualty and theft losses. The question actually wasn't that nifty but the directions were great! This space was supplied for us to list our uninsured losses of property in fires, storms, car accidents and such common occurrences as ship-wrecks. I must admit that, off-hand, I cannot think of one passenger ship-wreck last year. I assume they're not interested in oil-tankers. I mean, really, how many oil magnets are interested in applying for financial aid?

While searching high and low for a spot to put such unusual cost items as food, rent, clothing, transportation, etc., I ran across question (or instruction) number 71. This does not fall under any particular heading so there is no clue as to its meaning. It read (and probably still reads) as follows: "Use this space to explain all circled items and any unusual circumstances. Give particular attention to expenses for housing, food, transportation, child care, taxes, etc., which you consider extraordinary. If

more space is needed, attach additional sheet of paper."

Well, this was a stopper. How can they figure my financial need when they don't know my expenses? Why am I paying \$4.00 to F.A.F. when GGU has far more information than they do? And last but not least, how can I "give particular attention" to my extraordinary expenses when I don't even know what they consider ordinary?

Being in no hurry to part with \$4.00, I posed these very questions to the two people in financial aid. In answer to "Why do I have to complete this form?" I was told "Well, the first reason is that you have to complete the form in order to get financial aid." This I might add was also the second reason, the third reason, etc. I explained that this response was simply not good enough and I was not going to stop with them. I mentioned going to Paul Jain, Dean Judy and I may have mumbled something about the Supreme Court. Both people were real nice so I just laid it out. "If you don't know why I have to do this, just say so." They both said that they really didn't know. Fine. Off I went to see Paul Jain. He wasn't around and his secretary did not know where he was or when he'd be back. I spoke with several friends about this and when I told them I was going to ask Paul Jain, to a person, they all broke into hysterical laughter.

O.K. - forget Paul Jain. I called Educational Testing Service (the people who process F.A.F.). I spoke with Mary Jacobsen, a very nice responsive lady. She explained that F.A.F. did not need my expenses because GGU already sent them a schedule of estimated expenses based upon single, married, etc. I asked her about cryptic #71 and she suggested that what was "extraordinary" was up to the discretion of the applicant. (That is not to say the F.A.F. people will agree.) According to Ms. Jacobsen, if in doubt, it would be safest to list. When asked just what they did for \$4.00, I was advised that

SBA FINANCIAL AID COMMITTEE

The governing board of the SBA would like to generate new sources of financial aid, and make qualifying for presently available financial aid less nerve-racking and uncertain. Two weeks ago Caveat carried a request for volunteers to serve on a Financial Aid Committee. Two people volunteered. Either the problem is less acute than we imagined, or we were less than effective at publicizing the idea. The committee will begin functioning first thing next fall. The two volunteers should feel relatively confident that they will survive the rigorous selection process for positions on the committee. There are, however, a finite number of additional openings yet unfilled on the committee. Only twenty-five of the most capable people can be added to this prestigious body. All members will be excused from FSC participation.

Let's do it ourselves. Let's find new loan programs. Let's find new scholarship programs. Let's find new job opportunities. Let's start a fund for loans or grants to students who are pressed to the wall.

Additionally, let me say that beer will be served free at the monthly meetings of this committee as long our anonymous donor's funds hold out.

Call Rick Clark at 398-2553.

(Ed - What a wonderful writing style! Where have you been?)

they take the expenses submitted by the school less the "computed income" and the rest is need. The school, however, may consider other things to either raise or lower the suggested need amount. "Why doesn't the school do that?", I queried. The reason for this is that the govt. wants some consistency in award criteria. ETS and American College Testing both do this kind of need analysis. (This way, a school won't be giving away tons of bucks because their requirements are liberal, etc.) I couldn't get a definitive answer as to whether the government says you must go through one of these services or if it's suggested. On the face, this explanation may appear reasonable but, when you think about it, it's a crock.

If the govt. requires this, why don't they do it as part of the loan process with the banks? Why put all this money into the hands of tw - panies? It's a rip-off. If the

(see page six)