

2-9-1976

Caveat, February 9, 1976

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caveat>

 Part of the [Legal Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

"Caveat, February 9, 1976" (1976). *Caveat*. Paper 63.
<http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caveat/63>

This Newsletter or Magazine is brought to you for free and open access by the Other Law School Publications at GGU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Caveat by an authorized administrator of GGU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jfischer@ggu.edu.

CAVEAT

Vol. XI No. 17

GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

FEBRUARY 9, 1976

ADMISSIONS COMMITTEE

Students interested in serving as voting members of the Admissions Committee should contact the admissions office immediately. Interviews for student positions will begin this week.

SBA Elections to Be Held Feb, 18-19
CANDIDATE STATEMENT DEADLINES: IN BY FEB. 11

All students running for an SBA office must have their statements into CAVEAT by Wednesday, February 11 at Noon. Please submit to the Caveat mailbox in the Faculty Center. Keep the length reasonable: no more than one 8½ x 11 typed page, double-spaced.

CEB TAPES AVAILABLE IN LAW LIBRARY

The Law Library has a large collection of California Education of the Bar (CEB) audiotapes for student use. These tapes cover a wide variety of California law and are primarily concerned with the practice of specialized areas of the law. Many are recordings of panel discussions which were presented at various CEB meetings and programs.

The tapes are all listed by title in a separate drawer of the card catalog. The Law Library has a tape player and listening area in the Reserve Section. Since the tapes are very expensive, they must be used in the library and cannot be checked out.

The tapes range in length from one to four hours and they may be used in the library on week-days from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. Anyone wishing to use the tapes may ask for them at the Circulation desk.

Joyce Harmon
Reference Librarian

NLG TO PRESENT FILM: THURSDAY FEB. 12

On Thursday, February 12th, the GGU Chapter of the National Lawyers Guild will present a film on the history of the organization. The film, to be shown at 12:00 in Room 205, was put together by Paul Harris, an attorney with the San Francisco Community Law Office. It details the development of the Guild from its founding in the 1930's as a legal group concerned with supporting and extending the New Deal, through the relentless prosecution faced by the organization during the McCarthy era and culminates in the Guild's involvement in the political and legal struggles of the 1960's and 70's. There will also be a brief discussion on the Guild as it exists now, both locally and nationally, and a presentation of work being done by Guild folk here at GGU in which people can participate. This film represents a good opportunity for people to see some of the legal and political history made by the Guild as it happened.

PHI ALPHA DELTA

Spring initiation of new PAD members will be held in Room 209 on Friday, February 13, at 3:00 p.m.

WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION MEETING

Night students especially welcome. Tuesday, February 10, at 2:45 p.m. in room 205, (there will be a break from 3:30 to 3:50, then we will continue in Room 203). Please leave agenda items in the Women's Association box in the faculty center, addressed to Joey Logsdon.

TUITION INCREASE

On Friday, January 30th, a group of interested students met with President Butz, Dean McKelvey, and Business Manager Hohn Teitscheid re the proposed tuition increase and the reasons that support the increase. Those university officials admit a substantial portion of the increase is earmarked for enhancement of the law school. Specifically of the 21% approximate overall budgetary increase perhaps as much as 50% is designated for: Retention of ABA accreditation, acquisition of AALS accreditation, and necessary qualification thereof. This means that tuition dollars are being expended for future accreditation and facilities for which current students will not benefit.

A meeting has been scheduled between the Business Manager, John Teitscheid, and student representatives to glean information on just exactly where tuition dollars go. When the student representatives feel they are adequately informed that information will be disseminated to all students.

It is hoped that after all the facts are known students will formulate proposals for negotiations with the administration. At least three issues should be kept in mind: An increase in financial aid proportionate

to the tuition increase, assurances of receiving benefits from the subsidy, a satisfactory resolution of the split-fee controversy.

George McLemore

LAW REVIEW

As one GGU law student, I am extremely offended and embarrassed by the sexist language used in the title (Tarasoff v. Regents of the University of California: Psychotherapists, Policemen and the Duty to Warn - An Unreasonable Extension of the Common Law?) of an article being published in the comments section of the Winter 1975 Law Review. First of all, the Tarasoff opinion clearly applies to all police officers-policemen and policewomen. Secondly, the Law Review is widely circulated and a reflection of the law school.

I spoke to Bob Kaplan, the author, and Dick Harmon, Law Review Editor, and voiced my concerns. I was told that this was an inadvertant mistake, that Law Review was committed to a policy of using non-sexist language, and that unfortunately, it was probably too late to change the title. I have since heard indirectly that the title has been published as is.

I feel it is safe to presume that had the inadvertant mistake involved a racial slur, the presses would have halted immediately. I am left with one question, if indeed, there is a commitment by Law Review to using non-sexist language, where is it?

Cindy Duncan

Supreme Court Justice Rehnquist is being considered to introduce the Spring 1976 GGU Law Review. The Law Review is subsidized by students (next year \$21,000 of our tuition) and generally reflects our law school as a whole. We feel that Rehnquist's opinions are antithetical to the ideals and spirit of the GGU student body. We are circulating a petition to enjoin the law review from either requesting or accepting a contribution from Justice Rehnquist.

Steve McCarthy
Cindy Duncan

EVALUATIONS

About a year ago some first year students became very disturbed about the secrecy surrounding the procedures of the Evaluations Committee. I introduced a resolution to the

SBA asking that the results of the evaluation solicited by the Committee and completed by students be made public, or, in the alternative, that the SBA conduct its own faculty evaluations and publish its results.

The Evaluations Committee refused to make its results known in any form or manner whatsoever. A new set of evaluations was then sponsored by the SBA. The forms were much more detailed than those used by the Committee. The statistical results of the SBA evaluations were then published in the Caveat.

The basis of the SBA evaluation forms were forms used by other Bay Area law schools. These schools regularly conduct faculty evaluations and publish their results. The reason consistently given by GGU's Evaluation Committee for their refusal to publish any results is that secrecy is imperative if they are to freely exercise their discretionary powers. This attitude on the part of the Committee leaves us with no idea of standards used in evaluating faculty performance.

It's time to "open up" the Evaluations Committee to the scrutiny of those of us who are effected by their decisions. The delicacy of people's feelings is no better served by the Committee's jealous guarding of information provided to it by students than it is by student campaigns to retain valuable professors. The evaluation results obviously affect us equally as much as they affect the faculty being evaluated. We must set standards for use of the evaluations. We must improve the evaluation forms themselves. We must inform the students of the results of their "input". We must publish the results of the evaluations.

Karen Kadushin

Views expressed in CAVEAT do not necessarily reflect the views of the law school or university. Submit all materials by Thursday noon to be published in the following week's edition.

Editor: Dianne L. Niethamer