### Golden Gate University School of Law GGU Law Digital Commons

California Senate California Documents

2-3-1984

## Telephone Divestiture: identifying Consumer Concerns

Senate Committee on Energy and Public Utilities

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caldocs\_senate

Part of the Legislation Commons

#### Recommended Citation

Senate Committee on Energy and Public Utilities, "Telephone Divestiture: identifying Consumer Concerns" (1984). *California Senate*. Paper 43.

http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/caldocs\_senate/43

This Hearing is brought to you for free and open access by the California Documents at GGU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in California Senate by an authorized administrator of GGU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jfischer@ggu.edu.

#### SUBJECT HEARING

#### CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE

#### SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND PUBLIC UTILITIES

# TELEPHONE DIVESTITURE: IDENTIFYING CONSUMER CONCERNS



MUSEUM OF SCIENCE AND INDUSTRY
HALL OF ECONOMICS AND SCIENCE, SEMINAR ROOM
700 STATE DRIVE
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1984 9:30 A.M.

PUBLIC HEARING
LSOO CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE
SENATE COMMITTEE ON
1984 ENERGY AND PUBLIC UTILITIES
In the matter of:
TELEPHONE DIVESTITURE: IDENTIFYING ) CONSUMER CONCERNS. )
MUSEUM OF SCIENCE AND INDUSTRY HALL OF ECONOMICS AND SCIENCE, SEMINAR ROOM
700 STATE DRIVE LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1984
9:40 A.M.
ORIGNAL
EMILY L. JONES Shorthand Reporter
LAW HDDAR
LAW LIBRARY
GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY

#### 

(James)

--000--

Welcome everyone here today to the first hearing that the State Legislature will hold on telephone issues since the federal regulatory and court decision set the nation on a new course of communications. After one month, it's probably a little bit early to determine the full ramifications of this breakup, but as Chairman of the Senate Committee with jurisdiction over telephone issues, I believe it certainly is not too soon to explore what problems may be developing that could hinder the relationship between Californians and their telephones.

Before I call the first set of witnesses, I want to say what I hope this hearing will not be. We're not here to discuss the ramifications of the future broad telecommunications policy or the merits or demerits of telephone divestiture and deregulation. Those are topics for another place and time. We are here to see how the average consumer is adjusting to the transition into a new telephone system and how the phone companies and the State are helping to ease that adjustment.

Judge Harold Greene, who oversaw the AT&T Divestiture case, once said, "The whole basis of antitrust law is that competition will drive prices down and will ultimately benefit the consumer. Nobody has given any good reason why that shouldn't be true, in the telephone industry at least for the future."

We are here today to see if it can be true with the telephone industry, to see if economic theory measures up to

the most important test: consumer satisfaction.

posse

We have a variety of witnesses today who may be able to shed some light on these concerns. We have representatives from State agencies, consumer groups and industry; and hopefully I'll be able to have some actual telephone consumers from the audience question industry representatives about some points we may have missed in the hearing.

As I usually like to do in hearings, instead of calling up one person at a time and then you hear a lot of duplication, I prefer panel situations in which there's not only some presentation, but perhaps some cross-fertilization.

Panel I, Department of Consumer Affairs, Tom Cecil,
Chief of the Division of Consumer Affairs; and from the Public
Utilities Commission, Mike Doyle, Assistant Commission
Representative, PUC Los Angeles office. You may begin.

MR. DOYLE: Tom asked me to lead off.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Fine.

MR. DOYLE: We'd like to thank Senator Rosenthal and the Members of the Committee for the opportunity to share the information that we have with you, and hopefully we'll leave this auditorium with some information that may prove useful to us in the regulatory work that we do.

I'm Mike Doyle, and I do represent the California

Public Utilities Commission in Southern California. We have
an office at 107 South Broadway, a regional office, with
perhaps maybe a half a dozen satellite-type offices throughout
the Southern California area. We have offices in places -world communications such as El Centro. We have Downey,

El Monte -- several other places in Southern California.

Ä

Normally the Commission in its dealings with the consumers uses the California Public Utilities Commission Consumer Affairs branch as a gage, as a finger on the pulse of the public, if you will, to find out how the public is reacting to actions on the federal level and the State level as well.

Oddly enough, the California PUC Consumer Affairs branch has not experienced any dramatic increase in any consumer inquiries at all on communications, and I attribute this to the fact that there's been such a plethora of information going around that the consumers are actually suffering from an acute case of information indigestion. They just frankly have too much — are too afraid, if you will, to even contact the PUC on inquiries as to how the divestiture or telephone communications will be handled in the future.

My job is to go out to the communities — and I want to emphasize something here. I represent the people of Southern California to the Commissions in San Francisco as well, because we are headquartered in San Francisco. My job is to go out to the communities, and I go out to the communities, such as Needles and Barstow and Poway and Borrego Springs. So, my job is to make sure the Commission's aware of what the concerns of the people are.

And basically, I gave a speech last week, or the week before, in Redlands, out by the San Bernardino area, and it seems to me that the small business community is more concerned at this juncture with what the divestiture will bring and how

it will impact them. They were very concerned in several areas that I spoke on relative to the access charges, both of the federal access charges that have been, as you know, postponed to some time in 1985.

**Same** 

They're also concerned of the possibility of an intrastate access charge which would have been assessed by the California Public Utilities Commission. The California Public Utilities Commission elected not to levy any access charge of intrastate telecommunications. So, they're very pleased with that.

But the problem they're facing right now is at the federal level. They're concerned that if the FCC does implement an access charge, that they will not be able to pass these charges on to their customers, if you will.

We function in many areas in Southern California, but one thing that really came to my mind on Wednesday in the Harold Examiner, I happened to read Senator Rosenthal's article in the Harold Examiner, and the article focused on, in my estimation, the problem of disseminating information to the public, which is specifically my job in Southern California.

I probably went to 250 to 300 town hall meetings throughout the last three or four years. My job is to make sure that the information that gets to the public is given to them in such a way that they can understand it, because quite frankly, we find the utilities in the State of California lacking in clarity as far as their informational bill stuffers are concerned.

Ž.

Pacific Bell, though, in recognition of this problem -because you had to be almost a Philadelphia lawyer to understand
some of these bill stuffers -- our Deputy Director Joseph
Bodiwitz, who is in the business of regulation, received one
from Pacific Tel&Tel a few months ago, and he couldn't
understand what they were trying to say. But we understand
now that Pacific Bell has taken the job of preparing these bill
stuffers, these informational brochures, away from the
attorneys and put them into the hands of their marketing people.

On March 1 of this year, in response to Assemblywoman Gwen Moore's directive, we are compiling right now a list of charges that all telecommunications companies will assess, and they'll be mailed to every subscriber of telecommunications services. And it's very clear; it's very basic. And the Commission is reviewing those before they go out, which I think is extremely important, because there's no sense in communicating if they don't get the message, if they don't understand what you're trying to communicate.

We're working right now with the universal telephone service bill that the Legislature recently passed.

Implementation of that bill is going to present some humongous problems to the California PUC, because quite frankly, no matter what product we come out with, we're not going to be able to please all the people in the State of California. Only God and fools may claim to perfection. My agency stands on neither side.

We are endeavoring to keep information flowing to the consumers. We are available at any time, seven days a week,

Monday nights through Sunday nights, Saturday and Sunday in the daytime, for any consumer group that needs information from our Agency. We will be there.

I don't want to take up any more of your time. I know Tom is anxious to get on with his presentation. Thank you very much.

SENATOR ROSENTHAL: Let me ask a couple of questions. You say that you are available to answer questions. Does anybody know what your phone number is?

MR. DOYLE: Our address appears on the back of every utility bill that goes out in the State: 107 South Broadway. It's on the back of the bill.

Our telephone number is 620-2570. We do not have the telephone number on the back of the bill, though. We do have the address on all bills, all utilities that are regulated by this Agency: electric, gas and telecommunications. The address 107 South Broadway does appear on the bill.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Interestingly enough, I had the same concerns that you've expressed about the information that goes out to the consumer. I suggested many months ago that they needed to get ahold of somebody who knew how to sell soap, and then you could explain to people what you were talking about.

Even the term "divestiture," I mean, it's not a term that the average person understands; or LATAs -- nobody knows what you're talking about.

MR. DOYLE: Well, my instructions from the President of the Commission and the other Commissioners are

that we're not to use terms like that --

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay.

MR. DOYLE: -- when I explain the telecommunications problems in California.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: What kind of authority does the PUC have over telephone equipment and repairs?

MR. DOYLE: On telephone equipment that has been deregulated, the option right now is for the consumer to make a decision right now as to whether or not they want to purchase their own equipment or continue in a leasing operation with the particular utility, say, General Telephone or Pacific Bell.

On the repairs, the utility has to file tariffs with covering charges, but some of the charges for repairs would indicate to me that the telecommunications industries are not that interested in going into someone's home to make a repair of the telephone. I believe it's something like \$60 an hour \$15 for every 15 minutes, which indicates to me that they're not really going after that kind of business.

My wife, unbeknownst to me, went shopping one Saturday and came home with an \$8 telephone which works perfectly well. I could get several telephones and still be under the \$60 limitation for repairs; so, I do not invision my family, at least, ever getting the telephone company to come out. I'll buy the phones.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Why are the FCC rates for rental and the sale of telephones so much higher than they were last year, when the State PUC had jurisdiction? Do you have any thoughts on that?

MR. DOYLE: I really don't know. But the FCC is controlling the rates right now, and I believe they'll be controlling them for something like two years. I'm not at all sure of that, but I think it's something like two years.

part (

But regardless of what level of rates for sale of this equipment is set by the FCC or the telecommunications companies, they're competent in that area now. And if I go out and get an \$8 phone, I'm not going to have General Telephone sell me a phone for \$29 or \$30. It doesn't make sense.

So, at least you have competition coming into play in a very positive way in this aspect, in this area of regulation, or telecommunications, I should say.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Now, one of the other concerns that I've heard expressed is the debate concerning local measured rate versus the flat rate, and which system the PUC believes would be best for the consumers in the long run.

MR. DOYLE: Well, as you know, measured rates and flat rates differ in that flat rates you get an unlimited amount of calls in a particular local calling area. Of measured service, the minute you pick up the phone, you're on the meter, so to speak. We believe that we're moving towards measured service, cost-base pricing. Whether or not we'll achieve that, I do not know. It seems that cost-base pricing is the only economically reasonable and feasible way to go.

Our concern is -- and I believe Assemblywoman Moore addressed this, too, in her UTS Bill -- how measured service increases will affect members of the rural community.

Assemblywoman Moore, if memory serves, specifically declined

to use a means, an economic-means test, as the sole criteria for getting universal telephone service, and the Commission will be addressing UTS here in Los Angeles, en banc, on March 13th and 14th, both days -- at 9:30 a.m., and on the 13th, at 7:00 p.m. We're welcoming the public to come in and share their views with the Commissioners. All five Commissioners will be there is my understanding.

pane

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: I've introduced a bill to study the subject as well; so, you'll probably be asked to comment on that at some point, or somebody from PUC.

Okay. May we hear now from Tom Cecil.

MR. CECIL: Thank you, Senator. I Appreciate, as well, the opportunity to appear before you today. I'm Tom Cecil, Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs and Chief of the Division of Consumer Services. Part of the Division consists of a Complaint Assistance Unit with offices in both Los Angeles and Sacramento, as well as a Public Information office and Legal Services office in addition to the Legal units.

As with the PUC, the Department of Consumer Affairs has not experienced any radical increase at all in terms of inquireies from consumers in general. And I think I could concur with the former opinion that people are just simply inundated and perhaps are taking Andy Griffith's suggestion of: your phone kept working on January 1st, so don't worry about it.

Frankly, I was so confused back in December that, when I waited to see if it was going to keep working, and it did,

that I haven't done anything yet.

post

I would imagine, however, that as the new billings start to come out, the number of inquiries that the Department of Consumer Affairs is going to be receiving will increase substantially. There really won't be, in terms of general consumer complaints, any radical shift in the way the Department of Consumer Affairs has handled those inquiries in the past to the new divested environment.

There are a number of things that we, at the Department of Consumer Affairs, are attempting to do to educate consumers, and specifically those parties who are responsible for fielding inquiries of consumers, meaning "complaint handlers."

On February 10th, which is a week from today, we will be having our first workshop on divestiture and the practical ramifications to the consumers. We have been encouraged to do that by a number of consumers organizations, and we're pleased that we're going to have the opportunity next Friday to bring together people from industry, the Public Utilities Commission and consumer groups, to talk about how you select your service; how you select long-distance carriers; whether you should purchase or lease a phone; and how to handle billing inquiries. If that is a successful workshop, and worthwhile, then perhaps we'll take that down to Los Angeles, San Francisco, San Diego.

As of yet, the response has been rather unimpressive to our invitation, despite sending over 400 invitations. But I think in large part that's because I think the staff members in the Legislature aren't used to R.S.V.P.ing (sic), especially when it's in their own building. So, hopefully we'll have a

good turnout.

Small

The second thing that the Department of Consumer

Affairs has done is put together some informational bulletins,
a copy -- samples of which I have with me today to leave with
you for people who do inquire about how to purchase a phone.

But, again, we have not had a great demand for those type of
publications.

Last Monday, I believe, we filed with PUC comments relative to universal telephone service; and again, we have copies of the document that Marie Shibuya-Snell has filed with the PUC relative to the types of criteria that should be examined in determining who qualifies for subsidized -- for Lifeline service. And I think it's our position that the service and criteria should be as simple and straightforward as possible. We are in favor of self-certification in terms of who legally is entitled to such service, but obviously we intend to participate in the hearings that the PUC will be having later this year.

In addition, Assembly Bill 1425, which went into effect on November 1st of last year, deals with Disclosure at point of sale by retailers of telephone equipment. What we originally thought was going to be a rather straightforward project has turned into something of a complex nightmare for us at the Department of Consumer Affairs. And I have with me today a draft of what we are referring to as a business information bulletin. And basically, what we are going to be attempting to do within the next few weeks is get out an easy-to-understand description of what AB 1425 mandates to a

retailer of phone equipment. And it's my understanding that we have worked out, at least tentatively, with the California Retailers Association to distribute it for us, once we've got the final draft completed.

Brook

An interesting thing about AB 1425, of course, is that if you're requiring disclosures by retailers if they are purchasing equipment that is substantially prepackaged at the point of manufacturer, the problem arises: what happens if the disclosures are not on the package? Do you expect the retailer to disclose by slapping a label in addition to the original packaging to comply with California law? We're not sure, but we, in this case, are intending to transmit this informational bulletin to every manufacturer across the United States. So, hopefully — and I'm sure, abundantly sure, that many manufacturers across the United States are not aware of the new law. And I can assure you from the preliminary investigation of advertising that is going on in the State of California, specifically newspaper advertising, we have yet to find any advertisement that complies completely with AB 1425.

What we will have in place within the next few weeks is a system tying into our word processing at the Department of Consumer Affairs, working in conjunction with the litigation unit to monitor the advertisement, sending out letters under the Director's signature advising the advertiser or the manufacturer or the retailer that they are out of compliance. We will also have a follow-up system in place to make clear what is necessary to achieve compliance.

I think in many instances it's simple ignorance of

the law, but it will remain to be seen whether it is deliberate or whether they will voluntarily rectify their advertising.

And that pretty much sums up what the Department is doing.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Does the Department have staff specifically assigned to answer consumer problems or questions from individuals or from the groups?

MR. CECIL: Absolutely.

Sees

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: And you've designated some people who have the answers.

MR. CECIL: We have in place, and we have had for a number of years, specific personnel within the Complaints Assistance Unit. Those people are fairly well skilled in a variety of areas, because they can handle numerous inquiries. In addition, we have attempted to bring our Public Education Office, up to speed on this issue, because they, as well, get calls.

So, the answer to your question is yes.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: What about the possibility, as we move farther into this full arena, of the Department getting somewhat involved in public service announcements in terms of the radio and television? Has there been any thought given to that approach?

MR. CECIL: Absolutely. I'm not sure when the Department initiated or reinitiated PSAs, but that is something that we do on an ongoing basis. We have had a couple of PSAs related to the divestiture, as I recall, in October and

November. And those are usually scheduled on a monthly basis. The one problem we have with PSAs is that we have no feedback as to how often they'll run. We've had inquiries from the Governor's office as to why there aren't things on the radio. And, you know, obviously it's the TV stations discretion as to whether they run them.

But, yes, it has been given some thought, and we would certainly like to see more exposure.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, it's very possible that there may be more questions raised as people start looking at their new bills, and they just got the bills for January, and we would like some comments about that when the Telephone Company is up here.

I think that takes care of --

MR. FADELLI: The workshops.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: He indicated that there would be one workshop, and depending upon the kind of response, it ought to be something on a moving vehicle on the State, so that the workshop can take place in various communities.

MR. CECIL: Yes. We would really like to have it in a number of locations simply because the rules of the game change a little bit as you move around the State.

Originally I had hoped to have Sacramento as the last, thinking by then we might be able to put on the best performance. But just because of time and delays in getting everything worked out, it turns out Sacramento is going to be first.

Donald Total And as I said, I think there is a definite need to move into the Southern California area, the Bay area, because 2 we had some specific questions --3 CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, as the Members of the 4 Committee and a number of us from Southern California, as we 5 begin to get inquiries in our District offices -- as you say, 6 there are very few at the moment, but I think that very 7 soon we'll probably be inundated with questions about the 8 bills and so many pieces of paper and what it all means. 9 At any rate, thank you very much, and I hope that 10 you'll stick around for a little while in case some questions 11 come up that you may want to refer back to. 12 MR. DOYLE: Senator, my apologies. I misspoke 13 myself on those dates for the Commission meeting on the UTS 14 bill. Those dates should be March 14th and 15th. I think I 15 said 13th and 14th. 16 CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: March 14th and 15th. 17 Very good. Thank you very much. 18 MR. CECIL: Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: I hope you'll stick around 20 for a little while. Some questions may come up that we'd like 21 to refer back to you. 22 (Mr. Cecil displays some printed material.) 23 CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Yes, we'd like to have 24 those. 25 The second Panel will be Consumer Action with Ken 26 McEldowney, and Confederation of California Consumers, Mary 27 Solow. Welcome.

28

MR. MC ELDOWNEY: We didn't prearrange this like the other Panel did.

proch

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, that's all right. Whichever, it doesn't matter.

MR. MC ELDOWNEY: I think I want to touch on a couple of things that the other people said: your question in terms of the rates on lease equipment and things like that.

What happened is that the FCC made a determination that there should be one rate across the country, and so what that meant was that in California, where our rates had been lower for leased equipment, we suffered an increase; whereas, in other parts of the country, there was a decrease.

Consumer Action is a membership organization that's based in the Bay area, but particularly on telephone issues, we have been working pretty much around the State and also across the country over the last two years. We sort of got started on it two years ago when we discovered that Pacific Telephone representatives were deceptive in terms of telling people how they had to start service. They were telling people that they had to come to the Phone Center Store to start service, which was not true. And then, if you press them and finally asked whether or not there was any way of doing it over the phone, they basically told you yes, but it would cost you twice as much. This, also, was not true.

This was sort of our introduction to telephone issues, and we've been working on it very closely ever since, -- and it's one, we think perhaps, of the major issues that's going to be facing consumers in the years

to come: 74 come and a second of the come of the come

Street,

I wanted to talk on sort of a number -- several different areas -- in no particular order today. The first thing we are becoming concerned about is the whole area of what are known as resellers, which are companies that will lease lines from the phone company and then resell time on that line to either residential or business customers; and we're particularly concerned now, as we're getting toward equal access. And there's going to be a lot of publicity in the media, both in terms of the phone company, Pacific, but also in terms of long-distance carriers. I think there's going to be a lot of confusion.

I had just received a complaint yesterday from a reseller that's evidently based down here in the Santa Ana area that had signed up a number of people at a very high initial rate and then evidently ceased doing business. Part of our concern is that, particularly in terms of resellers, they're not considered to be common carriers. And the fear we have is they sort of fall through the cracks. As far as we can tell, they're not regulated by the PUC; they're not regulated by the FCC. And there may be some sort of need for some type of legislation, even though we do realize that the resellers may not be around for that long as the rates that the phone company is allowed to charge them for the use of those lines goes up.

But we're concerned during this interim period of time that there be maybe some type of Performance Bond for resellers to make sure that when they contract with the customer, that they are required to perform as they specify, and that there's something in terms of promises and claims that they make. And we think that probably there is need for regulation or legislation that goes beyond that, that just covers other business firms. That's one area of our concern.

The second area of our concern has to do with Lifeline, which had been touched on earlier by both Tom and also the person from the PUC. We very strongly supported AB 1348, and I submitted our testimony, our comments. We have some concerns, because we think it doesn't go far enough. We have a situation now in which with Lifeline that people will be guaranteed a monthly rate not more than half of the fixed rate. But the concern that we have — the major rate, rather — the concern that we have is that people may not be able to get phones in the first place,

When making some calls, you have a situation which, if your credit is not as high as Pacific may want, you can be required to put down an \$80 deposit; the installation charge now is \$23 to turn your phone on, and the PUC staff wants to increase that to \$35. This is if you have modular equipment in your home. If you don't, it's going to cost \$50 to install.

On top of that, for the next two years, it's possible to lease a phone from AT&T, but after that there's no requirement that they continue to lease. So, there's a concern that on top of all this, we have to add the cost of a phone.

And I must disagree with the person from the PUC.

We have been getting complaints about the inexpensive phones.

They basically say that the sound quality often is very low,

that they end up breaking very easily, or they cease to work after a month or so.

We are very, very concerned, particularly about senior citizens who are on Lifeline and who find that leasing is not readily available — it's not available at all right now from Pacific — and being forced to go out and buy one of these inexpensive phones that they think is going to work, and it's not going to work, and they're going to be without phone service.

What we are advocating -- and I guess part of our questions we're not sure are covered by AB 1348 -- that there be some sort of Lifeline installation rate for people who qualify for Lifeline so that they don't have to pay that full cost which will range between 23 and \$50; and that Pacific be required to continue to lease basic equipment. Again, whether it's going to be limited just to people who are on Lifeline or broader, that we think if you're talking about Lifeline, there has to be three components: one is monthly rate; one is the cost of installation; and three is availability of leased phones.

This sort of goes into the next thing which has to do with the sort of quality of phones, as was also mentioned earlier, AB 1425, which was passed last year, which was referencing Disclosure information. Several things that we're concerned about, and I think Tom touched on one which was the thing about what happens with prepackaged phones, whether or not consumers will get that information that they need there. Another concern that we have is

mail order phones which you get from the East coast; the whole area in terms of the phones you buy from catalogs, but again, that may be outside the area. It seems that there's very possibly a number of loopholes in that law. It seems like it will probably guarantee that there's Disclosure about the 35 and \$40 phones that are being sold by Sears, that are being sold by AT&T, but probably there won't be that type of Disclosure for the inexpensive phones people buy through newspaper ads and mail order. And we're wondering whether or not that's an area that needs to have some additional information, particularly, I think, because you have a situation where people have been accustomed to the quality of the phones that they have in their home right now. And I think they assume that what will happen is the new phones that they buy, whether they cost \$10 or \$50, will have that same quality. And in fact, we have been getting complaints, as I mentioned before, that those phones are breaking down.

9

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Sort of leading into the next area, I guess one of the things I wanted to say is that we also have not gotten any sort of radical increase in the number of inquiries or complaints on the part of people. I think the part that has been touched on before is that it's just started; people are just beginning to get their new bills. We expect that the first range of problems that we receive, we've got people moving into the area or people are moving from one home to the next and are suddenly having to go through a lot more complicated procedure than they did before.

We did a phone survey in the Bay area to find out

whether Pacific Telephone was giving people the information they needed in terms of how to start service and how they get phones, and we found that with just a few exceptions that they were being given the basic information they needed in terms of how to start service; whether or not long-distance would come automatically with that; and the availability of leased or purchased phones. But I think this is an area that we're going to monitor over the months to make sure that, not only in the Bay area, but in other parts of the State, that this information is provided to people, because it seems very crucial.

Spans

In my mind, the biggest problem we're going to be facing with divestiture is equal access, and this is the procedure that's going to be going into effect starting this summer in which Pacific will have to provide for the long-distance carriers equal access to their lines. And what that means, our understanding is that very soon the long-distance carriers are going to be doing advertising, some maybe even door-to-door.

Let me step back a second. What's going to happen is across the country each of the operating companies are going to be required to have at least two offices that are equal access by the summer of this year. What that's going to mean is that the different long-distance companies are going to be competing to try to get customers to sign up as the primary long-distance carrier.

The problem that we have is our understanding is

Pacific is going to be sending out a form of a ballot in which

people will be electing which long-distance carrier they want to be their primary carrier. At the same time, the long-distance carriers are going to be soliciting those same people, asking them to sign up with them as the primary carrier, basically giving them power of attorney to negotiate with Pacific on selecting AT&T or MCI or Sprint.

pane

2

3

Á

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

One of the problems we have is we think that people are going to be very confused by this being swamped with information; plus, one of the problems we have is we don't know what's going to happen in terms of people, let's say, that sign up with Pacific. Say they want AT&T. They return a coupon that MCI sent them and also return a coupon that Sprint sent them. And what's going to happen in that kind of situation? One of the problems is that since it's going to be turning -the switch is happening in exchange areas, you can have a situation in a given city in which there will be equal access on one side of the street and not equal access on the other side of the street. People have equal access on one side of the street go across to a pay phone or from a neighbor's house to make a phone call, and not be able to use the same type of system as on the other side. But the larger problem really is one that is that Pacific has decided alone, of all the operating companies across the country, that for those two offices, let's say, with the number of offices that start 1184, that if people have not chosen a primary area at some point in time, that they will not be able to complete a long-distance phone They'll get a tape recording. That's one of the things; whether they get a tape recording or whether that's going to be

Operator intercept, what's going to happen, that's unclear. But in the other companies across the country, the decision has been made that for the people who do not choose the long-distance carrier, that they will use AT&T as a carrier of last resort, which has been authorized by Judge Greene.

T T

It appears that Pacific is not going to do that, and we're extremely concerned because you have a situation in which a large number of customers do not make a long-distance call in a given month, and you're going to have a situation in which someone has a death in the family, someone has an accident, or whatever, and tries to contact relatives or friends outside the area and will not be able to complete a long-distance call. That's an area that we're very concerned with right now, and we're going to be trying to work with Pacific on that to make sure that that's not what goes into effect.

Another area of concern that I think there's a real need for education is that the whole thing in terms of who is regulating, who do the consumers go to for complaints. It's going to be a mess, because you're going to have a situation which for intra-long-distance calls you go to the PUC; for interstate long-distance calls you go to the FCC in Washington D.C.; if you have a dispute about your bill for phones you order by mail, it's going to be the Post Office; and for other areas, it's going to be the District Attorneys at the FHE office. And this is happening really in an area in which people traditionally have always been able to go to the PUC with their complaints regardless of what the complaint was.

So, I think it's very important that there be a real educational

program that's done.

Senso

7 7

One of the real concerns we had was in terms of -- and I think it's something you touched on in your opening statement -- was in terms of the benefits of competition.

One of the problems that we're having, I think, is that it's unclear who is going to be serving other than Pacific, and who is going to be serving other than AT&T; and by this I'm talking about small businesses and I'm talking about residential customers.

We were just forced to -- our office was just forced to move, and what that meant was that we were a case study for this Committee hearing. We found and kind of contacted outside vendors for the buyer/lease equipment. The contacts that we were given by other organizations of people that they worked with are no longer with those companies. Once they learned that we only wanted three lines and four phones, we had trouble getting people to call back. I think -- and there's a similar type problem in terms of long-distance. It's very clear that on the Los Angeles/San Francisco quarter, that there's going to be a lot of competition.

Who is going to be -- how will people in Modesto, who want to call Fresno, how are they going to be benefitted by competition? I tend to think they won't. I received some indication that MCI is going to try to sign up people there, but I think that it's -- they're not going to get the benefits, and it also seems like there's going to be a similar problem in terms of leasing and purchasing of phones.

I guess the only other things I wanted to say

was that I'm very glad you're going to be holding hearings on measured versus flat service. It's a very major concern that we have. And across the country is a situation in which consumer groups have been up in arms in terms of measured service. And I think the problem is, and our position just now is, that it seems like it makes sense for there to be some type of measured service for computers, but not for voice-activated phone calls; that it seems intolerable to us that you have a situation in which every phone call is a long-distance phone call, and there's no way for anyone to maintain any sort of control over their phone bill.

Thank you very much for this opportunity.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you. You've indicated that you're in favor of regional consumer panels. Could you elaborate why you think this is a good idea?

MR. MC ELDOWNEY: What do you mean exactly when you say that?

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, as a Member of the Pacific Bell Consumer Advisory Panel --

MR. MC ELDOWNEY: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: -- I've been told that you've been outspoken on those regional consumer panels. And I just wanted to know what your thoughts are on these panels with respect to consumer telephone issues.

MR. MC ELDOWNEY: Well, I think there's a couple of things. I think I'm talking about two different things here. One is that I think it's very valuable for there

to be a mechanism whereby there can be more interaction between consumers and industry in a large number of different areas. We had been working -- we have set up in San Francisco a series of consumer-banking panels that have been dealing with sort of areas such as check-hold banking and things like that. And also in the area of telephones, I think that there's a real advantage in terms of the industry getting the direct impact, input from consumer leaders on a number of different areas. So, I think that there is real value to it in that regard.

chairman Rosenthal: All right. Let me just indicate that the Consumer Panel here is really only half of what we anticipated. There were two individuals who were going to be here, but I understand that they may be in San Francisco appearing at a PUC hearing.

MR. MC ELDOWNEY: Right.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: And that was Sylvia Siegel from TURN and Harvey Rosenfield from CalPIRG. And so we're depending upon the two of you to cover for them as well.

Mary Solow?

No.

MS. SOLOW: Thank you, Senator Rosenthal. I'm delighted to be here today to talk about the divestiture of AT&T. I'm President of the Consumer Federation of California which is a statewide federation of about 100 organizations and numerous individuals working for programs of consumer protection and education. We've long been involved with problems of telephones, going back to the initial need to have a Lifeline in the State of California.

First of all I want to publically breathe a sigh of relief now that the FCC has postponed the recent access charge decision that would have probably shifted billions of dollars in the telephone network plant costs from the long-distance

companies to the local companies to the local consumers.

See a

The Consumer Federation applauded the passage of HR 4102 in the House of Representatives which would have eliminated a \$2 monthly access charge for each residential phone-user. This access charge ordered by the FCC would have increased to \$8 monthly by 1990. A milder Senate version also would have delayed the access charge. While we believe that this may have been a campaign issue to postpone this access to saddle consumers with the extra costs of telephone service, we still believe we must work to completely cancel the access charge, and I think we're also going to have to work in California to stop any attempt to have an intrastate access charge being imposed.

At the present time, about 95 percent of Californians have telephone service. We believe that there's an urgent need to preserve universally affordable telephone service and we believe that this battle against unreasonable local rates must be fought in the hearing rooms of our PUC. And if local charges escalate, the poor, the elderly, the handicapped, the rural customer -- those on fixed incomes -- may lose basic phone services and access to emergency medical services and outside assistance, if they don't have the means of communicating their situations.

This past year we supported passage of AB 1348 by

Assemblywoman Gwen Moore to codify the PUCs regulations requiring a Lifeline of telephone service for the residential user. I just recently received notice, and it's been commented here today, that the PUC has instituted a procedure to implement this bill to determine who should qualify for universal telephone rates, how should eligibility for service be established and what should be the characteristics of a class of universal telephone service.

The same

We believe that a permanent subsidy mechanism must be created to the low-income subscriber. For the poor, California should create standards which include a simple self-certification procedure based on existing national eligibility criteria and a prohibition on the use of measured for Lifeline plans. This is something that I think is very important to get into, because I think it's going to show how we work with low-income residents.

Also, we might be able to seek some kind of mechanism to allow low-income residents to recover a portion of Lifeline cost from the universal service.

I think it also might be necessary, as Ken just outlined, to look at high-cost rural areas, to offer support to keep their costs from significantly exceeding the national average.

The Consumer Federation also would support legislation to establish meaningful citizen-participation programs. We have long favored legislation to assist citizens in fighting back against the rising cost of utilities by establishing Citizen Utility Boards.

At the present time the Consumer Federation is supporting a proposal before the PUC to establish a Pacific Tele-CUB. We are concerned that the telephone companies -- and I don't just refer to Pacific -- are seeking rate increases which contain their entire wish list of accumulated desires.

Mostly they vaguely attribute these increases to changes, such as divestiture and/or federal regulation. Much of what we're

hearing is what we have heard before.

The same

Local measured service I'd like to begin with. This is the big local rate design because it has been since the mid-seventies in most states. We oppose this policy of local measured service by distance and time, putting a pay phone in every home. I recently have been teaching some classes at University High School in the Senator's District, and my latest number of classes that I have taught have been to some of the students on telephone deregulation. And I must say that when the students found that they might not be able to talk for hours on the phone every afternoon to do their homework, they became very interested in telephone deregulation very, very fast.

We oppose any reduction in the Lifeline rate of 30 calls per month for the residential ratepayer. Proposals by both General and Pacific have tried to decrease the number of calls allowed per month, and the PUC has, I think, been very helpful in trying to say that that is not something that can be done at this time.

Also, we are against proposals to raise local pay phone charges from a dime to a quarter.

The Consumer Federation is also concerned by policies of the new telephone companies that would bypass the local network to avoid paying access charges thereby driving up local rates. Also, we need to work for state policies, I believe, that would allow the PUC more authority to protect the consumers against any tampering with the basic telephone rate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In the scramble to rewire California, a number of other issues are emerging which we believe will affect the We need to work toward equal access. At this time, consumer. AT&Ts competitors have inferior connections into local phone systems, because they have not been part of the nationwide system largely owned by AT&T. I recently heard of consumers having trouble dialing into one of the new companies only to find all circuits are full, and they have to dial again and again and again. And I understand there's equipment now that lets you automatically replace the call over and over again. And this is something the once-wonderful universal system had, and the good system that we had doesn't seem to be in operation any more for a lot of people. Now it takes 23 digits to dial a number, I understand, over MCI, and only 10 or 11 digits to use AT&T long-distance. I think this is something we're going to have to follow very closely and make sure that equal access does come about on schedule.

In addition, competitors can only serve those customers with touch-tone type phones, not rotary-dial phones, because their computers are activated by the tones.

Now consumers have the choice of whether to rent a

telephone instrument or to purchase one. While there is a FCC registration number on every phone sold guaranteeing that the phone will be compatible with the phone system, renegade phones could cause electrical hazards, and the consumer could be liable for such damage.

gound

Already the calls are coming in from consumers who have bought nationally advertized cheap phones, phones that don't work, phones that are completely incapable of doing what they want. Cordless phones are giving many problems, and there are many that believe they have been oversold in the community and the system can't incorporate cordless phones at this point. Consumers are reporting they sent off for equipment which doesn't work, which isn't compatible, and which, in the case of cordless, is noisy and uneven. Some phones have a lower ringer-equivalency number that expresses the amount of power required for ringing. In some cases, after four or five rings, the instruments could go silent for all the phones on that network.

If the purchase phone breaks down, have we made any preparations for what will take place? Now consumers no longer will be able to take their broken phones to Ma Bell for repair. If the phone doesn't work, is it the fault of Ma Bell, who might own the instrument, or the local company which controls the line?

I read an article recently in the New York Times describing how divestiture seemed to be that each part of the phone system would disclaim any responsibility for a line that didn't work and refer the customer from one company to the

other. Who was responsible for the repair could be a very costly decision, and at this time I would think that it would be advisable to advise consumers that they should have an extra phone, maybe one of the \$8 phones they mentioned, as a backup, to make sure that it's not the phone that's not working accurately.

Second Second

Very little has been said about the durability of the new phones which seem to have about a six-year life span for some of the quality models. I'm not even talking about the cheap phones. Compared to the phones of yesteryear, with the life of 30 to 40 years, the new phones just aren't as durable.

Finally, I'd like to express my concern about some of the advertising I've heard from Pacific: "Relax," "Keep cool," "Let Pacific do it." I've already received some complaints from consumers calling Pacific and getting the run-around when asked about questions about long-distance service, about whether to buy or rent a phone. And I think this is something -- even the PUC sent out a press release which I received last fall urging consumers in Pacific Telephone's area to buy their instruments because it would be cheaper. If you relax and keep cool, you're going to be saddled with a much higher phone if you decide to do that. And I think that some of the advertising has not really been too help the consumer.

Many of the problems, I think, of divestiture haven't shown up yet. They're going to show up when someone's line stops working and the consumer finds himself or herself saddled with very high repair costs, not knowing who repairs

the line, who is responsible, and not knowing whether it's the telephone or whether it's the wire that is going to be responsible.

When a new technology or a hot new industry comes down the road there can almost be too much choice. We saw this in the explosion of solar energy after we experienced our energy crisis, when there was such a disparity in the quality. But the industry must shake out, and consumers must shop, very, very carefully. Some of these problems, though, certainly make me want to reach out and punch someone. All I can say is that it's the beginning of something which we're going to have to live with, and I think that it's a time for consumers to look around very carefully and to shop around and to ask a lot of questions.

Earlier testimony was talking about how there really hadn't been much interest or excitement among consumers in this issue; however, I want to tell you I speak quite often to organizations and groups, and it always comes down to telephones: How do I get my telephone fixed? What should I do? And people, I think, can use as much information they can be given at this time, because I think there is a tremendous choice to be made about a system that usually has worked very well for us in the past, and the decisions that consumers have to make now are somewhat without precedent. And I think that any leadership that this Committee can do, that the Consumer Movement of California can do, that the telephone companies can do, will be very well-received by the people of the State of California.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Let me ask a couple of questions. Should the consumer buy their own instrument?

passil

MS. SOLOW: If you take a look at the price of the instrument and see how much it's going to cost, it can be --

If you're paying rent on a \$29 instrument in your home, you can easily pay that out within a very short period of time. The one problem that you might have is if something goes wrong with that instrument. But if the telephone company is going to charge you \$60 an hour just to come out there, it's not necessarily something which is to your advantage.

I think it would be easier to buy my own instrument and have a spare in case something goes wrong with it, and take it in to where I bought it. I think that when a consumer buys an instrument, I think that that person has to be very careful about warranties, about whether or not it's compatible, about what happens if it breaks down. If I could find someone that would fix my phone and give me a reasonable warranty, I think I would prefer to purchase a phone, under the circumstances, because the rental of the phone and the charges are going to be just tremendous.

MR. MC ELDOWNEY: One thing I wanted to add about that was we've done a bunch of counseling to particularly senior citizens on this issue, and the thing that's been very interesting to us, I think, is that for them they understand that they're going to probably be paying more in terms of

continuing to lease it, but there's a security to them in terms of knowing that they're leasing a phone, and knowing that if it breaks down, that they don't have to go out and buy one.

Sec.

So, I think, though it's sort of intellectually, for a number of people, it makes a lot of sense to a lot of people to buy their phone. I think large segments of the population, that for a bunch of emotional reasons, it makes sense for them to continue leasing. And so sometimes I'm bothered by — like when the PUC or someone says, "Buy your phone." I think what it does is it increases anxiety for people, and makes the whole divestiture seem even more of a threat to them.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: If you were to itemize the three most important decisions that a consumer would face in the next few months, as we're going through this process, what would they be?

MS. SOLOW: I think it would be selecting —
if you lived in Pacific Telephone — I happen to live in
General Telephone, Senator, so some of the problems you have
in divestiture do not apply if you lived inside another system.
And I might just say, this is a problem in itself, because
people that are in General Telephone, and the many other
telephone companies in California, are probably going through
some of the same anxieties because they don't realize where
the buck stops, where the changes stop. And many of the things
that Pacific Telephone is doing are being emulated by other
telephone companies.

Certainly if you live in Pacific Telephone, the choice

of a long-distance carrier is going to be probably one of the major things you're going to have to do, because if you don't designate a long-distance carrier, you may not have long-distance very soon.

guang

I think that rapidly escalating phone prices are going to be another problem where the consumer is going to find that the bill is going to go up double or triple or whatever.

I think that the decision about an instrument is also very important.

MR. MC ELDOWNEY: Those would be my three, too.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Long-distance, phone
prices, instruments.

MS. SOLOW: Also, I think one of the major problems that I see coming up is: What is going to happen to the high-cost rural areas of California? I live in the city, and we have a lot of competition for our telephone buck, but in the harder-to-reach areas of California, I would be very concerned that rural prices did not escalate and become unreasonable. I think that is a major -- should be a major concern of your Committee, because I think the rural users of the telephone may have some special problems as well.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you very much. I hope that you'll stick around. There may be some cross-questions.

MS. SOLOW: Thank you.

MR. MC ELDOWNEY: I have a statement here from a Gray Panther. Should I just give it to you? She was unable to stay to give it.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Yes. We'll produce that as

part of the testimony.

Sec.

Panel No. 3, AT&T, we have Earl Forshee, Communications Assistant Vice-President; Evelyn Copeland, Branch Manager of the AT&T Information Systems. Pacific Bell, we have Doug Cambern, Assistant Vice-President for External Affairs, Pacific Telesis. General Telephone, we have George Bevins, Vice-President of Customer Relations; and Sprint, Craig Welch, Director of Corporate Communications.

Anybody can start. Identify yourselves so our recorder knows who's speaking.

Why don't we -- let's start in the order that I've introduced them, perhaps go with AT&T, first.

MR. FORSHEE: Thank you very much. It is a pleasure to be able to appear before your Committee, Senator, and express AT&T Communications' viewpoint on these matters, and we hope that our comments will be helpful to you. I will restrict my comments to the subject matter contained in your invitation to the Committee as you had requested earlier in this morning's meeting. And I have some prepared comments, and you may feel free to interrupt at any point if you wish.

Certainly the public, the telecommunications and AT&T Communications have gone through major changes in recent months: the separation of local exchange companies, and the birth of a new long-distance carrier, AT&T Communications, are major events that were conceived and implemented with the public good in mind.

AT&T Communications went through tremendous internal

changes to make itself ready for this new environment.

posed

In the one-month period since divestiture, AT&T

Communications continues our tradition of providing excellent telecommunications service.

In your hearing announcement, you had established several questions, and I have some answers to those. The first question: "How successful have new billing procedures been? Have they been confusing?" To our best understanding, customer confusion seems to be minimal concerning billing arrangements. I believe I support the comments made earlier by Members of the PUC and consumer interests. The separation of the local exchange companies, though, have made it necessary for AT&T Communications to implement new procedures for the billing of its services.

Pacific Bell provides the consumer's billing service for AT&T Communications at this present time for a fee under an approved California Public Utilities Commission tariff.

This method of billing customers for AT&T Communications services has been operational since November of 1983.

Pacific Bell's customer billing package includes a separate page for the AT&T Communications portion of customer charges. The page also identifies a Pacific Bell toll-free number for billing inquiries.

Similarly, for business customers, AT&T Communications -- excuse me. I've turned an extra page. I beg your pardon.

Pacific Bell is under instruction to refer customers to AT&T Communications is appropriate. When Pacific Bell

transfers customer account records to AT&T Communications in the third quarter of 1984, AT&T Communications will answer customer questions for services it provides through our own telephone number that will be printed on the customer's bill.

Manage

As a measure of success in the current billing routine, I have a regulatory group that takes referrals from the California Public Utilities Commission, and it's my understanding through the first five weeks, approximately, of the year, we've received one residential inquiry on billing matters. And that, to me, is some measure of success in our current billing arrangement, vis-a-vis, the public's understanding.

Additionally, AT&T Communications has an Account Inquiry Center which answers questions directly from business account customers. The Account Inquiry Center has received few customer complaints. The type of questions that we are receiving today concern billing adjustments, and these are the type of customer inquiries that we have been receiving over the years, anyway, in our industry.

Question No. 2: "How successful have the toll-free numbers been to customers? How many have used the service? What seems to be the most common complaints?" For residence customers in AT&T Communications, toll-free residential assist telephone number 800-222-0300 has been operational since March of 1983. We believe that this number has been helpful to residential customers. We receive approximately 600 to 800 calls a day on this telephone number. Customers are able to obtain our number from referrals, also from the local

exchange company, from Directory Assistance, from telephone operators, and telephone directories, which have now been published with our new number.

Quad

Additionally, we have some internal studies that confirm this report. We find that in the western region, that AT&T Communications is ahead of the other AT&T regions in the country, and all of our internal studies confirm that we believe the customers generally are receiving the divestiture impact relatively well, and that our region has the issue of customer communication pretty well under control.

Now, for business customers, AT&T Communications has received few complaints from its business customers concerning billing. There is a toll-free number, 800-222-0400, where our business customer's service orders are handled. AT&T Communications is experiencing an increase in calls concerning service orders delayed because of our recently extended schedules. This is the result of introduction of new computer systems in some of our own new procedures. We believe this to be a temporary condition. While we recognize that this may temporarily inconvenience the customers, we are making every effort to keep our customers informed about their service requests until they are completed.

In order to process repair questions, we have established a toll-free number, 800-222-3000, for use by the general public. Repair questions most frequently referred to this number concern wide-area telephone service, 800 service, and the more traditional long-distance service.

Approximately half of these calls are made by people having

difficulty reaching other companies' advertised 800 numbers.

No.

AT&T Communications has received letters of accommodation from both residential and business customers for the assistance that AT&T Communications customer representatives have given them.

Question No. 3: "How has your company changed to cope with the new consumer concerns? What changes are planned for the future?" As previously mentioned, we have reacted to our customers' concerns by establishing toll-free numbers for business and residence customers. We also plan to provide a toll-free number to resolve billing questions when customer account records have been transferred to us from Pacific Bell in the third quarter.

Also, just this week, AT&T Communications has established a new toll-free number to handle questions regarding our calling cards.

To respond to the needs of our business customers, since October 1983, we have established four new centers in California. A Residence Service Center has also been established, and we plan to add more residence offices in the future to handle the more than seven million residence accounts.

We have also established interdepartmental teams to evaluate our customers' service, and to take action as necessary. Our operators have been trained to refer customers with questions to the correct contacts.

Finally, we have instituted a customer-appeals process which is designed to resolve questions that are brought

to the attention of the California Public Utilities Commission.

It was that process that brought in the one residential inquiry on billing that I referred to a little earlier ago.

process

Question No. 4: "How has advertising affected the consumer's state of confusion?" Our advertising has stimulated consumer-awareness that AT&T Communications is the AT&T company which provides long-distance services, and also provides operator services. We've used several forms of mass media to minimize customer confusion.

Question No. 5: "How has the public responded to new equipment leasing and purchasing procedures?" Of course, AT&T Communications is in the transmission services business. We refer general questions concerning customer equipment purchases or leasing arrangements to the yellow pages. However, there is some customer confusion concerning the differences in the services that AT&T Communications provides, and what other AT&T companies provide. Where appropriate, we refer questions to AT&T Information Systems for resolution.

Question No. 6: "Are consumers aware of new long-distance selection procedures?" Consumers presently are being informed on their bill that they may choose a company other than AT&T Communications to provide their long-distance service. In the future we would expect that the long-distance competing carriers would each be approaching the customer body overall with additional information. And I believe the customer's level of awareness will increase over a period of time.

In summary, AT&T Communications has gone through

major changes. We have learned from these experiences and we continue to learn from these experiences, and we're continuing to pursue our major goal of customer satisfaction.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: There's been a reference to the Andy Griffith commercials that tell the customers not to do anything. Are you going to continue to run them?

(Reporter interruption.)

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Let's stop for just a couple of minutes. She needs some time to change the tape. We'll take a five-minute break.

(Brief recess.)

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: I think we're ready to reconvene. Mr. Forshee -- is that the way you pronounce your name? -- I started to ask you, just before we had a little break, about the Andy Griffith's commercials which tell the customers to do nothing. I assume they're still running. Are you going to continue to run them, or are you not? What reaction have you received from them?

MR. FORSHEE: Yes, sir. As it turns out, that particular commercial is sponsored by AT&T Informations

Systems, and the other company is available here, and that perhaps we can clear that up right here.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay.

MS. COPELAND: Senator, we have completed the series of runs at this time on the Andy Griffith campaign.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Oh, I see. So, anybody who hasn't seen it before, won't see it again.

MS. COPELAND: Unless they're reruns.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Let me come back to Mr. Forshee. What's the Warranty policy for equipment purchased through AT&T?

grand

MR. FORSHEE: Again, sir, I would recommend that question to Information Systems.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: All right.

MS. COPELAND: That depends on the type of equipment that they're buying, Senator. It depends on the Warranty period. It varies with the item of equipment.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, can you give us some sort of an idea what we're talking about? Mention a couple of pieces of equipment, and tell us how long the Warranty is.

MS. COPELAND: Off the top of my head -- I represent the business side of the house and, for example, for an item of equipment that we have, the Merlin, the Warranty period is a year.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: I see.

MR. CAMBERN: Maybe I can help a little, Senator. I'm Doug Cambern, representing Pacific Bell. Prior to the time of divestiture, when we were making the equipment available to our customers, for an in-place telephone that is already installed in your home, the Warranty period was 90 days. For an instrument that you would come into a phone service for, or a Service Center for and purchase, the Warranty was six months. That is for the standard residential telephones that most of us have in our homes.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Now, I don't know whether to ask you this question or not. Does AT&T plan to

raise the cost of making a long-distance information call to seventy-five cents as been indicated?

MR. FORSHEE: Information call, we would assume to be Directory Assist?

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Yes.

Succession

MR. FORSHEE: The matter of Directory Assistance is presently under investigation in the rate proceeding that we are part of over at the Public Utilities Commission. It's a relatively complicated question, and I'll try and be as brief as I can.

The issue for AT&T Communications at this particular time is that the access charge tariff that has been established for our gaging access to the network for the purpose of Directory Assist establishes a relatively high charge to AT&T for that access. Subsequently, if that access charge tariff remains at that level, AT&T Communications will have to raise its Directory Assistance charge level. We have appealed that in our testimony that has been filed before the Commission, and there will be hearings on the 6th of March on that matter, and that remains, in that case, an open question. But we would hope, in fact, to be able to lower the access charges to more of the level or closer to the cost Pacific Bell has indicated is the true cost of Directory Assistance, in which case we wouldn't have quite the problem that we have at this instant.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: One other thing that has come up in my District office; for example, what's going to be the policy with regard to providing equipment to the

deaf, or the handicapped individuals -- I mean, the non-deaf handicapped?

Brend

MR. FORSHEE: Yes. We, at AT&T, have filed a tariff with the Public Utilities Commission, and I believe it basically takes over the same equipment and responsibilities that existed in the Pacific Telephone tariff prior to divestiture. If it would be convenient for you, I can obtain a copy of that tariff and refer that on to you.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: How would that information get out to the consumers?

MR. FORSHEE: I believe from the point of view of the consumers, there's been effectively no change in the status as a function of divestiture. It just means that since Pacific Telephone no longer has that tariff in their name, or Pacific Bell, now that AT&T has taken over the tariff for the handicapped equipment.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: I see. All right, Miss Copeland, would you like to make your presentation?

MS. COPELAND: Thank you, Senator. AT&T Information Systems is the provider of equipment for residence and business telecommunication needs. We were initially formed in 1983 as a result of the Federal Communications Commission's computer inquiry tool. During this period of transition in change, we continued to strive to provide high quality customer service. In answer to your questions: "How successful have the new billing procedures been, and have they been confusing?" at the present time, consumers receive a Pacific Bell bill which separately details equipment charges.

However, in the March/April time frame, we will start sending our customers a totally separate bill for equipment for residence and business equipment. They will be notified in advance of those changes to avoid confusion.

grand

"How successful have the toll-free assistance phone numbers been to consumers, and how many have used the services?" At the beginning of January, our customers did encounter some delays in reaching us. However, the problem has been resolved at this time. We maintain the same nationwide sales and services numbers that we had in 1983. These toll-free numbers have been helpful to residents since divestiture.

We have received approximately 31,000 calls per day in our 18 locations throughout California; that's business and residence customers. The most frequent inquiry that we get pertains to: "How does divestiture impact me as a consumer? How has the company changed to cope with the new consumer concerns, and what changes are planned for the future?" In order to help our customers and employees adjust to divestiture, we had a preliminary practice period during November and December. This allowed us to smooth customer interfaces and use our new operating procedures. We have available a Customer Inquiry procedure to respond to customer concerns in a timely manner. There are changes that will occur as we move forward, and we will notify our customer of these changes as soon as they're finalized. An example of this would be the changing of our Phone Center hours and days of operations.

"How does advertising affect the consumer's state of confusion?" Our advertising in Consumer Information has

included mailers, radio spots, TV, newspapers, to minimize customer confusion. We have also internally had a promactive customer-calling program, and our system technicians have provided on-sight information to our customers.

Besond

Because of the complexity of divestiture, it is reasonable to expect that it will be a while longer before all of our customers understand the different roles and services provided in our new environment. We will continue to use mass advertising, face-to-face meetings, provide stickers with the appropriate numbers to call, to insure that our employees not only answer questions, but continue to educate our customers.

"How has the public responded to the new equipment leasing and purchasing procedures?" As was stated earlier, there has been some confusion, but in general our customers have responded most favorably to the availability of a full range of products, payments, or leasing options. These alternatives allow each customer to select the products and plans that best meet their individual needs.

As I mentioned earlier, there was some customer confusion during the first two weeks of January; however, we have noticed a significant decrease in the last two weeks. We've made every effort to make this a smooth transition for our customers, and we will continue to manage through this process.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: How will rural equipment be handled if you don't have a local Equipment Center nearby?

MS. COPELAND: We have mail that can be used.

But we have 100 Phone Centers throughout California.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: In some areas of the rural California, I'm reminded by one of the Senators: "I represent 15 counties up north, and I can't get from one place to another in a day." How are we going to take care of those people in the rural areas? Obviously your Phone Equipment Centers are going to be where most people are.

MS. OSTERGARD: Senator, I'm Sue Ostergard of
AT&T Information Systems. I represent primarily the residential
side. We do have, as Evelyn mentioned, 100 customer centers
throughout the State, in addition to Direct Delivery Service.
A customer calling and asking for equipment, we will send
out -- for example, like with a carrier like UPS -- that
equipment will be delivered in one to two days. So, if they
are in one of those remote areas, either for repair or for
getting new equipment, that is a way of providing equipment to
them.

As you may also know, AT&T is distributing its equipment through other clear party, if you will, retail vendors, so it may be available to them in other retail locations.

MR. CAMBERN: Senator, in addition to representing Pacific Bell, I also represent Pacific Telesis group, and effective the first of the year, a new unit of the PacTel group, Pacific Telecommunications, went into business offering residential and business equipment to consumers. Their plan for delivery contemplates no more than two, and in most cases—in many cases—the next-morning delivery, utilizing, I believe. Purolater Courier, as our current carrier.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Why don't we, since you've just answered a question, Mr. Cambern -- why don't you make your presentation.

-

MR. CAMBERN: As I stated, Senator, I represent Pacific Bell, as well as the Pacific Telesis group, and we appreciate the opportunity to address this Committee on how the divestiture of the telephone system in California has impacted customers, and how we have attempted or how we have responded to customers concerns.

I recognize and commend you on the quick action of the Committee in following up on this very vital consumer issue. I'd like to point out that your action was so quick that we have not had sufficient time to fully assess customer problems and services during January 1984. And unless otherwise indicated in my testimony, this lack of time results in some of the information being based on our own perception of facts. I will focus on the questions that you asked in your invitation to us.

The first of the questions was -- this has already been answered by the others -- "How successful have the new billing procedures been? Have they been confusing?" I'd like to identify the new billing procedures before discussing their success. Most Pacific Bell customers are now receiving one monthly telephone bill which is segmented into three parts: Pacific Bell's, AT&T Information Systems and AT&T Communications. This was made possible through our contractual arrangement by Pacific to bill for AT&Ts long-distance internal equipment charges. Similar billing services have been

offered to other long-distance companies, but as of now, they have not seen fit to request our service.

Amel

If AT&T is the customer's long-distance company, then only one bill is received and only one monthly payment is made; and that is to us. Pacific Bell will also handle any question concerning AT&Ts long-distance charges. Rental charges on any equipment leased from AT&T will be shown on Pacific Bell's bill through April 1984. And as indicated by the AT&T representative, after that date, AT&T will bill the customer directly for any leased equipment that they have from them.

Customers are provided with telephone numbers on the bill to call if they have any questions on our billing policies, including payment dates. Questions regarding charges and credit, those arrangements will remain the same.

We started sending out the new segmented format with our October 1984 bills so that our customers would have three months prior to the actual date of divestiture to get used to the format.

We also provided notice of our new billing procedures through bill inserts and brochures and pamphlets that were made available to all of our customers.

A majority of questions received by our toll-free Let's Talk operators, right after the bill was introduced in October, concerned the new segmented bill. We believe that the combination of bill inserts and availability of Let's Talk has eliminated most confusion as to the new billing procedures and that the cut over to these procedures has been successful.

Your other question: "How successful have the tollfree assistance phone numbers been to consumers? How many have used the service, and what seems to be the most common complaint?" First, if I can, a little background information, again, on these toll-free assistance numbers. The Let's Talk Response Bureau for Pacific Telephone Nevada Bell went out Monday, September 20, 1982. Customers have been advised of the numbers through advertisements initiated by AT&T and nationwide magazines and newspapers, such as Time, Newsweek, Forbes and the Wall Street Journal. The number has also been printed in company publications and bill inserts, such as Openline, to advise employees and customers of the availability of the Bureau for their use. The purpose of the Bureau is to give Pacific Bell an opportunity to be open and honest with our customers in connection with changes due to divestiture. We feel this program has been very successful. Any means of communications that can clarify the changes brought on by divestiture has to benefit our customers and ultimately ourselves.

Series Series

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

One measure of the success of the program is the volume of calls received from customers. Only 1,921 calls were received in the three and a half months of operation in 1982. This increased dramatically to a total of almost 87,000 in 1983. And the increase in the number of calls as divestiture approached is in my written testimony which I will submit to you. But it did increase dramatically, culminating in December with 21,594 calls. However, in January, the volume is subsiding, as I have indicated in my

testimony. The first week we had 7,000 calls -- and I'm rounding these figures -- 5,000 the second week, 3,000 the third week and 2,000 the fourth week.

The vast majority of the calls are in the form of questions, not complaints. The sample token of calls taken during January 1984 produces the following breakdown:

39 percent of the calls were specifically billing questions, precisely the same type of questions that we have been receiving to our service reps in the past; 24 percent were questions on equipment; 16 percent were questions on long-distance and 21 percent were miscellaneous topics.

In the category of specific billing questions, the customers were asking about charges on their existing bill, or wanted to place an order for service. In this case, the representatives referred the customer to the appropriate business office to complete the transaction.

Equipment questions dealt with how, where and who the customer should contact to purchase or repair their telephone equipment. Our representative explained the procedures and referred the customer to the appropriate business number. Incidentally, all of these numbers appear on the telephone bill in the form of 800 numbers. I think as our customers get the experience, they will call these numbers as a natural choice.

Customers inquiring about long-distance were concerned about how to get a long-distance carrier, and whether they must have touch-tone service to complete a long-distance call.

In the miscellaneous categories, questions

really covered the gamut: rate case service areas, stock and questions about the Pacific Telesis group.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The question: "How is your company changing to cope with new consumer concerns, and what changes are planned for the future?" Probably the biggest change in our company with respect to new consumer concerns is the total emphasis we are placing on keeping our customers aware of changes in our operation. We have always been responsive to consumer concerns. The breakup of the Bell System has created such potential confusion that an all-out effort to keep customers aware of changes is absolutely necessary.

Some of our efforts toward this goal are as follows --I'll describe them, and I have a package, Senator, of the materials that we use, the communications materials that we actually use -- bill inserts on our rate case, discussion of access changes and a description of our new service areas; Openline customers' newsletter directed to residence customers telling them in broad terms about the changes they will be faced with; Pacific Bell Preview, a customer newsletter for business customers; Let's Talk, which I've already described to you for divestiture-related questions; and corporate advertising through brochures, such as telephone service that glues the whole society together -- and we're attempting to make a mole hill out of a mountain -- employee information, such as a brochure called Discussing Divestiture, which supplied out employees with the necessary information for answering questions from relatives and friends, was distributed in November. We have 100,000 employees. They are the people

that received the questions, not all of them over the telephone as a call to the telephone company. We made a conscious effort to equip them to answer the questions of their friends and neighbors. Sponsorship and a seminar in partnership with the Golden Gate University on deregulation and its customer impact was held in June of 1983. Mr. McEldowney participated in that seminar with us, and many speeches by volunteer speakers and executives to whatever group we could assemble to listen to our message were made during the months prior to divestiture. And many, many talk show and media opportunities to discuss what was happening to us and ultimately how it would impact our customers.

- Second

We plan to continue the Consumer Advisory Panel.

Once again, this is the outfit that Mr. McEldowney is involved with. It's a 12-member group of community leaders who advise us of how our policy will affect our customers. This Panel rotates its bi-monthly meetings around the State and reports regularly to a branch of our own Board of Directors.

We're expanding this operation in '84 to include a regional panel in the northern area to insure that rural interests are represented as well.

We're also going to continue our Consumer Advisory Council, which is a group of consumer leaders formed to address a single issue. The formation of our seventh such Council is underway, and this Council will address the topic of Customer Complaints. These Councils are disbanded after making their recommendation to the Board of Directors.

Company changes for the future will depend on the

eventual resolution of such issues as access charges and the intra-service area, or intra-LATA toll-free competition issue that is currently before the Commission.

One thing is certain, we will not lessen our attempts to keep our customers aware of changes, and may very well intensify them even more as need for such activity develops.

Another plan change is that all directories published in January '84 and afterwards will include information on Pacific Bell, how to do business with us, in an attempt to clarify the service area boundaries.

One indication of how far we will go in helping our customers adapt to a post-divestiture environment is shown in our handling of the 611 trouble calls. Even though telephone sets are no longer the responsibility of Pacific Bell, we are encouraging our customers to call 611 and then we will attempt to lead them through the processes necessary to isolate the problem, whether it's in the instrument, whether it's in the inside wiring, or whether it's in our switching facilities, and guide our customers so that we can either take care of it or refer them to the appropriate organization that has the responsibility for accomplishing those repairs.

We are doing everything possible to insulate the customer from the potential of a \$40 service charge that happens when we come out and find really the problem is not in the area that we have responsibility for and our tariff forces us to bill that charge.

"How does advertising affect the consumer's state of

confusion?" We feel that the type of advertising described in the answer to the preceding questions has helped to alleviate confusion in the minds of customers. The only reasonably accurate way to measure this confusion would be through before-and-after surveys of our customers, whether they are very, very expensive; and we have elected not to embark on that endeavor.

The decrease in the number of inquiries to Let's Talk during the first four weeks of January is also an answer to your second question.

"How is the public responding to new equipment leasing and purchasing procedures?" In general, our perception is that the public has responded well to new equipment leasing and purchasing procedures. We've used various types of advertising to inform customers of these procedures, including Openline bill inserts, brochures and media publications. We also sent two pieces of information concerning the purchase of equipment to residence customers with the mailing special in November of '82, and repeated the offering January of '83. And we've already discussed the other efforts of either Commission to encourage people to purchase their instruments.

"Are consumers aware of new long-distance selective procedures?" We've not provided consumers in California with complete details as to long-distance selection procedures to use after equal access becomes available. We will not have equal access, and equal access is the same dialing procedure for all long-distance carriers until September of 1984. And at that time, two areas will have this capability.

Because of all the other changes that took place on January 1, '84, and because of the possibility of further change in these procedures, we're waiting until the second quarter of '84 to start our Consumer Awareness Program on equal access. That's for long-distance selection.

bred

At the present time, we're telling customers that they will have to make a choice about carriers sometime late in 1984. Until then, they can select a new long-distance carrier or continue with their current carrier until they hear from us. Our Openline bill insert for December '83 showed a picture of the service areas within California, explained that we will handle long-distance within the service areas, and stated that any other type of long-distance service will be handled by the long-distance company of your choice.

We also stated that in the future, when dialing procedures change, we will advise you well in advance. We will insure that California consumers will be completely aware of new long-distance selection procedures at least three months in advance, at the time when they will actually have to make a selection.

Again, let me thank you, Senator, for the opportunity to address the Committee, and I will certainly attempt to answer any questions you or others might have.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, like many others, I just received my new telephone bill.

MR. CAMBERN: You're a good customer.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: And I just ran some totals, and something doesn't quite work out. That may be a computer

problem.

promp

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

But let me ask a couple of questions, because right now, for example, it's all on one -- you know -- we get a bill from Pacific Bell --

MR. CAMBERN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: -- and you've included the long-distance callings and service area calls. Everything's right here.

MR. CAMBERN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: I guess one of my concerns is -- and it may be a concern for the companies as well toward the future -- if, in fact, people will eventually get three bills from telephone companies. If you decide, for example, that you're not going to continue to do the total billing so that everybody gets one phone bill, I think you might be in for some interesting problems. Because the person getting ready to pay bills decides, "Well, I can't pay all three of them, so this month I'll pay this one, and the next month I'll pay this one, and some other month I'll pay the third one," and for each one of you, that may be creating a future problem. And I don't know whether it's going to be possible, for example, for you to -- for Pacific Bell to continue to include on one bill, whatever the service happens to be, whether that's a profitable operation for you, whether it makes sense for you to do it, or whether you have some other ideas.

MR. CAMBERN: Well, we just concluded, I believe, a three-year contract, or consummated a three year contract,

with AT&T Communications where we have agreed to bill for them and negotiate inquiries on their calls for a three-month period. And I believe the value of that contract was \$900 million. That service is being provided in a fashion that is not a burden on the local telephone customers, But one of the specific requirements of the modified final judgment, or the consent decree, was that if we made an offer, as an example, to AT&T Communications to include their charges on our bill, that the same offer must be made to the other carriers under the same terms. How that evolves in the future is a matter of choice. I think that we're sort of creatures of habit.

My wife, because she likes credit cards, pays five gas bills a month, because she's got Shell and Chevron and a whole bunch of the other credit cards -- potentially a problem. But I think that the transition that we're involved in now is very quickly going to level out as people become accustomed with the new procedures.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Let me tell you one other thing, too. You go through the bill and you get to the end and then you find out the description of what it's all about. And it just seems to me that maybe for a period of time a description ought to be on the top, so that people at least become much more familiar with what the individual sheets are. I don't know whether you've given that some thought or not.

MR. CAMBERN: No, we haven't. If we have, I'm not aware of it and that's an interesting suggestion, Senator. Let me pursue it. We always put the total on the back of the bill because that's the bad news.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: And you have that boxed and that's fine, because that's the thing that says, "Please make your check payable for that amount." But people then look at some of the other things; they don't know what it is until they get to the end of the bill, and that's back here.

The other thing, too, you indicated -- and I remember seeing some identification of what our service area or LATA was. It might make sense to do that again, not just the one-time kind of a thing, so people begin to be aware of that service area concept, and maybe even a map for the person in their individual districts as to what that service area is.

MR. CAMBERN: Yes. We're thinking about using the telephone directory as the medium for giving the visual information out. Of course, your bill will indicate the charges within the service area will be in the Pacific Bell portion of the bill. The charges between service areas will either be on the AT&T portion, or a bill rendered by one of the other 15 carriers that have been licensed to provide intrastate service within California.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Do you think the telephone customer is already aware of what a service area is?

MR. CAMBERN: Absolutely not. Absolutely not. When we give you these figures of the number of people that have called Let's Talk, we have to constantly remind ourselves we're talking about a base of eight million customers; and frankly, we're talking about customers that infrequently have the necessity or the requirement to assess the telephone company. When they get to us, we can explain it, or attempt

to explain what's going on. But many, many customers, until we reach the point where some sort of declaration is going to have to be made, carrier designation, unless they're avid readers of the newspaper, some probably may not even realize the dynamics of what's been going on in our industry.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Finally, let me touch upon another subject that I've had some interest in and which is already in existence in one part of the State. What's your opinion of the Tele-CUB issue?

MR. CAMBERN: We are not supporting Tele-CUB.

Our position on the issue is that we feel there has been, and will continue to be, adequate consumer input to the regulatory process. It appears to be redundant to a process that's already in place. It's establishing a new level, a new hierarchy. If people feel that consumers have not been adequately represented before the Commission in the past, I would submit that they check the figures on their bill and compare those figures to elsewhere in the United States.

Although telephone service on a national basis is a bargain, it's a particular bargain in California, mainly because of the activity of the Commission. And I think candidly because of the intervening efforts of the consumer groups that have been effective in making their point of view known.

We were the first company to have Lifeline service, and certainly the consumer groups which -- don't misunderstand me -- are responsible interveners by and large have had an impact.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. Consumers may have

some questions later in the proceedings.

grand .

General Telephone, George Bevins, Vice-President of Customer Relations.

MR. BEVINS: Thank you, Senator, for the opportunity of being here. Again, like my colleagues here, we compliment your quick response to issues of concern to our consumers. We, too, have had some difficulty compiling January's reports, but we do have a few stats here.

Ours may not be as impressive or as great as some of the others, because we haven't been effected quite extensively as has our associates in the industry. In fact, I wonder whether everyone is really familiar with the areas that are served by the General Telephone. Maybe just for the record — we are up in the Los Gatos, Nevado, Morgan Hill, Reedley, Fowler, Lindsey, kind of spotty up there, coming down through Santa Barbara; we skip down to the area of West Los Angeles and Santa Monica/Malibu areas, then south into Long Beach and out into the idesert area of Palm Springs and San Bernardino and good old Eagle Mountain. But that's roughly our territory, and we have roughly about two and a half million customers. And their service hasn't been greatly affected by the divestiture.

On January the 2nd, they continued to be served in much the same fashion as they were prior to the divestiture date of January 1st of '84.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Which many of them have indicated wasn't very good to begin with.

MR. BEVINS: Well, I hope that's not true.

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

Let me get this in the record. What I meant was they can continue to purchase telephones or rent them just as they were prior to that date, and they still make long-distance phone calls in the same fashion as they were prior, in that they have a choice of their carriers for long-distance. And unless they indicate that they want another carrier, they will continue doing it in the fashion that they always have. So, the impact has not been quite as great.

The greatest impact to us seems to have been more in the area of customers wondering just what they had to do, and especially in view of the advertising and the media and all of the attention that was focused on it. So, we got a lot of questions in my Department. In Custom Relations we handle a lot of those questions.

Some of the major ones I've listed here, the tenmost-frequently-asked questions in order of priority is. as you mentioned earlier: "Do I have to buy my phone now, or can I wait until later? Can I buy the one I'm leasing now, and if I do, who will maintain it?" And as you mentioned earlier: "Is it better to buy or lease?" So, these are "Do I have to make questions that are continually coming up. a choice in long-distance companies? Which one is cheaper? How will these long-distance companies bill? What are the different long-distance companies? Will my local service charges go up or down? Do I have to have touch-call service in order to use AT&T long-distance, or one of the others? Can I still call out of my service area if I don't choose a toll vendor or carrier?"

The first three questions I mentioned are perhaps the most frequently asked, and in my testimony, along with that, I have a copy of the 20-most-frequently-asked questions, along with the answers, for anybody here that wants a copy.

Just see me.

Brook

I believe that these questions pretty well sum up the customer's concerns that have been expressed in the last 30 days since divestiture.

To address specifically the questions that you included in your invitation, first, "How successful have new billing procedures been, and have they been confusing?" We have made very few changes in our billing. We do have long-distance intra-LATA separated from the long-distance that would be billed to AT&T, so that's easily identified. We're not aware of any problems that have been created as a result of that. We haven't had any questions on that point.

On the second question: "How successful have toll-free assistance phone numbers been to the consumers, and how many have used the service, and what seems to be the most common complaint?" Well, we just covered most of those points there, but generally we believe that our assistance lines have been most successful based on the numbers of calls which sharply increased during December and January. But at the same time, interestingly, complaints referred to us by the Public Utilities Commission dipped about 25 percent over what the normal number was. So, it indicates that the toll-free assistance lines are allowing us to get in on the complaints somewhat earlier than before we had them.

The technical assistance number that we've identified is 800-221-6030, and that's a number that our customers can call if they wonder about whether the trouble is in their telephone or perhaps in the line or central office, and they want some assistance in determining tests that they could run before they come down to the Phone Mart or perhaps buy another telephone. Or, if they're engaged in wiring their own home, or putting in the modularized jacks, they can get help through that number.

We also have the Consumer Action line which we have publicized through bill inserts, and it's in the front of all of our directories now. It has been since November of '83. That was gradually introduced over a period of a year as new directories came out, and that number is 800-982-6347.

Then we've introduced just within the last few months an Information line which our customers can call if they have questions about access charges, or the rate case that we have in progress right now. And they've been provided in bill inserts, materials, newspaper ads, and have been provided in talks and on brochures that are handed out in our Phone Marts.

We've received about 2,000 calls in December, and about 2800 calls in January, on the Action line and the Technical Assistance lines there. So, our customers are aware, and they are using these numbers.

Question 3 on "How is your company changed to cope with new consumer concerns? What changes are planned for the future?" All of our efforts have been directed to minimize

the effect of the divestiture, and we've also been, and still try to be, the complete telephone company to our customers.

Our customers, as we mentioned earlier, can still today lease or purchase their telephones just as they were doing prior to the divestiture. Our prices in telephones from the Phone

Marts are \$14 to \$300, which is quite a range; and we have 28

Phone Marts that are located throughout our serving area.

In addition to that, we have several Convenience Centers which people, that are not located near a Phone Mart, can go to a place that may be nearer to them and have their telephones checked over, or purchase new ones.

We also have Custom Services available from our electronic offices.

As far as any changes are concerned, last year we introduced a separate division of our company to serve the business customer. I see our company responding much more quickly to our customers' concerns than in the past. I think that's a good trend, and we will certainly emphasize that and encourage it in the future.

Prices for all of our services will continue to reflect the cost of providing those services. We're adding to our product lines in the future enhanced computer-generated services like Electronic Mail, remote Call Forwarding, 3-Way Calling; and our Custom Services packet: switching, shopping and banking by telephone. And we're also working with the county Consumer Affairs Department to establish a Consumer Advisory Task Force in order to gain more information and input on the matters that are affecting our customers.

On the fourth question as to how our advertising effected the customer's state of confusion, we've published very descriptive brochures and ads covering the ten geographical service areas in California, and the intent of access charges. We've published the service areas on a big map, and we have copies of those ads that are available in the packet that we can hand out. We will continue to do that and try to educate our customers to the fact that we do have very clearly identified service areas. We hope that our advertising has been successful and tending to quiet the fears and eliminating some confusion, because it has been quite evident that there has been confusion.

(Second

· 2

We've emphasized in our advertising the \$4 billion five-year Service Improvement and Modernization program that we've had in progress.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Let me ask a question about that. I know that you've had a program of changing over your equipment, because there have been some problems in that area. Have the recent developments made this more difficult or easier?

MR. BEVINS: You mean in the field of the electronics?

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Right. In other words, has this whole program of divestiture, and what it is you're going to be able to earn your money on, made it more difficult to attain the technical changes that you've been working on?

MR. BEVINS: Well, we've made every effort to try to prevent anything from interferring with our Service

Improvement program. That's a commitment we've made to ourselves and to our customers, and we're depending on a cost-base pricing as a means to accomplish this. And that has been the major influence in our major rate case that's pending before the Commission right now. So, we trust that we will be able to continue successfully with the conversion of all of our electromechanical switches to function without any interference in our schedule.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Let me just -- one further question in terms of your program of advertising. What do you think about Pacific Bell saying if you have a problem, call them? Do you want your customers calling them?

MR. BEVINS: We have never ever suggested that if our customers have problems to call Bell.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay. I'm just being a little facetious.

In their advertising, they say that if you have any problems with your telephone, any telephone customer, you know, "call us." I just wondered what the other companies thought about that kind of advertising.

MR. BEVINS: Well, we have thought more about ways of communicating with our customer than we have in the past, and hence, we have these three 800 numbers that a year ago didn't exist. We feel quite proud about our Consumer Action line in that, when a call comes in to that group, it is not passed on to someone else, but we remain the follow-up point for the resolution of whatever problem is brought to our attention. So, we are just about 98 percent effective in

making sure that our customers are not having continued follow-up problems in the same area.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Now that AT&T has taken over control of the phone equipment, what is your policy now regarding repairs?

MR. BEVINS: If the customer is still renting from us, we continue repair just as we had previously, with one exception: that if a customer, under the rental basis, wants us to come out, we have a \$10 visit charge, and we will fix it on premise. We do let them know they can avoid that charge by bringing their telephone down to the Phone Mart.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: I see. Thank you.

Yes, did you want to comment?

MS. OSTERGARD: Perhaps this is the time to clarify the AT&Ts repair procedures, because there was some misunderstanding previously.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Will you identify yourself?

MS. OSTERGARD: Yes. I'm Sue Ostergard with AT&T Information Systems. I have the responsibility for residential Phone Centers in Southern California.

On repairs for residence service, if the customer is leasing from us, the set itself is maintained at no charge, as it was in the past. If they bring the set into the Phone Center store, we swap it out; if they want to do it via mail, we swap it out; if they do require a premise visit, there is a one-time charge, as in this case of AT&T, to go to that premise, to go to the customer to make the repair.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Now, their charge is \$10.

What is yours?

 $$\operatorname{MS}$  . OSTERGARD: Depending on what the problem is, there would normally be a \$15 amount.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: And how does that --

Is that the same, regardless of whether it's rural or urban in both your cases?

MS. OSTERGARD: That's correct. Now, it's related to the time it takes to repair it; depending on the problem, the price could change.

Our warranty on equipment that has been sold in place is the 90 days that Mr. Cambern mentioned, or we would honor the 180, if that was what was in effect previously. New equipment that they would buy from us, it would be a period of one year. So, you really have to look at each individual case to really assess what is the cost-forming maintenance on a set, because in most cases, there is no charge associated with that.

We do have agencies, in addition, throughout the State, where things can be picked up or dropped off, that would help in those more rural locations.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

Do you have a long record there of the number of -
MR. BEVINS: I am on Question 4. You asked
six questions, so --

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: So, there's just two more

questions to answer?

MR. BEVINS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Okay.

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

MR. BEVINS: The fourth question we were in the process of answering is to how effective the advertising has been with regard to the matter of confusion. And the thrust of our advertising, just to kind of summarize, is to encourage our customers' confidence in us as a complete, single-source telecommunications service company. And that's really the thrust of our advertising. If that means some adjustments in our current approach because of details and facts that we're not aware of right now, adjustments will be made to achieve that objective.

We've kind of touched on the matter of the maintenance.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Right.

MR. BEVINS: The sixth question was: "Are consumers aware of long-distance selection procedures?" And I have to kind of say, at least from where I'm coming from and dealing with Customer Relations and answering questions of our customers -- I would have to say probably not, unless they obtain more information from one of the long-distance companies, they probably wouldn't be able to make a good buying decision. If they call in one of our Hot lines, though, and ask one of those questions I mentioned, we suggest to that caller that they do a cost-comparison study of their own, after contacting maybe two or three, at least, of the long-distance companies, getting their prices, and then comparing them with their own billing and calling patterns in making their decision based on that.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Fine. Thank you very much.

Now, representing Sprint, Craig Welch, Director of

Corporate Communications.

Service Servic

MR. WELCH: Thank you, Senator Rosenthal. I want to express appreciation for the opportunity to speak to the group today, and especially to express an additional gratitude for the opportunity to listen to the testimony of others at this meeting. I find that that's also very useful for us. And this morning, I might add, that we've heard some very wise and thoughtful consumer advice.

I think praise needs to be expressed to the California Senate Committee on Energy and Public Utilities. And Senator Rosenthal's reputation is indeed national. And I think it's befitting of your recommendation that a hearing of this quality is going on. And I must express admiration for the setting that we're in, too. It's a beautiful campus.

California, of course, is a state that has a preeminence in telecommunications. And I think to testify to that, Sprint was founded in California and continues to be a California-based company. We employ about 2400 Californians in the State. We serve about -- well, exactly 168,549 home Sprint customers, and 48,265 business Sprint customers. The numbers are growing very rapidly every day.

One of the consumer representatives wisely broke down the picture that faces consumers today into three parts, when you asked them what the three leading questions would be, and I really want to underscore and fortify that understanding.

I think that if that bit of information were conveyed to consumers, it would go 90 percent of the way helping to clear up the confusion, the idea being that you have three areas to

focus upon as a consumer: your telephone equipment, your local dial tone or your local system and prices for it, and then the third being a long-distance option. In fact, I find, in traveling around the country, the concensus is that the long-distance option seems to be the easiest decision for consumers to make. In fact, they've been able to make that decision for some time and continue to be able to make that decision. It's not as complicated as the equipment decision many times.

paret.

We are in a state of transition technologically. I think it's interesting to compare these times historically of what went on. The telephone replaced the telegraph more than 100 years ago. It really was a situation where there was someone who invented a better "mouse trap." And we know what happens when that happens.

Alexander Graham Bell invented the telephone and had great difficulty back during that period. You can imagine the confusion of the consumers at that time, and I'm fond of the story that perhaps my colleagues from the Bell companies would tell better than I, that Alexander Graham Bell was hauled into court at one time and indicted for fraudulently selling stock in a absurd scheme of being able to communicate over wire by voice. So, there was a lot of confusion at that time; and yet today I don't think any of us would want to go back to the time of the telegraph. And really, that's what's going on today. All of the problems that we're grappling with as attorneys and business people are going to smooth out as this technological change evolves.

You know, I think some day, a few years from now, a youngster is going to turn to his parents and say, "When I make a phone call and I push the buttons, why do we call it dialing?" And yet today we're still in a transition from rotary phones to dial. I think about half of the phones in the United States today are still rotary phones, and it illustrates a problem that we have had in establishing and growing our company over the recent years, which is in the process of healing.

posed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I brought along an exhibit which many people find interesting. For people who want to do business in the current period with Sprint or MCI or other long-distance companies that do not have a touch-tone service -- and, by the way, it's important for consumers to differentiate between touch-tone and just a simple push-button tone; that's a story that has to be told very conscientiously, and we try to But this is a device that replaces the mouthpiece do that. on your regular dial telephone. And on it -- in fact, I'd be happy to pass it up. Thank you. On it is a small number The microphone is encased within that little device. You see a tiny hole there that the voice goes through. make these objects available to customers who want to use our service. But I think it's a good illustration of the problems that people have had in using a long-distance service, and we're happy to report that those are problems that will be going away when we are able to obtain equal access to the local operating companies.

I might add that I don't think anybody in America

needs a lecture on the benefits of competition. There are going to be great benefits that will stem from that, but more importantly, Sprint is very anxious to pay our fair share of what it costs to interconnect to the local systems. It needs to be pointed out that we are one of the best and biggest customers of the local operating companies around the country in the area we serve. We stand on common ground with residential consumers in the interest of having local telephone rates be fair.

quest

In summary, Sprint is very committed to universal service to doing everything we can to add, to maintain, to sustain and protect the concept of universal service. We're committed to whatever instrument public policy would create to preserve that, and in addition to that, the Lifeline concept or whatever would evolve in the specific case.

We are also very committed to quality service. We have a strong reputation among the long-distance carriers for quality. In addition to that, we work very hard on customer service, and we send a salesperson into the field. We also hire a customer service person to back that individual up so that our customer has someone to talk to and take care of their needs.

We have tried -- and this is not entirely unselfish on our part -- but we have tried to make our service very easy to acquire, to be a company that's easy to do business with. We recently redesigned our entire structure of offering our service. We haven't called it "the new Sprint," but what we did was to eliminate the monthly fees that had been in

existence prior to that time, simplified our rate structures tremendously. Anyway, all of these points are designed to make us easy to do business with, and help customers understand our company and understand that option that they have as consumers.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Let me ask you a question here. I've heard, I guess one of the complaints is the quality of the audio. And I don't know whether that applies specifically to any of the companies, or to you specifically, or not. Do you have any comments about that?

MR. WELCH: Well, I think that's a problem that has evolved, because in the transition period of getting our company started and with the volume of interest that there has been in our system and the quality of the interconnections with the local systems being what they are, this is a problem that is going to be resolved as things unfold in the coming months and years with the coming of equal access. While we take extraordinary steps on our own part to maintain the quality — and I believe studies prove that our quality is very high — that it can only improve with the transition we're going through in technology and in public policy.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Do you provide long-distance and information to those who are using your service for long-distance?

MR. WELCH: If by that you mean number -- CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Uh-huh.

MR. WELCH: No, that's not a service that we presently offer. We do have very active rates for our

customers through national 800 numbers that operate 24 hours a day at no cost to our customers; and in fact, we are establishing additional Hot line numbers in coming weeks to satisfy those additional needs that might evolve.

-

But in general, we are very eager to help participate in the dialogue, to develop the best public policy in this area, and to be committed to following through in complying with whatever policy evolves.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: What is Sprint's position on automatic referral to AT&T for long-distance customers who have not decided on a carrier?

MR. WELCH: As a general statement, we are interested in competing as strongly as we can and aggressively as we can for every long-distance call, however you might define that. And I couldn't say anything more simply than we want the business.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, I understand. But are you notifying people that they can make a choice, and how do they find out that they can make a choice when they call your company? We've heard when they call the other companies that they're being told that at some point down the line they're going to have to make a choice and what those choices are. Where's the reciprocity?

MR. WELCH: I'm not sure that I understand the question clearly. One interesting fact might be is to point out that we have presently about two percent of the long-distance market in the United States; a few years ago we had none. And our interest is in getting as large a share of the

market as we can. And our very existence is to aggressively go after that business in every ethical way that we can devise.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Do you think there ought to be an automatic referral system of some kind to ease the consumer confusion in this area?

MR. WELCH: I'm not sure by that if I understand what you're --

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, it just seems to me that there are now a number of companies that provide basically long-distance phoning. That's where the money is, okay? The companies that have been doing it are notifying people to "continue to use us." You're attempting to compete by saying, "Instead of using them, use us; we're cheaper."

MR. WELCH: And better.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, okay. "...cheaper and better," Even though I can't hear you as well, you're better, right?

MR. WELCH: I don't think that's a typical question.

trying to ease consumer confusion, and one of the things that we're going to be interested in, as time goes on, is the ease by which consumers move into the various areas that are available to them for whatever services are available. And I'm just a little bit concerned that you do your part as well as the other companies suggest that they're doing theirs, in informing people about the alternatives.

MR. WELCH: I assure you, we'll be making

1 extraordinary efforts to inform them of the alternatives, and 2 I feel quite confident that the other long-distance carriers 3 will be doing the same. CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Okav. Well, thank you 4 very much. I want you to remain there, because we want to 5 6 have an open mike here now for some consumers to come up and 7 ask some questions, but as they're coming up, I do want to 8 introduce a couple of people who are sitting with me today. 9 Paul Fadelli is the Consultant to the Senate Committee 10 dealing with telecommunications issues. And Patty Stearns is 11 Secretary to the Committee. I also want to at this time 12 thank the two women sergeants who have made the arrangements here for the use of the hall and the equipment convenient. 13 14 And also to our recorder for her contribution to what will 15 eventually be a printed report available to anybody who wants 16 it of this hearing. 17 With that, let's now open the mike, we'll say, until 18 15 or 20 minutes. And we'll be out of here probably by 19 12:30. Why don't you just come right up, anybody who wants to make a comment. 20 21 SPECTATOR: Senator, there are two consumer groups who want to make a comment. Can they make a statement? 22 CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Sure. That's fine. 23 Just don't duplicate one another. If you will 24 on up. start off. 25 MS. JARROW: My name is Virginia Jarrow. The 26 27 Consumer Coalition of California wishes to thank Senator

Rosenthal and this Committee for the opportunity to express

28

some of the views of our members on the coming and present changes in telecommunications. We are not so much concerned with the base rate raises as we are with the hidden costs, which are not so well hidden, of even making use of the telephone.

The state of

We've already discussed these issues but feel that the problem is being glossed over. We refer specifically to the charges being requested by the telephone carriers for Operator assist and Information assistance. These services are being proposed at twenty-five to seventy-five cents per call, yet the public is caught in a trap. Because of the peculiar nature of the Southern California service, we are dealing with communities interlocked into communities, necessitating local books for even our own calling areas, as many as five in number.

We cite the example of South Bay. There is within a 12-mile radius, the LAX directory, the South Bay directory, the Inglewood-Hawthorne directory, the Gardena directory — to mention a few. This would require an investment each year of over \$50 for every telephone user for even local service.

The cost for service to the downtown and Orange

County and other areas could easily bring the costs of owning
the white page directories up to \$200 annually. That is without counting the yellow page directories which would be an
additional cost. We believe that this matter must be
addressed, because it is of primary concern to our individual
users as well as the businesses who are paying to advertise
in this directory service, but whose options of customers are

being severely limited.

We believe that services which are essential to the use of the telephone cannot be charged on a piecemeal basis and in a way which limits the Southern California telephone customer to a penalty service. Certainly business caught in the sharp rise in costs and the loss in revenues because of the limiting of business access will stop advertising in the phone book.

This does not solve the problem for the user who, unable to afford the twenty-five cents to seventy-five cents per Operator assist, will have to go to the library, the local telephone company, or cease to use the telephone for service calls. We are aware that studies by the telephone companies have addressed these issues. In the studies a very small percent of the telephone users make the most use of Information services. But with a target date of 1985 for General Telephone to be receiving the double indemnity, and with Pacific Telephone also seeking a revenue base other than the basic rates, we feel this issue must be addressed now.

We are asking the Senate Committee to look into the possibility of a ruling to make the access to the telephone users of Information services without charge as our basic television is today. It is important to the businessman who is paying for advertising from which he or she is receiving more and more restricted access. It is important to the consumer who must have access to information. It is important to the State of California who will lose tax revenues as more and more people are unable to use their telephone services.

It is shortsighted on the part of the telephone companies.

We would like to see this Senate Committee introduce a bill which will give open access to the Information services and not cost them beyond the ability of the individual consumer to pay. We also are speaking for our business members who fear a severe loss of business as the service areas become more and more insular.

We would also like to address ourself to the implementation of the program to convert hardline telephone systems to jack telephones. The service is being booked as much as two years down the line which makes it impossible for the user to get their phones repaired as most are not skilled in disconnecting the phones and making the installations. Yet, it is our understanding that these phones are being sold in place, and as much as \$55 is being charged to the customer to have the telephone company service come out, which must then take place in the residence, as the customer who has purchased the phone cannot remove it from the hardline.

We are not familiar — this is General Telephone that handles it this way. We are not familiar with the Pacific Telephone decision, but the PUC made a ruling that starting in June 1982 and continuing through June 1984, the General Telephone Service people would conduct a free jack conversion for existing residential rate customers. This decision 82-06-054 was part of the residential rate base increase.

We were told that we would have to wait two years for the service even though we asked for the conversion in July of '82. We have been unable to find out how many residential customers have been serviced since most of our members were given the same information. We are therefore asking the Senate Committee to address itself to a bill which would require the utilities to fulfill their contracts with the PUC and the customer, or turn that portion allocated in the rate base to private vendors who would be paid for each completed conversion.

We would also respectfully request that this same bill be applied across the board to other utilities as well as we are familiar with the enery program of the gas and electric companies which have had only a three percent response.

Thank you for the opportunity to appear and express the views of our members.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Would someone on the Panel like to comment on her testimony?

MR. CAMBERN: Yes, Senator. As to the recovery of costs that we incur in providing directory assistance, directories in some of the other services that Miss Jarrow referred to, that is a cost to the business. The question is: "How do you recover that cost? It's not going to go away; it's not going to vanish." The approach that we and General Telephone have been taking is to make the cost recovery sensitive to the consumer that's actually consuming the product. It's not just trying to enhance the revenue of the company; it's designed to recover costs. And we're looking at better ways of doing this. But frankly, the only true consumer-oriented approach does seem to be, levy the recovery of those costs against those that consume. And

that's no different than driving in a gas station. You and I wouldn't pay the same amount of money for a tank full of gas if I drove a Volkswagen and you drove a Cadillac; it's a real problem.

And the point can be made that Directory Assistance service, of course, produces revenue. But once again, in the essence of the bottom line, our costs for providing Directory assist in California, by now I would imagine it's \$185 million a year. It's a significant cost.

As to the jack program, we had a jack program where we solicited our customers to see if they wanted us to come out and convert their homes to modular-type equipment. As we encountered customers who said they had requested that conversion, and we had not been able to make that conversion because of an unavailability of force, we were selectively taking a look at that, and if we had received the request, we're converting without charge currently.

MR. BEVINS: Just in connection with the matter of the jack conversion, we have been trying to meet our commitments on that. We have been behind. It's my understanding that by some time midyear, we'll have the conversions completed. We are doing it at no charge, and if we are called out to repair a telephone that is hard wire, there is no charge for our doing that, because the customer doesn't have the option of unplugging it and bringing it down to the Phone Mart.

So, if there's anyone that is faced with a situation where they have to have some service on a hard-wire telephone,

at the time our man is on premise, he makes that conversion at that particular time; so, it only happens once until we get completely through the conversion program.

MS. JARROW: Senator Rosenthal, I'd like to make a comment here that what Mr. Bevins says is interesting, but this is not what our members have experienced. They have been told they are going to be charged. They have been told that they cannot get this program, and they have been sent wall plugs, or whatever you call them, for the conversion.

As a matter of fact, we were too, only they were the incorrect ones. They couldn't even be used with our system.

So, I think that there's a credibility void here. I think it should be looked into. The program is not being administered.

MR. BEVINS: I have been provided with a copy of the testimony just presented, and I tend to check into it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you. The next -
MR. FORSHEE: Excuse me, Senator. Could I also
make just one comment, if I may --

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Sure.

MR. FORSHEE: -- and this compliments the testimony I gave earlier when you asked a similar question about Information assist charges. My recollection is that the charges of AT&T occurs in the form of access charges and billing and these sorts of things approach eighty cents per call. That's a problem to AT&T Communications. I would agree with the representative from the Consumer Coalition of California, that that is something that should be examined a

little bit further.

I would also take exception to the suggestion that Directory assist activity is automatic revenue-producing. We at AT&T Communications could very well take that Directory assist request, and that long-distance call that is made subsequently could be with another carrier. We could incur cost and no revenue, literally. So, it is one of the issues, I think, that needs to be examined more fully in the future.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: The other guest, please. The Gray Panthers.

MS. TROJAN: I'm Anne Trojan, and I represent South Bay Gray Panthers. I'm President convener. I think our National State Representative was here and left a statement with you, and I just want to say that we feel -- well, we call it "The Great Phone Robbery," and we look to our elected representatives to do something about it. And I'm sure you will.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Anybody else?
Anybody else? Going, going, gone.

Thank you very much for all of those who have had input. As I indicated, we will have a printed copy of this hearing as soon as we can get it, and everybody could have a copy to look over. And the Committee will continue to take a look at the immediate problems and to monitor ongoing situations and whatever happens.

(Whereupon this hearing before the Senate Committee on Energy and Public Utilities in the matter of Telephone Divestiture: Identifying Consumer Concerns was adjourned.)

## CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

I, Emily L. Jones, a shorthand reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing Public Hearing before the Senate Committee on Energy and Public Utilities was reported in shorthand by me, Emily L. Jones, and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said hearing, nor in any way interested in the outcome of said hearing.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this the twenty-second day of February, 1984.

EMILY L. JONES Shorthand Reporter

## LAW LIBRARY GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY